

Harris County, Texas

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

For the Fiscal Year Ended February 28, 2014

Barbara J. Schott, CPA
County Auditor



Harris County Flood Control District
A Component Unit of Harris County, Texas

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report
For the Fiscal Year Ended
February 28, 2014

Prepared By:
Barbara J. Schott, C.P.A.
County Auditor
1001 Preston, Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77002

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2014
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>INTRODUCTORY SECTION (Unaudited)</u>	
County Auditors' Letter of Transmittal.....	1
Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting.....	5
Schedule of Board Members, Key Personnel and Consultants.....	6
Organization Chart.....	8
<u>FINANCIAL SECTION</u>	
Independent Auditors' Report.....	9
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited).....	13
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Government-wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position.....	23
Statement of Activities.....	24
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds.....	25
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position.....	26
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds.....	27
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities.....	28
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position – Agency Funds.....	29
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements:	
1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.....	30
2. Deposits and Investments.....	36
3. Property Taxes.....	41
4. Interfund Balances and Transfers.....	41
5. Capital Assets.....	43
6. Long-Term Liabilities.....	43
7. Retirement Plan.....	46
8. Other Post Employment Benefits.....	48
9. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.....	52
10. Risk Management.....	53
11. Fund Balances.....	53
12. Recent Accounting Pronouncements.....	54
Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited):	
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – Budget and Actual – Budgetary Basis – Operations and Maintenance (General) Fund.....	57
Notes to the Required Supplementary Information.....	58
Other Post Employment Benefits - Schedule of Funding Progress.....	59
Texas County and District Retirement System - Schedule of Funding Progress.....	60
Other Supplementary Information and Individual Fund Statements:	
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position – Agency Funds.....	61
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities – Agency Funds.....	62

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2014
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>PAGE</u>
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – Budget and Actual – Budgetary Basis – Debt Service Funds.....	63
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – Budget and Actual – Budgetary Basis – Capital Projects Funds.....	64

STATISTICAL SECTION
(Unaudited)

	<u>TABLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Financial Trends:		
Net Position by Component – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	1	66
Changes in Net Position – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	2	67
Fund Balances, Governmental Funds – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	3	68
Changes in Fund Balances, Governmental Funds – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	4	69
Revenue Capacity:		
Assessed Value and Actual Value of Taxable Property – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	5	70
Ad Valorem Tax Rates – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	6	71
Property Tax Rates – All Direct and Overlapping Governments – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	7	72
Principal Property Taxpayers.....	8	73
Property Tax Levies and Collections – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	9	74
Debt Capacity:		
Ratio of Outstanding Debt by Type – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	10	75
Estimated Direct and Overlapping Bonded Debt.....	11	76
Harris County’s Legal Debt Margin Information – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	12	77
Demographic and Economic Information:		
Demographic and Economic Statistics – Last Ten Calendar Years.....	13	78
Principal Corporate Employers – Current Year and Nine Years Ago.....	14	79
Operating Information:		
Full-Time Equivalent County Employees by Function – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	15	80
Capital Asset Statistics by Function/Program – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	16	81
Operating Indicators by Function/Program – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	17	82
Other Information:		
Services and Rates.....	18	83
General Fund Expenditures.....	19	85
Taxes Levied and Receivable.....	20	86
Long-Term Debt Service Requirements - By Years.....	21	87
Changes in Long-Term Debt.....	22	88
Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – General And Debt Service Funds – Five Years Ended.....	23	89
Operating Funds Budget for the District’s Fiscal Year 2014-2015.....	24	90
Historical Tax Debt Outstanding.....	25	91
County Assessed Values and Tax Rates (Except Flood Control District).....	26	92
County Tax Levies and Collections (Except Flood Control District) – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	27	93
County Tax Debt Outstanding.....	28	94
County-Wide Ad Valorem Tax Debt Service Requirements.....	29	95
County-Wide Authorized but Unissued Bonds.....	30	96
Operating Funds Budget for the County’s Fiscal Year 2014-2015.....	31	97
County Capital Projects Funds Budgeting.....	32	98

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2014
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>COMPLIANCE SECTION</u>	
Independent Auditors’ Report on Compliance for Each Major Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	99
Independent Auditors’ Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	103
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards.....	105
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards.....	106
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	107

**I
N
T
R
O
D
U
C
T
O
R
Y

S
E
C
T
I
O
N**

Mike Post, C.P.A.
Chief Assistant County Auditor
Accounting Division

Mark Ledman, CISA, M.P.A.
Chief Assistant County Auditor
Audit Division



1001 Preston, Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77002-1817
(713) 755-6505

FAX (713) 755-8932
Help Line (713) 755-HELP

BARBARA J. SCHOTT, C.P.A.
HARRIS COUNTY AUDITOR

August 28, 2014

Honorable District Judges of Harris County, Honorable Members of the Harris County Commissioners Court, and Citizens of Harris County, Texas

The Harris County Auditor's Office (the "Auditor's Office") is pleased to present the Basic Financial Statements of Harris County Flood Control District (the "District"), a component unit of Harris County, Texas (the "County") for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014. This report is submitted in accordance with Section 114.025 of the Texas Local Government Code and was prepared by the staff of the County Auditor's Office.

The report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the District. Therefore, management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of all of the information presented in this report. We believe the information and data contained herein are accurate in all material respects and is reported in a manner designed to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP"). All disclosures necessary to enable the reader to gain an understanding of the District's financial activities have been included, beginning with Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") on page 13.

Management of the District has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed both to protect the government's assets from loss, theft, or misuse, and to compile sufficient reliable information for the preparation of the District's financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Because the cost of internal controls should not outweigh their benefits, the District's comprehensive framework of internal controls has been designed to provide reasonable rather than absolute assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

The District's financial statements were audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent audit firm. The goal of the independent audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements of the District for fiscal year ended February 28, 2014 are free of material misstatement. The independent auditor concluded based upon the audit that there was a reasonable basis for rendering an unmodified opinion that the District's financial statements are fairly presented in conformity with GAAP in all material respects. The independent auditor's report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

The independent audit of the District's financial statements includes a broader, federally mandated "Single Audit" designed to meet the special needs of federal and state grantor agencies. The standards governing Single Audit engagements require the independent auditor to report not only on the fair presentation of the financial statements, but also on the government's internal controls and compliance with legal requirements, with special emphasis on internal controls and legal requirements involving the administration of federal and state awards. These reports are available in the compliance section of this report.

PROFILE OF THE DISTRICT

History, Geographic Location, and Population

After experiencing devastating floods in 1929 and 1935, local Harris County leaders petitioned the Texas Legislature for assistance. On April 23, 1937, the Texas Legislature passed a bill creating the Harris County Flood Control District (a special purpose district) and establishing the Harris County Commissioners Court as the District's governing body.

The District's area of jurisdictional authority is Harris County. Harris County is located in the Gulf Coast region of Texas approximately 50 miles from the Gulf of Mexico and covers over 1,700 square miles with over 4.33 million residents.

District Structure and Services

The mission of the Harris County Flood Control District is to provide flood damage reduction projects that work, with appropriate regard for community and natural values. The District reduces the risk of flood damage by devising stormwater management plans, implementing the plans and maintaining the infrastructure. The District is organized into six primary divisions to carry out its mission: Engineering and Construction, Environmental Services, Human Resources, Infrastructure, Office of Chief Engineer and Support Services.

Budget Process

In accordance with Chapter 111 of the Local Government Code, the County prepares and adopts an annual operating budget which serves as a financial plan for the District for the new fiscal year beginning March 1. After adoption of the budget by Commissioners Court, the County Auditor is responsible for ensuring expenditures are made in compliance with budgeted appropriations. The level of budgetary control for the District's General Fund and debt service funds is at the fund level; for other funds budgetary control is implemented at various levels. Commissioners Court may also adopt supplemental budgets for the limited purposes of spending grant or aid money, for capital projects through the issuance of bonds, intergovernmental contracts, and new source revenue not anticipated at budget adoption. Purchase orders and contracts are not valid until the County Auditor certifies availability of funds for payment of the obligation. Encumbrance accounting is utilized to ensure effective budgetary control and accountability.

INFORMATION USEFUL IN ASSESSING ECONOMIC CONDITION

Local Economy

The Houston – Sugar Land – Baytown Metropolitan Statistical Area ("Houston MSA"), the fifth largest metropolitan area in the United States, had nearly 2.8 million payroll jobs in the fourth quarter of 2013, with 85,800 jobs created in the 12 months ending April 2014. The Houston MSA's gross area product in 2013 was \$522.9 billion and is expected to more than double from 2015 to 2040, according to the Perryman Group.

The Houston Association of Realtors reported that the inventory of homes in May 2014 was 2.8 months' supply compared with 3.3 months in May 2013. This lower supply of homes contributed to the jump in the average price of a single-family home to \$280,346 in May 2014, a 9.6 percent increase from May 2013.

Catalysts for growth in Harris County, the Port of Houston and the Houston Ship Channel are vibrant components of the regional economy. The Port of Houston is a 25-mile-long complex of diversified public and private facilities along the Houston Ship Channel. In 2011, the Port was ranked first in the United States in the volume of foreign tonnage and second in the United States in total tonnage.

In addition to the County's moderate climate and diverse economic base, it offers a modern and efficient infrastructure for people working and doing business in the County. This includes local government that encourages business development, high capacity freeways, major rail lines, and state of the art

telecommunication services. George Bush Intercontinental Airport, located approximately 23 miles north of downtown Houston, is the eleventh busiest airport in the U.S. for total passenger traffic and the eleventh largest international air cargo gateway.

As of the 2010 U.S. Census, Harris County had a population of 4.1 million, making it the most populous county in Texas and the third most populous county in the United States, ranking behind Los Angeles County, California and Cook County, Illinois. Twenty-six companies on the 2014 *Fortune 500* list are headquartered in the Houston – Sugar Land – Baytown Metropolitan Statistical Area. Only two metropolitan statistical areas have more *Fortune 500* headquarters: New York with 72 and Chicago with 33.

Educational opportunities play a key role in Harris County's quality of life. The County has a number of acclaimed school districts and outstanding colleges and universities. Major institutions of higher learning include Rice University, Texas Southern University, University of Houston, University of St. Thomas and Houston Baptist University. Houston's three medical schools are the University of Texas Medical School, Baylor College of Medicine, and the Houston Campus of the Texas A&M Health Science Center College of Medicine.

Financial Policies and Long-Term Financial Planning

Some of the County's financial policies and strategies are:

- The County will continue to focus on building reserves to maintain financial stability, maintain current high bond ratings and continue to reduce the need for short-term borrowing under tax anticipation notes;
- Tax anticipation notes for annual cash flow purposes will be issued for the general operating fund; and
- A new Repair and Replacement Committee will be established to accumulate, evaluate and prioritize repair and replacement projects and make recommendations to Court.

The County is responsible for setting the tax rates for the District. Tax rates are levied for maintenance and operations and debt service requirements relative to General Obligation Bonds. The respective tax rates which were adopted in 2013 for the District per \$100 of taxable value are: \$0.02620 for maintenance and operations and \$0.00207 for debt service, for a total of \$0.02827.

District funds available for investment under the County's investment program as of February 28, 2014 totaled \$285 million with investment earnings of \$0.4 million for the fiscal year. The average yield and maturity of such investments were .47% and 3.2 years.

The County's Risk Management Department is responsible for assessing the District's exposure to risk and obtaining coverage against that risk. The District is self-insured for group medical benefits as well as for workers' compensation medical and indemnity payments and is self-funded for dental and vision coverage provided under the employees' health insurance program. Additional information regarding the County's risk management program can be found in Note 10 of the notes to the District's financial statements.

The County provides retirement and disability for all the District's employees (excluding temporary) through a nontraditional defined benefit pension plan in the statewide Texas County and District Retirement System ("TCDRS"). The County has elected the annually determined contribution rate (ADCR) plan provisions of the TCDRS Act. The plan is funded by monthly contributions from both employee members and the employer based on the covered payroll of employee members. Under the TCDRS Act, the County's contribution rate is actuarially determined annually. The contribution rate payable by the employee members for fiscal year 2014 was 6%. In addition to providing retirement benefits, the County provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits for retired employees. Additional information regarding the County's retirement plan and other post employment benefits can be found in Notes 7 and 8 of the notes to the financial statements.

Major Initiatives

The District has a five-year capital project plan that assumes availability of \$60 million per year. Planned projects are designed to reduce flood risks within the County.

AWARDS AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (“GFOA”) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the District for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (“CAFR”) for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2013. The Certificate of Achievement is a prestigious national award, recognizing conformance with the highest standards for preparation of state and local government financial reports.

In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government unit must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive annual financial report, whose contents conform to program standards. This report must satisfy both accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. The District has received a Certificate of Achievement for the last five years. We believe our current report continues to conform to the Certificate of Achievement program requirements, and we are submitting it for review to GFOA.

The timely completion of this report could not have been achieved without the dedicated efforts of the County Auditor’s staff and the professional services provided by our independent auditors, Deloitte & Touche LLP. I wish to express my gratitude to the Commissioners Court, District Judges, and other County officials and departments for their interest and support in planning and conducting the financial affairs of the District in a responsible and professional manner.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide an overview of the District’s finances for individuals who are interested in this information. Questions concerning any of the data provided in this report should be addressed to the County Auditor’s Office, 1001 Preston Suite 800, Houston, Texas 77002. Additional financial information is provided on the County Auditor’s webpage which can be accessed from the County’s website, www.co.harris.tx.us.

Barbara J. Schott, C.P.A.
County Auditor



Government Finance Officers Association

**Certificate of
Achievement
for Excellence
in Financial
Reporting**

Presented to

**Harris County Flood Control District
Texas**

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
for the Fiscal Year Ended

February 28, 2013

Executive Director/CEO

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF BOARD MEMBERS, KEY PERSONNEL AND CONSULTANTS
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014**

Complete District Mailing Address: HCFCD 9900 NW Fwy Houston, Texas 77092

District Business Telephone Number: (713) 684-4000

Names and Addresses:	Term of Office (Elected or Appointed) <u>or Date Hired</u>	Fees <u>Feb 28, 2014</u>	Expense Reimbursements <u>Feb 28, 2014</u>	Title at <u>Year End</u>	Resident of <u>District</u>
Commissioners' Court acts as Board of Director for District					
Judge Ed Emmett 1001 Preston, Suite 911 Houston Texas 77002	(Elected) 01/11 - 12/14	N/A	N/A	County Judge	Yes
El Franco Lee 1001 Preston, 9th Fl Houston Texas 77002	(Elected) 01/13 - 12/16	N/A	N/A	Commissioner	Yes
Jack Morman 1001 Preston, 9th Fl Houston Texas 77002	(Elected) 01/11 - 12/14	N/A	N/A	Commissioner	Yes
Steve Radack 1001 Preston, 9th Fl Houston Texas 77002	(Elected) 01/13 - 12/16	N/A	N/A	Commissioner	Yes
R. Jack Cagle 1001 Preston, 9th Fl Houston Texas 77002	(Elected) 10/11 - 12/14 Appointed 10/11	N/A	N/A	Commissioner	Yes
Key Personnel:					
Arthur L. Storey Jr. P.E. 1001 Preston, 5th Fl Houston Texas 77002	(Appointed) 9/25/1989	N/A	N/A	Executive Director/ County Employee	Yes
Michael D. Talbott P.E. 9900 NW Fwy Houston, Texas 77092	6/22/1998	N/A	N/A	Director/ County Employee	Yes


(Continued)

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF BOARD MEMBERS, KEY PERSONNEL AND CONSULTANTS
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014**

Names and Addresses:	Term of Office (Elected or Appointed) or Date Hired	Fees Feb 28, 2014	Expense Reimbursements Feb 28, 2014	Title at Year End	Resident of District
Vince Ryan 1019 Congress 15th Fl Houston Texas 77002	(Elected) 01/13 - 12/16	N/A	N/A	County Attorney	Yes
Mike Sullivan 1001 Preston, 1st Fl Houston Texas 77002	(Elected) 01/13-12/14	N/A	N/A	Tax Assessor-Collector and Voter Registrar	Yes
William J. Jackson 1001 Preston, Rm 630 Houston, Texas 77002	(Appointed) 03/13/12	N/A	N/A	Executive Director of Budget Management County Employee	Yes
County Auditor					
Barbara J. Schott 1001 Preston, 8th Fl Houston Texas 77002	(Appointed) 03/03	N/A	N/A	County Auditor	Yes
Independent Auditor					
Deloitte & Touche LLP 1111 Bagby, Suite 4500 Houston, Texas 77002	04/01/13- 2/28/2014*	\$65,825	N/A	Independent Auditor	N/A

* Agreement between the Flood Control District and Deloitte & Touche LLP, for fiscal year 2013/2014 audit services.

Harris County Organization Chart



(1) Facilities & Property Management were under Public Infrastructure prior to 3/1/12.

* The County Auditor is appointed by the Board of District Judges.
 ** The Purchasing Agent is appointed by the Purchasing Board which is composed of three judges of the District Courts and two members of Commissioners Court.

**F
I
N
A
N
C
I
A
L

S
E
C
T
I
O
N**

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

County Judge Ed Emmett
and Members of Commissioners Court of Harris County, Texas:

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Harris County Flood Control District (the "District"), as of and for the year ended February 28, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District, as of February 28, 2014, and the respective changes in financial position

thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 13-22, Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual Budgetary Basis – Operations and Maintenance (General) Fund, and the Other Post-Employment Benefits – Schedule of Funding Progress, and the Texas County and District Retirement System – Schedule of Funding Progress on pages 57-60 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The Other Supplementary Information and Individual Fund Statements; Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards, as required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations* and the State of Texas *Uniform Grant Management Standards* and the Introductory and Statistical Sections are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The Other Supplementary Information and Individual Fund Statements and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards, is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Other Supplementary Information and Individual Fund Statements and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards, are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The Introductory Section and Statistical Section have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated August 28, 2014 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Deloitte's Touche LLP

August 28, 2014

***Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)***

This section of the Harris County Flood Control District's (the "District") financial statements presents management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of the financial activities of the District during the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014. Please read it in conjunction with the District's basic financial statements following this section.

The District is a component unit of Harris County, Texas (the "County") and is included as a blended component unit in the County's financial statements. This analysis presents information about the District and the operations and activities of the District only and is not intended to provide information about the entire County.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Government-wide

- The total government-wide assets of the District exceeded the liabilities (net position) at February 28, 2014 by \$1,760,869,655, an increase of \$35,930,654 from the previous year when assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,724,939,001 (restated, Note 1 to the Financial Statements).
- Total net position is comprised of the following:
 - (1) Net invested in capital assets, of \$1,653,515,940 include land, improvements, construction in progress and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, and reduced for outstanding debt related to the purchase or construction of capital assets.
 - (2) Net position of \$789,913 is restricted by constraints imposed from outside the District such as capital projects.
 - (3) Unrestricted net assets of \$106,563,802 represent the portion available to meet ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors.

Fund Level

- As of February 28, 2014, the District's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$279,297,800. This compares to the prior year combined fund balance of \$258,762,262 showing an increase of \$20,535,538 during the current year. The current year total consists of combined nonspendable fund balance of \$426,509 and restricted fund balance of \$154,231,914.
- At the end of the fiscal year, the total fund balance for the Operations and Maintenance (General) fund was \$124,859,482. The General fund had a restricted fund balance of \$98,596.
- The fund balance in the Debt Service fund of \$6,972,910 was restricted at the end of the fiscal year. This represents a decrease of \$1,646,583 from the prior year balance of \$8,619,493, primarily from a \$1,517,720 decrease in taxes.
- The Capital Projects fund at fiscal year-end had a nonspendable fund balance of \$305,000 and a restricted fund balance of \$147,160,408 for a total Capital Projects fund balance of \$147,465,408, an increase from \$124,597,289 in the prior year.
- The District issues debt to finance an ongoing capital improvement program, but during fiscal year 2014, no debt was issued. Note 6 to the financial statements, provides additional details related to long-term debt. The debt service ad-valorem tax rate for the 2013 tax year for the District totaled \$0.00207 per \$100 valuation.

***Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)***

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements, which are comprised of three components: 1) Government-wide financial statements; 2) Fund financial statements; and 3) Notes to the basic financial statements. Required Supplementary Information and Other Supplementary Information are included in addition to the basic financial statements.

Government-wide Financial Statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of District finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The statement of net position presents information on all District assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating. However, other non-financial factors should also be considered to assess the overall fiscal health of the District.

The statement of activities presents information showing how net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

Typically, both of these government-wide financial statements would distinguish functions of the reporting entity principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or in part a portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The District, however, has and reports only governmental activities.

Fund Financial Statements are groupings of related accounts that are used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate finance-related legal compliance. Funds can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds. The District has four governmental funds and two fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental funds financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the District's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The District maintains four individual governmental funds. As all of these funds are considered major funds, information is presented separately in the governmental funds balance sheet and in the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for each of the funds – Operations and Maintenance (General), Special Revenue Grants, Debt Service, and Capital Projects.

***Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)***

Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the District's own programs. The District uses two agency funds to report resources held by the District in a purely custodial capacity (assets and liabilities) and therefore do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes can be found beginning on page 30 of this report.

Required Supplementary Information is presented concerning the District's Operations and Maintenance (General) Fund budgetary schedule. The District adopts an annual budget for this fund. A budgetary comparison schedule, which includes the original and final amended budgets and actual figures, has been provided to demonstrate compliance with these budgets. Also presented in this section are the Schedule of Funding Progress for Other Post-Employment Benefits and the Schedule of Funding Progress for Texas County and District Retirement System. Required supplementary information can be found beginning on page 57 of this report.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS


As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the District, assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,760,869,655 for fiscal year ended 2014 and \$1,724,939,001 (restated) for fiscal year ended 2013. Revenues exceeded expenses during the current year, increasing net position by \$35,930,654.

**Condensed Statement of Net Position
(amounts in thousands)**

	Governmental Activities	
	February 28, 2014	February 28, 2013
Current and other assets	\$ 292,787	\$ 282,252
Capital assets	2,188,101	2,178,490
Total assets	<u>2,480,888</u>	<u>2,460,742</u>
Deferred outflows of resources	<u>9,025</u>	<u>10,035</u>
Current and other liabilities	24,729	29,150
Long-term liabilities	704,314	716,688
Total liabilities	<u>729,043</u>	<u>745,838</u>
Net position:		
Net investment in capital assets	1,653,516	1,610,798
Restricted	790	4,909
Unrestricted	106,564	109,232
Total net position	<u>\$ 1,760,870</u>	<u>\$ 1,724,939</u>

**Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)**

Change in Net Position



The largest portion of the District's current fiscal year net position, \$1,653,515,940, reflects its investments in capital assets (e.g. land, improvements, buildings, equipment, infrastructure), less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The main use of these capital assets is to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the District's net investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities. The increase of \$42,717,766 in the District's net position net investment in capital assets, is comprised of \$9,611,622 increase in capital assets with an offsetting decrease of \$13,655,498 in debt related to capital assets and an increase in unspent proceeds of \$19,450,646.

The District's current fiscal year net position includes resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. Restricted net position totaled \$789,913 for capital projects. Restricted net assets reflect an overall decrease of \$4,119,177.

The remaining balance of the District's current fiscal year net position, \$106,563,802 represents unrestricted net position, which may be used by the District for current and future needs.

At the end of the current fiscal year, the District reported positive balances in all three categories of net position for its separate governmental activities.

The following table indicates changes in net position for governmental activities:

**Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)**


**Condensed Statement of Activities
(amounts in thousands)**

	Governmental Activities	
	For the Year Ended February 28, 2014	For the Year Ended February 28, 2013
Revenues:		
Program revenues:		
Charges for services	\$ 392	\$ 724
Operating grants and contributions	36,983	36,978
Capital grants and contributions	39,393	17,663
General revenues:		
Property taxes	83,830	77,335
Earnings on investments	440	1,913
Miscellaneous	526	1,714
Total revenues and other items	<u>161,564</u>	<u>136,327</u>
Expenses:		
Flood control	93,621	98,792
Tax administration	631	610
Interest on long-term debt	<u>31,381</u>	<u>31,928</u>
Total expenses and other items	<u>125,633</u>	<u>131,330</u>
Change in net position	35,931	4,997
Net position - beginning (restated)	<u>1,724,939</u>	<u>1,719,942</u>
Net position - ending	<u>\$ 1,760,870</u>	<u>\$ 1,724,939</u>

Revenues

For fiscal year ended February 28, 2014, revenues from governmental activities totaled \$161,563,476.


Property taxes of \$83,830,381 were the District's largest revenue source and comprised 52% of total revenues. The tax rate was \$.02827 per \$100 of assessed value for fiscal year 2014 and \$.02809 per \$100 of assessed value for fiscal year 2013. The taxable assessed value increased in fiscal year 2014 to \$305,457,140,000 from \$280,292,702,000 in fiscal year 2013.



**Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)**

Program revenues are derived from the program itself and reduce the cost of the function to the District. Total program revenues were \$76,767,328 or 47% of total revenues. A major portion of program revenues are capital grants and contributions of \$39,392,854 (24%) and represent receipts primarily from the Army Corps of Engineers (Corps). This category is primarily due to contributions from the Corps for work done on the Brays Bayou project. A major portion of program revenues also consists of operating grants and contributions of \$36,982,800 (23%), associated with contributions by Harris County, which increased \$4,300 from the prior year. Another portion of program revenues is charges for services of \$391,674 (less than 1% of total revenues), which are primarily from impact fees on development.

General revenues are revenues that cannot be assigned to a specific function. They consist of property taxes (discussed previously), unrestricted investment or interest earnings of \$440,219 (less than 1% of total revenues), miscellaneous income of \$525,548 (less than 1% of total revenues). Miscellaneous income is primarily comprised of collections for various fees.




Expenses

For fiscal year ended February 28, 2014, expenses for governmental activities totaled \$125,632,822, compared to \$131,797,505 in the prior fiscal year. Flood control administration of \$93,620,721 is the District's largest function with 74% of total expenses. This was a decrease of \$5,171,313 from the prior year due to regular operations of the District. Interest and other fees make up the second largest category of expenses with \$31,380,954 or 25% of the total, which is interest on long term debt. Tax administration, which represents the cost to collect taxes assessed on behalf of the District by the Harris County Tax Assessor is \$631,147 (1% of total expenses) and has increased from \$609,506 last year.

**Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)**


EXPENSES BY FUNCTION
Year ended February 28, 2014



FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF MAJOR FUNDS

Governmental Funds. The District's major general government functions are contained in the Operations and Maintenance (General) fund. The focus of the District's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the District's financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

Governmental Fund Balances



At February 28, 2014, the District's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$279,297,800, of which \$426,509 is nonspendable, \$7,071,506 is restricted for debt service, \$147,160,408 is restricted for capital projects, and \$18,493,691 is assigned for encumbrances and petty cash. The remainder, \$106,145,686, is available to meet the District's current and future needs. The District's combined fund balances increased \$20,535,538. The reasons for this change are explained below by individual fund.

***Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)***

The Operating and Maintenance (General) fund is the operating fund of the District. Fund balance in the General Fund decreased by \$685,998. This was primarily the result of an increase in transfers out.


The Special Revenue Grants fund accounts for grants that have been awarded in the District's name. There is no fund balance in grant funds. However, the overall grant activity for the current fiscal year resulted in a \$409,050 decrease in assets and liabilities over the previous fiscal year.

Fund balance in the Debt Service fund decreased by \$1,646,583, primarily as the result of a decrease in total revenues. At February 28, 2014, this fund reported revenues of \$43,353,310. The majority of these revenues were from property taxes. See Note 3 to the financial statements for additional information on property taxes.

The fund balance in the Capital Projects fund increased by \$22,868,119, primarily due to a \$25M transfer from the General fund. The Capital Projects fund relies primarily on the issuance of bonds and commercial paper to support current and future projects. The funding for projects was provided through bond issues, commercial paper and joint funded projects with outside agencies. See Note 6 to the financial statements for further discussion of long term debt.

OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE (GENERAL) FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

During the year actual revenues were more than budgetary estimates by \$3,187,869. This is attributable to more tax revenue than budgeted in the general operating flood control account. Actual expenditures were less than budgetary estimates by \$124,756,942. This is primarily due to fewer expenditures than anticipated in the general operating flood control account. The net effect of under-realization of revenues and over-realization of appropriations resulted in a positive variance of \$127,944,811, thus eliminating the need to draw upon the existing fund balance on a cash basis.



CAPITAL ASSETS

The District's capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation for its governmental activities as of February 28, 2014, amounted to \$2,188,101,145. These capital assets include land, improvements, buildings, flood control infrastructure, equipment, and construction in progress, as shown in the table below. For further information regarding capital assets, see Note 5 to the financial statements.

***Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)***

	Balance	Balance
	February 28, 2014	February 28, 2013
Governmental Activities:		
Land	\$ 1,577,295,623	\$ 1,572,966,032
Construction in Progress	62,900,342	126,171,519
Intangible Assets - water rights	2,400,000	2,400,000
Land Improvements	641,531	641,531
Buildings	11,870,371	11,870,371
Equipment	9,780,084	9,467,398
Flood Control Projects	862,738,394	768,911,212
	<u>2,527,626,345</u>	<u>2,492,428,063</u>
Less Accumulated Depreciation	(339,525,200)	(313,938,540)
Totals	<u>\$ 2,188,101,145</u>	<u>\$ 2,178,489,523</u>

LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

At February 28, 2014, the District had total long-term liabilities outstanding of \$704,313,643. Refer to Note 6 to the financial statements for further information on the District's long term liabilities. District officials, citizens, and investors will find the ratio of net bonded debt to assessed valuation (.23%) and the amount of bonded debt per capita (\$160,000) as useful indicators of the District's debt position; this information is shown in the statistical section of this report.

	Balance	Balance
	February 28, 2014	February 28, 2013
Governmental Activities:		
Bonds Payable	\$ 692,708,062	\$ 697,367,049
Compensatory Time Payable	354,469	479,225
Judgments Payable	1,100,000	-
OPEB Obligations	9,685,875	8,241,093
Pollution Remediation Obligations	465,237	565,984
Totals	<u>\$ 704,313,643</u>	<u>\$ 706,653,351</u>

See Note 8 to the financial statements for further information on the County's OPEB plan.

ECONOMIC FACTORS

The unemployment rate for Harris County for calendar year 2013 was 5.5%. This is a favorable decrease from the prior year rate of 6.0%. The state unemployment rate for calendar year 2013 was 5.6%. The number of people employed with the District increased by 10 to 300 during the year.

*Harris County Flood Control District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
(Unaudited)*

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all those with an interest in the District's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the County Auditor's Office, 1001 Preston, Suite 800, Houston, Texas 77002, or visit the County's website at www.co.harris.tx.us.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
February 28, 2014

	Governmental Activities
ASSETS	
Cash and investments:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 113,082,392
Investments	163,209,986
Receivables:	
Taxes, net	5,061,677
Accounts, net	2,202,022
Accrued interest	415,521
Other	1,360,507
Prepays and other assets	619,043
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	2,561,816
Restricted investments	4,273,959
Capital assets:	
Land and construction in progress	1,640,195,965
Intangible assets - water rights	2,400,000
Other capital assets, net of depreciation	545,505,180
Total assets	2,480,888,068
 DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred charge on refundings	9,024,528
Total deferred outflows of resources	9,024,528
 LIABILITIES	
Vouchers payable	6,660,120
Accrued payroll and compensated absences	1,340,108
Retainage payable	2,998,116
Due to other units	13,099
Unearned revenue	1,500
Accrued interest	13,716,355
Long-term liabilities:	
Due within one year:	
Bonds payable	13,025,000
Compensated absences	216,226
Pollution remediation obligation	1,758
Due in more than one year:	
Bonds payable	679,683,062
Judgments payable	1,100,000
Compensated absences	138,243
OPEB obligation	9,685,875
Pollution remediation obligation	463,479
Total liabilities	729,042,941
 NET POSITION	
Net investment in capital assets	1,653,515,940
Restricted for capital projects	789,913
Unrestricted	106,563,802
Total net position	\$ 1,760,869,655

See notes to the financial statements.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014**

<u>Functions/Programs</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Program Revenues</u>			<u>Net (Expense)</u>
		<u>Charges for</u>	<u>Operating</u>	<u>Capital</u>	<u>Revenue and</u>
		<u>Services</u>	<u>Grants and</u>	<u>Grants and</u>	<u>Changes in Net</u>
			<u>Contributions</u>	<u>Contributions</u>	<u>Position</u>
					<u>Governmental</u>
					<u>Activities</u>
Governmental activities:					
Flood control administration	\$ 93,620,721	\$ 391,674	\$ 36,982,800	\$ 39,392,854	\$ (16,853,393)
Tax administration	631,147	-	-	-	(631,147)
Interest on long-term debt	31,380,954	-	-	-	(31,380,954)
Total governmental activities	<u>\$ 125,632,822</u>	<u>\$ 391,674</u>	<u>\$ 36,982,800</u>	<u>\$ 39,392,854</u>	<u>(48,865,494)</u>
General revenues:					
Taxes:					
Property taxes levied for general purposes					77,514,745
Property taxes levied for debt service					6,315,636
Earnings on investments					440,219
Miscellaneous					525,548
Total general revenues and other items					<u>84,796,148</u>
Change in net position					35,930,654
Net position - beginning (restated, Note 1)					1,724,939,001
Net position - ending					<u>\$ 1,760,869,655</u>

See notes to the financial statements.

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
BALANCE SHEET
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
February 28, 2014

	Operations and Maintenance (General)	Special Revenue Grants	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Total 2014
ASSETS					
Cash and investments:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 38,790,602	\$ 22,352	\$ -	\$ 74,269,438	\$ 113,082,392
Investments	85,382,979	-	-	77,827,007	163,209,986
Receivables:					
Taxes, net	4,622,659	-	439,018	-	5,061,677
Accounts, net	-	2,202,022	-	-	2,202,022
Accrued interest	217,379	-	-	198,142	415,521
Other	66,302	1,291,424	2,781	-	1,360,507
Due from other funds	3,152,458	61,541	29,998	286,771	3,530,768
Prepays and other assets	121,509	192,534	-	305,000	619,043
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	98,596	-	2,463,220	-	2,561,816
Restricted investments	-	-	4,273,959	-	4,273,959
Total assets	<u>\$ 132,452,484</u>	<u>\$ 3,769,873</u>	<u>\$ 7,208,976</u>	<u>\$ 152,886,358</u>	<u>\$ 296,317,691</u>
LIABILITIES					
Vouchers payable	\$ 3,742,233	\$ 148,806	\$ -	\$ 2,770,839	\$ 6,661,878
Accrued payroll and compensated absences	1,340,108	-	-	-	1,340,108
Retainage payable	257,706	181,838	-	2,558,572	2,998,116
Due to other funds	-	3,439,229	-	91,539	3,530,768
Due to other units	13,099	-	-	-	13,099
Unearned revenue	1,500	-	-	-	1,500
Total liabilities	<u>5,354,646</u>	<u>3,769,873</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>5,420,950</u>	<u>14,545,469</u>
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Unavailable revenue-property taxes	2,238,356	-	236,066	-	2,474,422
Total deferred inflows of resources	<u>2,238,356</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>236,066</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,474,422</u>
FUND BALANCES					
Nonspendable	121,509	-	-	305,000	426,509
Restricted	98,596	-	6,972,910	147,160,408	154,231,914
Assigned	18,493,691	-	-	-	18,493,691
Unassigned	106,145,686	-	-	-	106,145,686
Total fund balances	<u>124,859,482</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>6,972,910</u>	<u>147,465,408</u>	<u>279,297,800</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances	<u>\$ 132,452,484</u>	<u>\$ 3,769,873</u>	<u>\$ 7,208,976</u>	<u>\$ 152,886,358</u>	<u>\$ 296,317,691</u>

See notes to the financial statements.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET
TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
February 28, 2014**

Total fund balances for governmental funds \$ 279,297,800

Total net assets reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position is different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds. Those assets consist of:

Land	\$ 1,577,295,623	
Construction in progress	62,900,342	
Intangible assets - water rights	2,400,000	
Land improvements, net of \$225,276 accumulated depreciation	416,255	
Flood control projects, net of \$328,361,832 accumulated depreciation	534,376,562	
Buildings, net of \$3,122,133 accumulated depreciation	8,748,238	
Equipment and vehicles, net of \$7,815,960 accumulated depreciation	<u>1,964,125</u>	
Total capital assets		2,188,101,145

Long-term liabilities applicable to the District's activities are not due and payable in the current period and accordingly are not reported as fund liabilities.

Interest on long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is recognized as an expenditure when due. All liabilities - both current and long-term - are reported in the statement of net position.

Balances as of February 28, 2014 were:

Deferred charge on refundings	9,024,528	
Accrued interest on bonds	(13,716,355)	
Bonds payable	(692,708,062)	
Judgments payable	(1,100,000)	
Compensated absences	(354,469)	
OPEB obligation	(9,685,875)	
Pollution remediation obligation	<u>(463,479)</u>	
		(709,003,712)

Some of the District's assets are not available to pay for the current period's expenditures and, therefore, are reported as unavailable revenue in the funds.

		<u>2,474,422</u>
Total net position of governmental activities		<u><u>\$ 1,760,869,655</u></u>

See notes to the financial statements.

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014

	Operations and Maintenance (General)	Special Revenue Grants	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Total 2014
REVENUES					
Taxes	\$ 78,565,904	\$ -	\$ 6,349,980	\$ -	\$ 84,915,884
Intergovernmental	60,000	6,219,054	36,982,800	32,421,759	75,683,613
Lease revenue	248,792	-	-	-	248,792
Earnings on investments	65,291	-	13,649	361,279	440,219
Miscellaneous	466,850	-	6,881	194,699	668,430
Total revenues	<u>79,406,837</u>	<u>6,219,054</u>	<u>43,353,310</u>	<u>32,977,737</u>	<u>161,956,938</u>
EXPENDITURES					
Current operating:					
Flood control administration	54,149,124	772,024	-	8,673,931	63,595,079
Tax administration	631,147	-	-	-	631,147
Capital outlay	557,770	6,098,349	-	30,551,192	37,207,311
Debt service:					
Principal retirement	-	-	11,805,000	-	11,805,000
Interest and fiscal charges	-	-	33,492,912	-	33,492,912
Total expenditures	<u>55,338,041</u>	<u>6,870,373</u>	<u>45,297,912</u>	<u>39,225,123</u>	<u>146,731,449</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	<u>24,068,796</u>	<u>(651,319)</u>	<u>(1,944,602)</u>	<u>(6,247,386)</u>	<u>15,225,489</u>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Transfers in	146,477	995,449	298,019	25,197,653	26,637,598
Transfers out	(25,000,000)	(344,130)	-	(1,293,468)	(26,637,598)
Sale of capital assets	98,729	-	-	5,211,320	5,310,049
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>(24,754,794)</u>	<u>651,319</u>	<u>298,019</u>	<u>29,115,505</u>	<u>5,310,049</u>
Net changes in fund balances	(685,998)	-	(1,646,583)	22,868,119	20,535,538
Fund balances, beginning	125,545,480	-	8,619,493	124,597,289	258,762,262
Fund balances, ending	<u>\$ 124,859,482</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 6,972,910</u>	<u>\$ 147,465,408</u>	<u>\$ 279,297,800</u>

See notes to the financial statements.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014**

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$	20,535,538
<p>Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of these assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlay of \$37,207,311 exceeded depreciation of \$25,597,584 in the current period.</p>		
		11,609,727
Capital asset donations		1,083,768
<p>In the statement of net position, repayment of principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds but reduces the liability in the statement of net position.</p>		
Repayments:		
To paying agent for bond principal		11,805,000
<p>Under the modified accrual basis of accounting used in the governmental funds, expenditures are not recognized for transactions that are not normally paid with expendable available financial resources. In the statement of activities, however, which is presented on the accrual basis, expenses and liabilities are reported regardless of when financial resources are available. In addition, interest on long-term debt is not recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting until due, rather as it accrues. This adjustment combines the net changes of 7 balances.</p>		
Compensated absences	124,756	
Judgments payable	(1,100,000)	
OPEB obligation	(1,444,782)	
Pollution remediation obligation	95,693	
Amortization of debt premium	2,888,899	
Amortization of advanced refunding difference	(1,010,384)	
Accrued interest on bonds	233,443	
Combined adjustment	(212,375)	
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds.		(5,809,131)
The net effect of disposals of capital assets is to decrease net position.		(3,081,873)
Change in net position of governmental activities	\$	35,930,654

See notes to the financial statements.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
AGENCY FUNDS
February 28, 2014**

	Total Agency
ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,275
Investments	21,220
Accrued interest receivable	54
Total assets	\$ 57,549
 LIABILITIES	
Vouchers payable	\$ 31,816
Held for others	25,733
Total liabilities	\$ 57,549

See notes to the financial statements.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

I. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of Harris County Flood Control District (the "District") have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The District's significant accounting and reporting policies are described in the following notes.

A. REPORTING ENTITY

These financial statements include all of the operations and activities of the District legal entity, which is a component unit of the reporting entity of Harris County, Texas (the "County"). Financial statements of the District are included as a blended component unit in the County financial statements.

The District was created April 23, 1937 by the Texas Legislature. Commissioners Court, as the elected governing body of the County, is also the statutory governing body of the District. All activities for which the District is financially accountable have been incorporated to form the reporting entity. This report includes the financial statements of the funds required to account for activities, organizations and functions of the District. The criteria for including activities in the District's financial statements are in conformity with GASB Statement No. 14, *The Reporting Entity* and GASB Statement 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34*.

B. IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW STANDARDS

In the current year, the District implemented the following new standards:

GASB Statement 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34* ("GASB 61"), which modifies certain requirements for inclusion of component units in the financial reporting entity, amends the criteria for reporting component units as if they were part of the primary government (that is, blending) in certain circumstances and clarifies the reporting of equity interests in legally separate organizations. Implementation of GASB 61 did not have an impact on the District's financial disclosures.

GASB Statement 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities* ("GASB 65"), establishes accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify, as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities and recognizes, as outflows of resources or inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities. Implementation of GASB 65 is reflected in the financial statements and notes to the financial statements.

Also, with this implementation deferred charges, which consisted of bond issuance costs and were amortized over the term of the bonds, are no longer recognized, but are recognized as expense in the period incurred. Therefore the beginning net position on the Statement of Activities has been adjusted to reflect the portion of deferred charges that were incurred in prior years.

	Amount
Net position - beginning	\$ 1,729,563,796
Adjustment for deferred charges	(4,624,795)
Net position - restated	\$ 1,724,939,001

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

GASB Statement 66, *Technical Corrections-2012-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 10 and No. 62* ("GASB 66"), seeks to improve accounting and financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity by resolving conflicting guidance that resulted from the issuances of two pronouncements, Statements No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, and No. 62, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 GASB and AICPA Pronouncements*. Implementation of GASB 66 is reflected in the financial statements and notes to the financial statements.

C. FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION, MEASUREMENT FOCUS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Government-wide Statements

Government wide financial statements consist of the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities. These statements report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the District. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. The District reports only governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues.

The government-wide financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under this measurement focus, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of the timing of cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of the District's programs are offset by those programs' revenues. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by the program and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational and/or capital requirements of a particular program. Program revenues are generated from flood control activities. Taxes and other items not included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Fund-level Statements

All governmental funds use the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when they become both measurable and available). "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. The District considers property taxes and other revenues as available if they are collected within 60 days after year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred. Principal and interest on governmental long-term debt are recorded as fund liabilities when due or when amounts have been accumulated in the debt service fund for payments to be made early in the following year. Encumbrances are used during the year and any unliquidated items are reported as part of restricted, committed or assigned fund balance depending on the source of funding. Grant and entitlement revenues are also susceptible to accrual. These funds are accounted for on a spending "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on their balance sheets. Their reported fund balance (net current assets) is considered a measure of "available spendable resources." Governmental fund operating statements present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets. Accordingly, they are said to present a summary of sources and uses of "available spendable resources" during a period.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

Fiduciary funds, including agency funds, are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. This means that all assets and liabilities (whether current or non-current) associated with their activity are included in the funds' statement of net position. The agency funds are custodial in nature and involve no measurement of results of operations.

The accounts of the District are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures. Government resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purposes for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Funds are generally classified into three categories: Governmental, Proprietary, and Fiduciary. The District has four governmental funds and two fiduciary funds and reports all governmental funds as major funds. The District's funds are comprised of:

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

Operations and Maintenance (General) Fund - used to account for all revenues and expenditures, not accounted for in other funds, relating to general operations.

Special Revenue Grants - used to account for grant programs applicable to the District. Revenues consist of interest and intergovernmental revenues. Intergovernmental revenues are from the various granting agencies, including: the United States Department of Homeland Security; Governor's Division of Emergency Management; Texas Water Development Board; Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs; and the Army Corps of Engineers.

Debt Service Fund - used to account for payment of principal and interest on the District's property tax bonds.

Capital Projects Fund – used to account for capital improvements and acquisitions which are financed from the District's general obligation bonds and other revenue sources.

FIDUCIARY FUND - used to report assets held in an agency capacity for others and therefore cannot be used to support the government's own programs. The District reports two agency funds. The Corps of Engineers Escrow is used to account for funds held in escrow for Flood Control projects in conjunction with the Army Corp of Engineers. The Payroll fund is used to pay amounts due to external parties and related payroll liabilities from the central payroll system.

D. BUDGETS

The County adheres to the following timetable for consideration and adoption of the annual budget for the Flood Control Operations and Maintenance (General) Fund and the Debt Service Fund:

- Departmental annual budget requests are submitted by the Department or Agency Head to the County Budget Officer during the third quarter of the fiscal year for the upcoming fiscal year to begin March 1.
- The County Auditor must prepare an estimate of available resources for the upcoming fiscal year.
- The County Budget Officer prepares the proposed annual operating budget to be presented to

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

Commissioners Court for their consideration. The budget represents the financial plan for the new fiscal year.

- Public hearings are held on the proposed budget.
- Commissioners Court must adopt an annual operating budget by a majority vote of Commissioners Court before April 1. The adopted budget must be balanced; that is, available resources must be sufficient to support annual appropriations.
- Annual budgets are legally adopted for the General Fund, Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund. Budgets for Special Revenue Grants are prepared on a grant award basis.
- The fund is the legal level of budgetary control. Commissioners Court approval is necessary to transfer appropriations between departments. Transfers may not increase the total budget.
- Commissioners Court may approve expenditures as an amendment to the original budget only in the case of grave public necessity to meet an unusual and unforeseen condition that could not have been included in the original budget through the use of reasonably diligent thought and attention.
- The County Auditor shall certify to the Commissioners Court the receipt of all public or private grant or aid money that is available for disbursement in a fiscal year, but not included in the budget for that fiscal year. On certification, the court shall adopt a special budget for the limited purpose of spending the grant or aid money for its intended purpose.
- The County Auditor shall certify to the Commissioners Court the receipt of all revenue from intergovernmental contracts that are available for disbursement in a fiscal year, but not included in the budget for that fiscal year. On certification, the court shall adopt a special budget for the limited purpose of spending the revenue from intergovernmental contracts for its intended purpose.
- The County Auditor shall certify to the Commissioners Court the receipt of revenue from a new source not anticipated before the adoption of the budget and not included in the budget for that fiscal year. On certification, the court may adopt a special budget for the limited purpose of spending the revenue for general purposes or for any of its intended purposes.
- Appropriations lapse at year-end for all funds except Special Revenue Grants and Capital Project Funds.
- Budgets are prepared on a cash basis (budget basis) which differs from a GAAP basis.

A reconciliation of General Fund revenues and expenditures on a cash basis (budgetary basis) compared to modified accrual basis (GAAP) is presented in the Notes to Required Supplementary Information.

E. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and cash equivalents include amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments (i.e. with original maturity of 90 days or less). All investments are recorded at fair value based upon quoted market prices, with the difference between the purchase price and market price being recorded as earnings on investments.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

F. INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

During the course of normal operations, the District has many transactions between funds. The accompanying financial statements reflect as transfers the resources provided and expenditures used to provide services, construct assets and meet debt service requirements.

G. PREPAIDS AND OTHER ASSETS

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are reported as prepaid items in both the government-wide and fund level financial statements.

H. DEFERRED OUTFLOWS/INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will sometimes reports a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. Deferred outflows consist of deferred charge on refundings reported in the government-wide statement on net position. A deferred charge on refunding results from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded debt or refunding debt.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of net position will sometimes reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. Deferred inflows of resources consist of unavailable revenue which is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues from two sources: property taxes and other. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available.

I. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets include land, buildings, land improvements, equipment, construction in progress, intangible assets and flood control infrastructure that are used in the District's operations and benefit more than a single fiscal year. Infrastructure assets are long-lived assets that are generally stationary in nature and can typically be preserved for a significantly greater number of years than other capital assets. Infrastructure assets of the District include flood control channels, storm sewers, and related items.

Capital assets of the District are defined as assets with individual costs of \$5,000 or more and estimated useful lives in excess of one year. Exceptions to the \$5,000 capitalization threshold are as follows: it is the County's policy to capitalize all land other than easements; easements, greater than \$100,000 are capitalized. Purchased software greater than \$100,000 is capitalized and internally developed software greater than \$1,000,000 is capitalized. The threshold for capitalizing buildings and building improvements is \$100,000. The capitalization threshold for infrastructure ranges from \$25,000 to \$250,000, depending on the type of infrastructure asset. The intangible assets held by the District are in perpetuity intangible assets, meaning there is no determinable useful life and therefore are not depreciated. All purchased capital assets are stated at historical cost or estimated historical cost if actual cost is not available. Donated fixed assets are stated at their estimated fair value on the date donated.

It is the County's policy not to capitalize interest on construction for governmental capital assets. Capital assets are depreciated in the government-wide financial statements using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

<u>Asset</u>	<u>Years</u>
Land improvements	20
Buildings	45
Equipment	3-20
Flood Control Infrastructure:	
Flood control channels	25-75
Storm sewers	30-75

J. NET POSITION AND FUND BALANCES

NET POSITION CLASSIFICATIONS

Net assets in the government-wide financial statements are classified in three categories: 1) Net investment in capital assets, 2) Restricted net position, and 3) Unrestricted net position. Net position is shown as restricted if constraints placed on use are either (1) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or (2) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The District's restricted net position is restricted for capital projects.

FUND BALANCE CLASSIFICATIONS

Under GASB 54, fund balances are required to be reported according to the following classifications:

Nonspendable – Amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form or they are required, legally or contractually, to be maintained intact. This classification includes inventories, prepaid amounts, assets held for resale, and long-term receivables.

Restricted – As in the government-wide financial statements, these amounts represent assets that have externally imposed restrictions by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments. Assets may also be restricted as imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Committed – Amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority. The District's highest level of decision-making authority resides with the Commissioners' Court. The constraints imposed by the formal action of the Commissioners' Court remain binding unless removed or changed in the same manner employed to previously commit those resources. To establish, modify, or rescind a fund balance commitment requires an order adopted by Commissioners' Court.

Assigned – Amounts that are constrained by the District's intent to be used for a specific purpose, but that do not meet the criteria to be restricted or committed. The County Budget Officer, by virtue of Commissioners' Court ordered appointment to that office and as a normal function of that office, has the authority to assign fund balance to particular purposes. Assignments made by the County Budget Officer can occur during the budget process or throughout the year in the normal course of business. Commissioners' Court, at their discretion, may make assignments of fund balance or direct other County officials to do so. Constraints imposed on the use of the assigned amounts can be removed with no formal action.

Unassigned – Amounts that have not been restricted, committed, or assigned.

The District applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned, then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

K. COMPENSATED ABSENCES

Accumulated compensatory time, vacation and sick leave expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources are reported as expenditures in the respective governmental fund. Accumulated compensated absences not expected to be liquidated with expendable available resources are reported as long-term liabilities in the government-wide financial statements. A liability for compensated absences is reported in governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements.

Employees accrue 9.75 days of sick leave per year. Sick leave benefits are recognized as they are used by the employees. Employees may accumulate up to 720 hours of sick leave. Unused sick leave benefits are not paid at termination. Employees accrue from three to ten hours of vacation per pay period depending on years of service and pay period type, standard versus extra. Employees may accumulate from 120 to 280 hours of vacation benefits, depending on years of service. Upon termination, employees are paid the balance of unused vacation benefits.

Non-exempt employees earn compensatory time at one and one-half times their full pay times the excess of 40 hours per week worked. The compensatory time balance for non-exempt employees may not exceed 240 hours. Hours in excess of the 240-hour maximum must be paid to the non-exempt employee. The compensatory time for the non-exempt employee is earned at time and a half. Upon termination, non-exempt employees will be paid for compensatory time at their wage rate at time of termination. Exempt employees earn compensatory time at their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of 40 hours a week. Exempt employees can accumulate up to 240 hours of compensatory time. Upon termination, exempt employees are paid one-half of the compensatory time earned at the wage rate at time of termination. Compensatory time is carried forward indefinitely.

L. USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Deposits: Chapter 2257 of the Texas Government Code is known as the Public Funds Collateral Act. This act provides guidelines for the amount of collateral that is required to secure the deposit of public funds. Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC) is available for funds deposited at a financial institution up to a maximum of \$250,000 each for demand deposits, time and savings deposits, and deposits pursuant to indenture. The Public Funds Collateral Act requires that the deposit of public funds be collateralized in an amount not less than the total deposit, reduced by the amount of FDIC insurance available.

The custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that the District will not be able to recover deposits that are in the possession of an outside party. Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are not insured or collateralized. At February 28, 2014, the balance per various financial institutions was \$45,010,959. The

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

District's deposits are not exposed to custodial credit risk since all deposits are covered by FDIC insurance or an irrevocable standby letter of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas, in accordance with the Public Funds Collateral Act.

Investments: Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code is known as the Public Funds Investment Act. This act authorizes the District to invest its funds pursuant to a written investment policy which primarily emphasizes the safety of principal and liquidity, addresses investment diversification, yield, and maturity.

The District follows the guidelines established by the Harris County Investment policy. The Harris County Investment policy is reviewed and approved at least annually by Commissioners Court. The Investment Policy includes a list of authorized investment instruments, a maximum allowable stated maturity by fund type, and the maximum weighted average maturity of the overall portfolio. Guidelines for diversification and risk tolerance are also detailed within the policy. Additionally, the policy includes specific investment strategies for fund groups that address each group's investment options and describes the priorities for suitable investments.

AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS

Funds of Harris County may be invested as authorized by the Public Funds Investment Act which is located in Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code. Allowable investments include:

1. Direct obligations of the United States, its agencies and instrumentalities.
2. Other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed, insured, or backed by the full faith and credit of the State of Texas the United States, or any obligation fully guaranteed or fully insured by the FDIC.
3. Direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies provided the agency has the same debt rating as the State of Texas.
4. Obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions located in the United States, rated not less than A, or its equivalent, by a nationally recognized investment rating firm.
5. Fully insured or collateralized certificates of deposit/share certificates issued by state and national banks, or a savings bank, a state or federal credit union (having its main or branch office in Texas) guaranteed or insured by the FDIC or its successor; and secured by obligations in number 1 above. In addition to the County's authority to invest funds in certificates of deposit and shares certificates as stated above, made in accordance with the following conditions is an authorized investment under Texas Gov't. Code Section 2256.010(b): (1) the funds are invested by the County through a clearing broker registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and operating pursuant to SEC rule 15c3-3 (17 C.F.R. Section 240.15c3-3) with its main office or branch office in Texas and selected from a list adopted by the County as required by Section 2256.025; or a depository institution that has its main office or a branch office in this state and that is selected by the County; (2) the broker or the depository institution selected by the County arranges for the deposit of the funds in certificates of deposit in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the account of the County; (3) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of each of the certificates of deposit is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; (4) the broker or depository institution selected by the County acts as custodian for the County with respect to the certificates of deposit issued for the account of the County.

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

6. Fully collateralized repurchase agreements (provided the County has on file), a signed Master Repurchase Agreement detailing eligible collateral, collateralization ratios, standards for collateral custody and control, collateral valuation, and conditions for agreement termination. The repurchase agreement must have a defined termination date and be secured by obligations in number 1 above. It is required that the securities purchased as part of the repurchase agreement must be assigned to the County, held in the County's name, and deposited at the time the investment is made with the County's custodian or with a third-party approved by the County. Securities purchased as part of a repurchase agreement shall be marked-to-market no less than weekly. All repurchase agreements must be conducted through a primary government securities dealer as defined by the Federal Reserve or a financial institution doing business in Texas. Maturities shall be limited to 90 days. The 90-day limit may be exceeded in the case of the flexible repurchase agreements ("flex repos") provided the investment type is specifically authorized within individual bond ordinances and final maturity does not exceed the anticipated spending schedule of bond proceeds.
7. Securities lending programs if the loan is fully collateralized, including accrued income, by securities described in Texas Gov't. Code Section 2256.009, by irrevocable bank letters of credit issued by a bank under the laws of the United States or any other state, continuously rated not less than A by at least one nationally recognized investment rating firm, or by cash invested in accordance with the Investment Act. Securities held as collateral must be pledged to the investing entity, held in the investing entity's name, and deposited at the time the investment is made. A loan must be placed through a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in Texas. A loan must allow for termination at any time and must have a term of one year or less.
8. Commercial paper with a stated maturity of 270 days or less from the date of issuance, rated A-1 or P-1 or an equivalent rating by at least two nationally recognized agencies, and not under review for possible downgrade at the time of purchase.
9. Local government investment pools with a dollar weighted average maturity of 60 days or less, approved through resolution of County Commissioners to provide services to the County, continuously rated no lower than AAA or equivalent by at least one nationally recognized rating service. The County may not invest an amount that exceeds 10 percent of the total assets of any one local government investment pool. On a monthly basis, the Investment Officer shall review a list of securities held in the portfolio of any pool in which County funds are being held. To be eligible to receive funds from and invest funds on behalf of the County an investment pool must furnish to the Investment Officer or other authorized representative an offering circular or other similar disclosure instrument that contains information required by Tex. Gov't. Code, Sec. 2256.016. Investments will be made in a local government investment pool only after a thorough investigation of the pool and review by the Finance Committee.
10. A Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) registered, no load money market mutual fund which has a dollar weighted average stated maturity of 60 days or less and whose investment objectives includes the maintenance of a stable net asset value of \$1 for each share. Furthermore, it must be rated not less than AAA or equivalent by at least one nationally recognized rating service and the County must be provided with a prospectus and other information required by the SEC Act of 1934 or the Investment Company Act of 1940. The County may not invest an amount that exceeds 10 percent of the total assets of any one fund. Investments will be made in a money market mutual fund only after a thorough investigation of the fund and review by the Finance Committee.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

Summary of Cash and Investments

The District's cash and investments are stated at fair value. The following is a summary of cash and investments held by the District at February 28, 2014.

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>	<u>Fiduciary Funds</u>	<u>Total</u>
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 113,082,392	\$ 36,275	\$ 113,118,667
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents	2,561,816	-	2,561,816
Investments	163,209,986	21,220	163,231,206
Restricted Investments	4,273,959	-	4,273,959
Total Cash & Investments	<u>\$ 283,128,153</u>	<u>\$ 57,495</u>	<u>\$ 283,185,648</u>

The table below indicates the fair value and maturity value of the District's investments as of February 28, 2014, summarized by security type. Also demonstrated are the percentage of total portfolio and the weighted average maturity in years for each summarized security type.

<u>Security</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Percentage of Portfolio</u>	<u>Maturity Amount</u>	<u>Weighted Avg Modified Duration (Years)</u>	<u>Credit Rating S&P/ Moody's</u>
<i>US Agency Notes</i>					
FHLB	\$ 12,959,180	4.55%	\$ 13,000,000	0.122	AA+/Aaa
FHLMC	16,163,277	5.68%	16,450,000	0.219	AA+/Aaa
FNMA	90,693,980	31.85%	91,000,000	1.004	AA+/Aaa
<i>Commercial Paper</i>					
FCARII	14,998,321	5.27%	15,000,000	0.005	A-1/P-1
TMCC	13,200,854	4.63%	13,210,000	0.018	A-1+/P-1
TMCP - Disc. Commercial Paper	1,068,445	0.38%	1,070,000	0.002	A-1+/P-1
<i>Local Governments</i>					
Colorado St Housing & Fin Auth	6,098,280	2.14%	6,000,000	0.063	Aa2
Connecticut State GO	1,002,530	0.35%	1,000,000	0.001	AA/Aa3
Conroe TX Industrial Dev Corp	253,975	0.09%	250,000	0.001	AA-/A1
Franklin WI Taxable	1,525,062	0.54%	1,525,062	0.000	Aa1
Mil Cnty WIS GO	2,233,476	0.78%	2,305,000	0.029	AA/Aa2
Nassua Cnty NY	1,512,585	0.53%	1,500,000	0.014	AAA
Newark NJ TXBL Ref	2,756,019	0.97%	2,775,000	0.029	AA-/A1
NJ St Econ Dev Auth Revenue	2,508,600	0.88%	2,500,000	0.009	A+/A1
Virginia State Port Authority	500,915	0.18%	500,000	0.001	AA+/Aa1
<i>Money Market Funds</i>					
Fidelity Instl Treasury	31,954,927	11.22%	31,954,927	N/A	AAAm/Aaa
Fidelity Instl - Tax Exempt	13,056,032	4.58%	13,056,032	N/A	AAAm/Aaa
Lone Star Investment Pool	39,786,784	13.97%	39,786,784	N/A	AAAm/Aaa
Logic - Investment Pool	32,502,540	11.41%	32,502,541	N/A	AAAm/Aaa
Total Investments	<u>284,775,782</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$ 285,385,346</u>		
<i>Outstanding items</i>	<u>(1,590,134)</u>				
Total Cash & Investments	<u>\$ 283,185,648</u>				

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

RISK DISCLOSURES

Interest Rate Risk: All investments carry the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways that the District manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter and longer term investments and by matching cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

According to the County investment policy, no more than 50% of the portfolio, excluding those investments held for construction/capital projects, special revenue, and flood control may be invested beyond three years. Additionally at least 15% of the portfolio, with the previous exceptions, is invested in overnight instruments or in marketable securities which can be sold to raise cash within one day's notice. Overall, the average maturity of the portfolio, with the previous exceptions, shall not exceed three years. As of February 28, 2014, the District was in compliance with all of these guidelines to manage interest rate risk.

Credit Risk and Concentration of Credit Risk: Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of an investment in a single issuer. The District mitigates these risks by emphasizing the importance of a diversified portfolio. All funds must be sufficiently diversified to eliminate the risk of loss resulting from over-concentration of assets in a specific maturity, a specific issuer, or a specific class of securities. In particular, no more than 25% of the overall portfolio may be invested in time deposits, including certificates of deposit, of a single issuer. Concentration by issuer for other investment instruments is not specifically addressed in the investment policy. However, the policy does specify that acceptable investment instruments must have high quality credit ratings and, consequently, risk is minimal.

The County's investment policy establishes minimum acceptable credit ratings for certain investment instruments. Securities of states, agencies, counties, cities and other political subdivisions must be rated as investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm as A or its equivalent. Money market mutual funds and public funds investment pools must be rated AAA or its equivalent by at least one nationally recognized investment rating firm.

Custodial Credit Risk: Investments are exposed to custodial credit risk if the investments are uninsured, are not registered in the District's name and are held by the counterparty. In the event of the failure of the counterparty, the District may not be able to recover the value of its investments that are held by the counterparty. As of February 28, 2014, all of the District's investments are held in the District's name.

Foreign Currency Risk: Foreign currency risk is the risk that fluctuations in the exchange rate will adversely affect the value of investments denominated in a currency other than the US dollar. The County Investment Policy does not list securities denominated in a foreign currency among the authorized investment instruments. Consequently, the District is not exposed to foreign currency risk.

FUND INVESTMENT CONSIDERATIONS

The Investment Policy outlines specific investment strategies for each fund or group of funds identified on the District's financial statements. The two investment strategies employed by the District are the Matching Approach and the Barbell Approach. The Matching Approach is an investment method that matches maturing investments with disbursements. Matching requires an accurate forecast of disbursement requirements. The Barbell Approach is an investment method where maturities are concentrated at two

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

points, one at the short end of the investment horizon and the other at the long end. Specific guidelines have not been established for Pooled Investments. The investment strategies and maturity criteria are outlined in the following table.

Fund Type	Investment Strategy	Maximum Maturity Per Policy (Years)	Maturity Amount	Average Remaining Years To Maturity
Debt Service Funds	Matching	3	\$ 4,280,000	0.59
Pool/Special Revenue	Matching	5	163,805,062	2.60
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	N/A	117,300,284	N/A
			<u>\$ 285,385,346</u>	

Note: Money Market Mutual Funds are excluded from the various fund types which may affect the average remaining maturity.

3. PROPERTY TAXES

Property taxes for the District are levied each year based on tax rates adopted within 60 days of receiving the certified roll or September 30, whichever is later. Tax rates for the District are usually adopted in September or October. Taxes are levied on the assessed value of all taxable real and personal property as of the preceding January 1. On January 1, at the time of assessment, an enforceable lien is attached to the property for property taxes. Appraised values are determined by the Harris County Appraisal District (the "Appraisal District") equal to 100% of the appraised market value as required by the State Property Tax Code. Real property must be appraised at least every three years. Taxpayers and taxing units may challenge appraisals of the Appraisal District through various appeals and, if necessary, legal action.

The District is permitted by law to levy a tax rate up to \$.30 per \$100 of taxable valuation. There is no limitation on the tax rate which may be set for debt service within the \$.30/\$100 valuation. The tax rate for maintenance and operations is limited to the rate as may from time to time be approved by the voters of the District. The maximum tax rate for maintenance and operations is \$.15 per \$100 of taxable valuation. The County is responsible for setting the tax rate for the District. The County adopted the following tax rates on behalf of the District for the 2013 tax year, per \$100 of taxable valuation:

	Maintenance and Operations (General)	Debt Service	Total
Flood Control District	\$0.02620	\$0.00207	\$0.02827

Property tax receivables of \$5,061,677 as of February 28, 2014 are reported net of an allowance for uncollectible taxes of \$10,094,465.

4. INTERFUND BALANCES AND TRANSFERS

In the fund financial statements, interfund balances are the result of normal transactions between funds and will be liquidated in the subsequent fiscal year. Balances between individual governmental funds are eliminated in the government-wide financial statements.

The interfund receivable and payable balances, as of February 28, 2014 are as follows:

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

	Receivable:				
	General Fund	Special Revenue Grants	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Total
Payable:					
Special Revenue Grants	\$ 3,152,458	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 286,771	\$ 3,439,229
Capital Projects	-	61,541	29,998	-	91,539
TOTAL	\$ 3,152,458	\$ 61,541	\$ 29,998	\$ 286,771	\$ 3,530,768

The interfund balances are for the Special Revenue Grants to reimburse the General Fund and Capital Projects for short-term loans until the grant monies are received; most grants are on a reimbursement basis from the granting agencies.

The following is a summary of the District's transfers for the year ended February 28, 2014:

	Transfers In:				
	General Fund	Special Revenue Grants	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Total
Transfers Out:					
General Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 25,000,000
Special Revenue Grants	146,477	-	-	197,653	344,130
Capital Projects	-	995,449	298,019	-	1,293,468
TOTAL	\$ 146,477	\$ 995,449	\$ 298,019	\$ 25,197,653	\$ 26,637,598

The transfers are routine in nature. Transfers to the Special Revenue Grants are to meet grant matching requirements in the grant contracts. Transfers to the Debt Service are to make debt payments. Transfers between individual governmental funds are eliminated in the government-wide financial statements.

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

5. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets transactions are summarized as follows:

	Balance March 1, 2013	Additions	Deletions	Transfers	Balance February 28, 2014
Governmental Activities:					
Land	\$ 1,572,966,032	\$ 5,122,621	\$ (889,313)	\$ 96,283	\$ 1,577,295,623
Construction in progress	126,171,519	32,756,013	(2,103,725)	(93,923,465)	62,900,342
Intangible Assets - water rights	2,400,000	-	-	-	2,400,000
Total capital assets not depreciated	<u>1,701,537,551</u>	<u>37,878,634</u>	<u>(2,993,038)</u>	<u>(93,827,182)</u>	<u>1,642,595,965</u>
Land improvements	641,531	-	-	-	641,531
Buildings	11,870,371	-	-	-	11,870,371
Equipment	9,467,398	412,445	(99,759)	-	9,780,084
Flood control projects	768,911,212	-	-	93,827,182	862,738,394
	<u>790,890,512</u>	<u>412,445</u>	<u>(99,759)</u>	<u>93,827,182</u>	<u>885,030,380</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Land Improvements	(193,462)	(31,814)	-	-	(225,276)
Buildings	(2,852,471)	(269,662)	-	-	(3,122,133)
Equipment	(7,146,585)	(680,298)	10,924	-	(7,815,959)
Flood control projects	(303,746,022)	(24,615,810)	-	-	(328,361,832)
	<u>(313,938,540)</u>	<u>(25,597,584)</u>	<u>10,924</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(339,525,200)</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>476,951,972</u>	<u>(25,185,139)</u>	<u>(88,835)</u>	<u>93,827,182</u>	<u>545,505,180</u>
Governmental activities capital assets, net	<u>\$ 2,178,489,523</u>	<u>\$ 12,693,495</u>	<u>\$ (3,081,873)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,188,101,145</u>

Depreciation expense was charged to the Flood Control Administration function of the District for \$25,597,584.

6. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Bonded debt of the District consists of various issues of General Obligation Bonds, which are direct obligations of the District with the District's full faith and credit pledged towards the payment of these obligations. Debt service on these bonds is paid from the receipts of a separate limited ad valorem tax. All bonded debt is capital-related.

The changes in the District's Governmental Long-Term Liabilities for fiscal year 2013-2014 were as follows:

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

	Balance			Balance Outstanding February 28, 2014	Amount Due Within One Year
	Outstanding March 1, 2013	Increase	Decrease		
Governmental Activities:					
Refunding Series 2002	\$ 3,410,000	\$ -	\$ (2,365,000)	\$ 1,045,000	\$ 1,045,000
Refunding Series 2003A	3,460,000	-	(1,170,000)	2,290,000	1,170,402
Refunding Series 2004A	12,665,000	-	(6,180,000)	6,485,000	6,485,000
Refunding Series 2006A	94,185,000	-	-	94,185,000	830,576
Improvement Bonds Series 2007	89,600,000	-	-	89,600,000	523,215
Refunding Series 2008A	134,190,000	-	-	134,190,000	298,074
Refunding Series 2008C	149,240,000	-	(2,090,000)	147,150,000	2,628,112
Refunding Series 2010A	181,885,000	-	-	181,885,000	44,621
Total Bonds Payable - Principal	668,635,000	-	(11,805,000)	656,830,000	13,025,000
Unamortized Premium, Series 2002	26,525	-	(21,543)	4,982	-
Unamortized Discount, Series 2003A	(6,380)	-	2,916	(3,464)	-
Unamortized Premium, Series 2004A	168,914	-	(123,441)	45,473	-
Unamortized Premium, Series 2006A	4,962,392	-	(230,369)	4,732,023	-
Unamortized Premium, Series 2007	4,303,948	-	(201,170)	4,102,778	-
Unamortized Premium, Series 2008A	11,864,914	-	(1,656,622)	10,208,292	-
Unamortized Discount, Series 2008C	(680,152)	-	34,498	(645,654)	-
Unamortized Premium, Series 2010A	18,126,800	-	(693,168)	17,433,632	-
Total Bonds Payable	707,401,961	-	(14,693,899)	692,708,062	13,025,000
Compensatory Time Payable	479,225	129,233	(253,989)	354,469	216,226
Judgments Payable	-	1,100,000	-	1,100,000	-
OPEB Obligation	8,241,093	1,444,782	-	9,685,875	-
Pollution Remediation Obligation	565,984	-	(100,747)	465,237	1,758
TOTAL	\$ 716,688,263	\$ 2,674,015	\$ (15,048,635)	\$ 704,313,643	\$ 13,242,984

Historically, the Debt Service fund has been used to liquidate bonded debt and the General Fund has been used to liquidate other long-term liabilities.

A. OUTSTANDING BONDED DEBT

	Original Issue Amount	Interest Rates (%)	Date Series		Balance February 28, 2014
			Issued	Matures	
Refunding Series 2002	\$ 44,495,000	3.00-5.50	2002	2014	\$ 1,045,000
Refunding Series 2003A	36,945,000	3.00-6.00	2003	2015	2,290,000
Refunding Series 2004A	139,865,000	3.00-5.25	2004	2014	6,485,000
Refunding Series 2006A	94,185,000	5.00	2006	2031	94,185,000
Improvement Series 2007	89,600,000	4.75-5.00	2007	2031	89,600,000
Refunding Series 2008A	137,095,000	4.00-5.25	2008	2021	134,190,000
Refunding Series 2008C	158,100,000	3.00-5.125	2008	2024	147,150,000
Refunding Series 2010A	181,885,000	5.00	2010	2039	181,885,000
TOTAL	\$ 882,170,000				\$ 656,830,000

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

B. DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

The debt service requirements to maturity for the bonds are summarized as follows:

<u>Fiscal year</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2015	\$ 13,025,000	\$ 32,932,425	\$ 45,957,425
2016	9,785,000	32,420,056	42,205,056
2017	9,045,000	31,960,619	41,005,619
2018	9,450,000	31,530,369	40,980,369
2019	24,245,000	31,057,869	55,302,869
2020-2024	217,820,000	127,489,681	345,309,681
2025-2029	155,580,000	79,907,069	235,487,069
2030-2034	131,485,000	37,959,000	169,444,000
2035-2039	70,185,000	14,922,750	85,107,750
2040-2043	16,210,000	810,500	17,020,500
	<u>\$ 656,830,000</u>	<u>\$ 420,990,338</u>	<u>\$ 1,077,820,338</u>

C. UNISSUED AUTHORIZED BONDS

As of February 28, 2014, the District has issued all voter authorized property tax bonds.

D. REFUNDING/ISSUANCE OF DEBT

The District did not issue any debt in the current fiscal year.

E. COMMERCIAL PAPER

On August 21, 2001, Commissioners Court authorized a \$200,000,000 commercial paper program designated as the Harris County Flood Control District Contract Tax Commercial Paper Notes, Series F ("Series F Notes") to fund projects identified in an agreement between the County and the Flood Control District ("Flood Contract") and refinance, refund, and renew the notes themselves and fund issuance costs. However, that authorization is currently limited to \$60,000,000, the amount of the Series F liquidity facility. As of February 28, 2014, there is no outstanding Series F commercial paper, nor was there any Series F commercial paper activity during the year then ended.

F. SUBSEQUENT BOND ISSUANCES

On June 4, 2014, the County issued \$36,590,000 of Flood Control District Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2014 to refund and defease a portion of the County's outstanding Flood Control District Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2003A and Series 2007, and to pay the cost of such issuance. The annual interest rate is 2% to 5%. The issuance had a premium of \$7,520,834. The interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in 2026. The refunding resulted in a savings of \$7,520,321 due to a decrease in the cash flow requirements and had an economic gain of \$4,509,072.

On June 4, 2014, the County issued \$60,100,000 of Flood Control District Contract Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014A to refund and defease a portion of the County's outstanding Flood Control District Contract Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2006A and Series 2010A, and to pay the cost of such issuance. The annual interest rate is 1% to 5%. The issuance had a premium of \$11,954,037. The interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in 2029. The refunding resulted in a savings of \$11,498,375 due to a decrease in the cash flow requirements and had an economic gain of \$6,770,587.

On June 4, 2014, the County issued \$73,665,000 of Flood Control District Contract Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2014B to refund and defease a portion of the County's outstanding Flood Control District Contract Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2008A and Series 2008C, and to pay the cost of such issuance. The annual interest rate is .25% to 3.211%. The interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in 2024. The refunding resulted in a savings of \$4,129,967 due to a decrease in the cash flow

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

requirements and had an economic loss of \$80,087.

The refundings increased long-term liabilities due within one year \$2.265M and decreased long-term liabilities due in more than one year by the same amount.

G. ARBITRAGE REBATE LIABILITY

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 established regulations for the rebate to the federal government of arbitrage earnings on certain local government bonds issued after December 31, 1985, and all local governmental bonds issued after August 31, 1986. Issuing governments must calculate any rebate due and remit the amount due at least every five years. There were no arbitrage rebate payments made during fiscal year 2014. As of February 28, 2014 there were no estimated liabilities for arbitrage rebate on governmental debt. The Debt Service Funds have typically been used to liquidate arbitrage liabilities in previous years.

7. RETIREMENT PLAN

Plan Description

The District, a component unit of Harris County, provides retirement and disability benefits for all of its employees (excluding temporary) through a non-traditional defined benefit pension plan in the statewide Texas County and District Retirement System (“TCDRS”). The Board of Trustees of TCDRS is responsible for the administration of the statewide agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system consisting of 656 non-traditional defined benefit pension plans. TCDRS in the aggregate issues a comprehensive annual financial report (“CAFR”) on a calendar year basis. The CAFR is available upon written request from the TCRDS Board of Trustees at P.O. Box 2034, Austin, Texas 78768-2034.

Under the state law governing TCDRS enacted in 1991, effective January 1, 1992, the County selected a plan of benefits to provide in the future, while at the same time considering the level of the employer contribution rate required to adequately finance the plan. Effective January 1, 1995, the County adopted an annually determined contribution rate plan, for which the employer contribution rate is actuarially determined as a part of the annual actuarial valuation. The rate, applicable for a calendar year, consists of the normal cost contribution rate plus the rate required to amortize the unfunded actuarial liability over the remainder of the plan’s 20-year amortization period which began January 1, 1995 using the entry age actuarial cost method. Monthly contributions by the County are based on the covered payroll and the employer contribution rate in effect. The contribution rate for calendar year 2014 is 12.43%. The contribution rates for calendar years 2013 and 2012 were 11.59% and 10.67% respectively.

The plan provisions are adopted by the Commissioners Court of the County, within the options available in the state statutes governing TCDRS (“TCDRS Act”). Members can retire at ages 60 and above with 8 or more years of service or with 30 years of service regardless of age or when the sum of their age and years of service equals 75 or more. Members are vested after eight years but must leave their accumulated contributions in the plan to receive any employer-financed benefit. Members who withdraw their personal contributions in a lump sum are not entitled to any amounts contributed by the District.

Benefit amounts are determined by the sum of the employee’s contributions to the plan, with interest and employer-financed monetary credits. The level of these monetary credits is adopted by Commissioners Court, within the actuarial constraints imposed by the TCDRS Act so that the resulting benefits can be expected to be adequately financed by the employer’s commitment to contribute. At retirement, death, or disability, the benefit is calculated by converting the sum of the employee’s accumulated contributions and the employer-financed monetary credits to a monthly annuity using annuity purchase rates prescribed by the TCDRS Act.

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

Funding Policy

The County has elected the annually determined contribution rate (“ADCR”) plan provisions of the TCDRS Act. The plan is funded by monthly contributions from both employee members and the County based on the covered payroll of employee members. Under the TCDRS Act, the contribution rate of the County is actuarially determined annually. The County contributed using an actuarially determined rate of 11.59% for the months of the calendar year in 2013, and 12.43% for the months of the calendar year in 2014. The contribution rate payable by the employee members for 2013 and 2014 is the rate of 6% as adopted by Commissioner’s Court. The employee contribution rate and the employer contribution rate may be changed by Commissioner’s Court, within the options available in the TCDRS Act.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as RSI following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

Annual Pension Cost

For the County’s fiscal year ended February 28, 2014, the annual pension cost for the TCDRS plan for its employees, including the District, was \$94,478,802 and the actual contributions for the District were \$2,147,122. (This excludes actuarial contributions of \$3,954,472 for Community Supervision, which is not considered a department or component unit of the County.) The annual required contributions were actuarially determined as a percent of the covered payroll of the participating employees, and were in compliance with GASB Statement No. 27, *Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employees*, parameters based on the actuarial valuations as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, which were the basis for determining the contribution rates for calendar years 2013 and 2014. The December 31, 2013 actuarial valuation is the most recent valuation.

The assumptions at December 31, 2013 summarized below included (a) 8.0 percent investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), and (b) projected salary increases of 4.9 percent. Both (a) and (b) included an inflation component of 3.0 percent. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that spread the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over a five-year period.

Actuarial Valuation Method			
Actuarial Valuation Date	12/31/11	12/31/12	12/31/13
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age	Entry Age	Entry Age
Amortization Method	Level percentage of payroll, closed	Level percentage of payroll, closed	Level percentage of payroll, closed
Amortization period in years	20	20	20
Asset Valuation Method	SAF: 10-yr smoothed value ESF: Fund value	SAF: 10-yr smoothed value ESF: Fund value	SAF: 5-yr smoothed value ESF: Fund value
Actuarial Assumption			
Investment return (1)	8.0 %	8.0 %	8.0 %
Projected Salary Increases (1)	5.4 %	5.4 %	4.9 %
Inflation	3.5 %	3.5 %	3.0 %
Cost of Living Adjustments	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
(1) Includes inflation at the stated rate.			

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

Harris County Trend Information				
Accounting Year Ending	Total Annual Pension Cost	Flood Control Portion	Percentage of APC Contributed	Net Pension Obligation
2/28/14	\$ 94,478,802	\$2,147,122	100%	\$ -
2/28/13	80,833,553	1,936,374	100%	-
2/29/12	75,064,075	1,800,466	100%	-
Schedule of Funding (including Community Supervision)				
Actuarial Valuation Date			12/31/13	
Actuarial Value of Assets			\$3,066,967,671	
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)			\$3,569,569,202	
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)			\$ 502,601,531	
Funded Ratio			85.92%	
Annual Covered Payroll (Actuarial)			\$ 800,850,524	
UAAL as Percentage of Covered Payroll			62.76%	

8. OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

THE PLAN:

Plan Description

Harris County administers an agent multiple-employer defined benefit post employment healthcare plan that covers retired employees of participating governmental entities. The plan provides medical, dental, vision, and basic life insurance benefits to plan members. Local Government Code Section 157.101 assigns the authority to establish and amend benefit provisions to Commissioner's Court.

Membership in the plan at March 1, 2013, the date of the latest actuarial valuation, consists of the following:

Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits	4,253
Active plan members	13,422
Number of participating employers	5

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting. The Plan's transactions are recorded using the accrual basis of accounting. Plan member and employer contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable.

Method Used to Value Investments. Investments are reported at fair value, which is based on quoted market prices with the difference between the purchase price and market price being recorded as earnings on investments.

Contributions

Local Government Code Section 157.102 assigns to Commissioner's Court the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan members and the participating employers. The following tables present the criteria for the employers' contribution to the retiree's and qualifying dependent's benefits:

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

Retired Prior to March 1, 2002:

Years of Service	10 yrs.	9 yrs.	8 yrs.	< 8yrs. with proportionate service and/or disability
Retiree - Employer Share	100%	90%	80%	50%
Retiree - Retiree Share	0%	10%	20%	50%
Dependent - Employer Share	50%	45%	40%	25%
Dependent - Retiree Share	50%	55%	60%	75%

Retired or Eligible to Retire Prior to March 1, 2011:

Employee's age plus years of service	75	75	70-74	< 70	N/A
Years of service	10	8-9	8	4-7	< 4
Consecutive service years at retirement	4	4	4	4	N/A
Retiree - Employer Share	100%	80%	80%	50%	0%
Retiree - Retiree Share	0%	20%	20%	50%	100%
Dependent - Employer Share	50%	40%	40%	25%	0%
Dependent - Retiree Share	50%	60%	60%	75%	100%

Eligible to Retire March 1, 2011 or After:

A combination of age plus a minimum of 10 years of non-forfeited Harris County/TCDRS service equal to 80 or at least age 65 with a minimum of 10 years of non-forfeited Harris County/TCDRS service to receive 100% County contributions for retiree coverage and 50% for dependent coverage.

Employees Hired on or After March 1, 2007:

A combination of age plus a minimum of 20 years of non-forfeited Harris County/TCDRS service equal to 80 or at least age 65 with a minimum of 15 years of non-forfeited Harris County/TCDRS service to receive any County contributions for retiree or dependent coverage.

Effective March 1, 2012:

Harris County pays no more for retiree healthcare than the premium it pays for active employees for each rate tier structure (retiree only, retiree + spouse, retiree + child, retiree + 2 or more dependents). As a result all non-Medicare retirees pay an additional amount for their coverage regardless of their retirement date.

The Plan rates are set annually by Commissioner's Court based on the combination of premiums and costs of the self-funded portion of the plan. The Plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. For the year ended February 28, 2014, plan members or beneficiaries receiving benefits contributed \$9.53 million, or approximately 22.2 percent of total benefits paid during the year. Participating employers contributed \$33.47 million. The total contributions for the year ended February 28, 2014 were \$43.00 million. Total contributions included actual medical claims paid, premiums for other insurance and administrative costs calculated through an annual rate calculation.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

THE EMPLOYER:

Annual OPEB Cost

For 2014, the County's annual OPEB cost (expense) was \$103,016,414 (including Flood Control of \$3,144,188) for the post employment healthcare plan. The County's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014 were as follows:

Annual Required Contribution	\$ 109,456,719
Add interest on Net OPEB Obligation	14,448,163
Less adjustment to Annual Required Contribution	<u>(20,888,468)</u>
Annual OPEB Cost	103,016,414
Less Contributions made	<u>(33,473,572)</u>
Change in Net OPEB Obligation	69,542,842
Net OPEB Obligation, beginning of the year	<u>361,204,066</u>
Net OPEB Obligation, end of the year	<u><u>\$ 430,746,908</u></u>

Trend Information:

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual OPEB Cost	Employer Contribution	Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed	Net Ending OPEB Obligation
2/29/2012	\$ 92,618,903	\$ 38,812,133	42%	\$ 307,602,994
2/28/2013	91,773,629	38,172,557	42%	361,204,066
2/28/2014	103,016,414	33,473,572	32%	430,746,908

The above tables include information for the 5 participating employers to the agent multiple-employer defined benefit post employment healthcare plan that the County administers. Two of the employers, Emergency 911 and Community Supervision, are not considered departments or component units of the County. The net OPEB obligation for Emergency 911 and Community Supervision is \$716,073 and \$0 respectively for fiscal year 2013 and the net OPEB obligation for Emergency 911 and Community Supervision is \$854,515 and \$0 respectively at February 28, 2014. Flood Control's portion of the net OPEB obligation above is \$8,241,093 for fiscal year 2013 and \$9,685,875 at February 28, 2014.

Funded Status and Funding Progress. The funded status of the plan as of March 1, 2013 (most recent actuarial valuation) was as follows:

	All Participants	Flood Control Portion
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$ 1,189,670,446	\$ 42,120,241
Funded ratio (actuarial value of plan assets/AAL)	0%	0%
Covered Payroll (active plan members)	\$ 776,162,676	\$ 17,979,083
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll	153%	234%

The "All Participants" column in the above table includes UAAL of \$1,586,416 for Emergency 911 and UAAL of \$13,136,729 for Community Supervision.

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. The actuarial assumptions used in calculating the County's UAAL and ARC are elaborated later in this note. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revisions as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are made on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations. In order to perform the valuation, it was necessary for the County and the actuary to make certain assumptions regarding such items as rates of employee turnover, retirement, and mortality, as well as economic assumptions regarding healthcare trend and interest rates.

In the March 1, 2013, actuarial valuation, a 4% discount rate was used. The medical trend rates of 7% for 2013 and 2014 graded down to an ultimate rate of 5% by 2017 were used per the actuary's best estimate of expected long-term plan experience. The economic assumptions used in this valuation implicitly assume a general inflation level of approximately 2.5%.

The actuarial cost method used in valuing the County's liabilities was the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method. Under this method the benefits of each individual included in the valuation were allocated by a consistent formula over the years. The amortization period and method utilized was 30 year level dollar open period.

Additional Disclosures

Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 175 requires counties to make available continued health benefits coverage under certain circumstances to retirees and their dependents beyond the end of an individual's employment with the County ("Continuation Coverage") by permitting covered employees to purchase continued health benefits coverage in retirement. Texas law does not require counties to fund all or any portion of such coverage.

Because the County is given the authority to pay OPEB for its retired employees, it may incur a debt obligation to pay for OPEB so long as the County follows the constitutional requirement that it have sufficient taxing authority available at the time such debt is incurred to provide for the payment of the debt and has in fact levied a tax for such purpose concurrently with the incurrence of the debt. Any debt incurred in contravention of this constitutional requirement is considered void and payment will not be due. Harris County has not incurred a legal debt obligation for OPEB and has not levied a tax for the same. The County funds the cost associated with OPEB on a current "pay as you go" basis for a single fiscal year through an annual appropriation authorized by Commissioner's Court during the County's annual budget adoption process.

GAAP requires governmental organizations to recognize an actuarially calculated accrued liability for OPEB, even though it may not have a legally enforceable obligation to pay OPEB benefits.

Information and amounts presented in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report relative to

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

OPEB expense/expenditures, related liabilities (assets), note disclosures, and supplementary information are only intended to achieve compliance with the requirements of generally accepted accounting principles and does not constitute or imply that the County is legally obligated to provide OPEB benefits.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as Required Supplementary Information, following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

LANDFILL POST-CLOSURE CARE COST

The District acquired land for a detention basin. When construction for the detention basin began several years ago, an unpermitted landfill was discovered. This landfill was capped per the requirements at the time. Currently, the District has no regulatory requirement to remediate this site. The District is conducting additional soil and groundwater sampling and once the sampling activities are completed any necessary actions will be identified. The costs for this landfill are included in the pollution remediation obligations.

POLLUTION REMEDIATION OBLIGATIONS

The District is subject to numerous Federal, State and Local environmental laws and regulations. GASB 49 established standards for the accounting and reporting of obligations incurred to address current or potential detrimental effects of existing pollution. The District recorded in the financial statements pollution remediation liabilities of \$465,237. This liability is partially attributable to land acquired by the District with known pollution which is expected to be remediated before the land can be used for its intended purpose. This portion of the liability was capitalized. The remainder of the liability was expensed. The liability was calculated based on historical expenditures and professional judgment. The liability is an estimate and is subject to revision because of price increases or reductions, changes in technology, changes in applicable laws or regulations, or other circumstances that could cause changes. Although some uncertainties associated with environmental assessment remain and certain costs are not quantifiable, management believes the current provision for such costs is adequate. There are no estimated recoveries reducing the liability as of February 28, 2014. Additional costs, if any, are not expected to have a material effect on the financial condition of the District.

LITIGATION

The District is involved in lawsuits and other claims in the ordinary course of operations. Although the outcome of such lawsuits and other claims is not presently determinable, the resolution of these matters is not expected to have a material effect on the financial condition of the District. There are some civil cases that have resulted in settlements, consent decrees, or are expected to have a financial impact on the District in subsequent fiscal years. Total liabilities of \$1,100,000 for judgments payable have been recorded in Government-Wide financial statements.

CONSTRUCTION COMMITMENTS

The District has commitments under various contracts in connection with the construction of flood control facilities, buildings, and projects of \$37,896,019.

ENCUMBRANCES

The District uses encumbrances to control expenditure commitments for the year. Encumbrances represent commitments related to executor contracts not yet performed and purchase orders not yet filled. Commitments for such expenditure of monies are encumbered to reserve portion of applicable appropriations. Depending on the source(s) of funding, encumbrances are reported as part of restricted,

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

committed or assigned fund balance on the governmental funds balance sheet. As of February 28, 2014, the encumbrance balances for the governmental funds are reported as follows:

	Restricted	Assigned	Total
General Fund	\$ -	\$ 18,493,091	\$ 18,493,091
Capital Projects	37,896,019	-	37,896,019
	<u>\$ 37,896,019</u>	<u>\$ 18,493,091</u>	<u>\$ 56,389,110</u>

10. RISK MANAGEMENT

The District's risk-of-loss exposures include exposure to liability and accidental loss of real and personal property as well as human resources. District operations involve a variety of high-risk activities including, but not limited to, construction and maintenance activities. The County's Office of Human Resources & Risk Management is responsible for identifying, evaluating, and managing the District's risk in order to reduce the exposure from liability and accidental loss of property and human resources. The District is treated as a County department by the County's Risk Management Office and is assessed premiums and charges similar to other County departments.

The District is covered by the Harris County workers' compensation program. The County is self-insured for workers' compensation medical, indemnity, and other related payments. Claims adjusting services are provided by a third-party administrative claims adjusting service. Interfund premiums on workers' compensation are determined by position class code, at actuarially determined rates.

The County has excess insurance coverage for employer's liability. During the last three fiscal years, no claims paid exceeded the insurance coverage for the County. Through the County, the District provides medical, dental, vision, and basic life and disability insurance to eligible employees and retirees. The District pays the full cost of health benefits for eligible employees and 50% of the cost of dependent premiums. Disability insurance will pay up to 50% of an employee's salary for two years with an employee option to extend the benefits period to age 65 and increase the percentage to 60%.

The District's group insurance premiums, as well as employee payroll deductions for premiums for dependents and optional coverage, are paid into the County's Health Insurance Management Fund, which in turn makes disbursements to contracted insurance providers based upon monthly enrollment and premium calculations.

Billings to the District for property insurance, professional liability insurance, and crime and fidelity policies are handled through the County's Risk Management Fund, as are payments to the insurance carriers. Claim payments made up to the deductible limit are expensed by the District when paid by the Risk Management Fund. Payments for the District's general, vehicle, and property damage liability claims, for which the County is self-insured, are made through the Risk Management Fund and billed to the District.

11. FUND BALANCES

Fund balances are presented in the following categories: nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned as described in Note 1. The following is a detail of fund balances for all the major and nonmajor governmental funds at February 28, 2014:

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014**

	Operations and Maintenance (General)	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Total
Fund Balances:				
Nonspendable:				
Prepays	\$ 121,509	\$ -	\$ 305,000	\$ 426,509
Total nonspendable	<u>121,509</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>305,000</u>	<u>426,509</u>
Restricted for:				
Debt service	98,596	6,972,910	-	7,071,506
Capital projects	-	-	147,160,408	147,160,408
Total restricted	<u>98,596</u>	<u>6,972,910</u>	<u>147,160,408</u>	<u>154,231,914</u>
Assigned to:				
Encumbrances	18,493,091	-	-	18,493,091
Imprest cash	600	-	-	600
Total assigned	<u>18,493,691</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>18,493,691</u>
Unassigned	<u>106,145,686</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>106,145,686</u>
Total fund balances	<u>\$ 124,859,482</u>	<u>\$ 6,972,910</u>	<u>\$ 147,465,408</u>	<u>\$ 279,297,800</u>

12. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

GASB Statement 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans* (“GASB 67”), replaces the requirements of Statement No. 25, *Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans* and Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures*, as they relate to pension plans that are administered through trusts or similar arrangements meeting certain criteria. GASB 67 enhances note disclosures and RSI for both defined benefit and defined contribution plans. GASB 67 will be implemented by the District in fiscal year 2015 and the impact has not yet been determined.

GASB Statement 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions* (“GASB 68”), replaces the requirements of Statement No. 27, *Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers* and Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures*, as they relate to governments that provide pensions through pension plans administered as trusts or similar arrangements that meet certain criteria. GASB 68 will be implemented by the District in fiscal year 2016 and the impact has not yet been determined.

GASB Statement 69, *Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations* (“GASB 69”), establishes accounting and financial reporting standards related to government combinations and disposals of government operations. GASB 69 will be implemented by the District in fiscal year 2015 and the impact has not yet been determined.

GASB Statement 70, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Financial Guarantees* (“GASB 70”), provides guidance to improve accounting and reporting by state and local governments that extend and receive nonexchange financial guarantees. GASB 70 will be implemented by the District in fiscal year 2015 and the impact has not yet been determined.

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
February 28, 2014

GASB Statement 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date* (“GASB 71”), is an amendment to Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*. GASB 71 eliminates the source of a potential significant understatement of restated beginning net position and expense in the first year implementation of Statement 68 in accrual basis financial statements. GASB 71 will be implemented by the District in fiscal year 2016 and the impact has not yet been determined.



REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
(Unaudited)

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (GENERAL) FUND
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014**

	OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (GENERAL) FUND			Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				
Beginning Cash and Investments:				
Flood Control General	\$ 129,990,368	\$ 129,990,368	\$ 129,225,215	\$ (765,153)
FC Series F Commercial Paper	98,590	98,590	98,590	-
Total Beginning Cash and Investments	<u>130,088,958</u>	<u>130,088,958</u>	<u>129,323,805</u>	<u>(765,153)</u>
Interest:				
Flood Control General	706,230	706,230	681,004	(25,226)
FC Series F Commercial Paper	2	2	6	4
Total Interest	<u>706,232</u>	<u>706,232</u>	<u>681,010</u>	<u>(25,222)</u>
Taxes:				
Flood Control General	74,712,137	74,712,137	78,100,936	3,388,799
Intergovernmental:				
Flood Control General	-	-	60,090	60,090
Miscellaneous:				
Flood Control General	89,610	89,610	561,696	472,086
Other - Lease Revenue:				
Flood Control General	338,000	338,000	248,792	(89,208)
Other Transfers In:				
Flood Control General	-	-	146,477	146,477
Total Other Transfers In	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>146,477</u>	<u>146,477</u>
Total Revenue and Other Financing Sources	<u>205,934,937</u>	<u>205,934,937</u>	<u>209,122,806</u>	<u>3,187,869</u>
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES				
Flood Control General	205,836,345	205,836,345	81,177,995	124,658,350
FC Series F Commercial Paper	98,592	98,592	-	98,592
Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	<u>205,934,937</u>	<u>205,934,937</u>	<u>81,177,995</u>	<u>124,756,942</u>
Net changes in Fund Balance	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 127,944,811</u>	<u>\$ 127,944,811</u>

See notes to required supplementary information.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
February 28, 2014**

RECONCILIATION OF ACCOUNTING BASIS

A reconciliation of revenues and expenditures on a cash basis (budgetary basis) compared to modified accrual basis (GAAP) for the general fund is as follows:

	General Fund
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	
Cash (budgetary) basis	\$ 209,122,806
Beginning Cash and Investments	(129,323,805)
Accrued in 2013, received in 2014	(2,246,113)
Accrued in 2014, to be received in 2015	2,099,155
Revenues and other financing sources on modified accrual (GAAP) basis	79,652,043
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES	
Cash (budgetary) basis	81,177,995
Incurred during 2013, paid in 2014	(3,319,186)
Incurred during 2014, payable in 2015	2,479,232
Expenditures and other financing uses on modified accrual (GAAP) basis	80,338,041
Net changes in Fund Balance	\$ (685,998)

For further budgeting information, see Note 1.D. of the Notes to the Financial Statements.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS
SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS
February 28, 2014**

Fiscal Year	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll ((b-a)/c)
2012	3/1/2011	\$ -	\$ 976,631,331	\$ 976,631,331	0%	\$ 751,741,400	129.9%
2013	3/1/2011	-	976,631,331	976,631,331	0%	727,014,798	134.3%
2014	3/1/2013	-	1,189,670,446	1,189,670,446	0%	776,162,676	153.3%

The above table includes information for the 5 participating employers to the agent multiple-employer defined benefit post employment healthcare plan that the County administers. Two of the employers, Emergency 911 and Community Supervision are not considered departments or component units of the County; the UAAL for these entities are \$1,586,416 and \$13,136,729 respectively.

Flood Control is a component unit of the County and included in the above table. The following table contains Flood Control specific information:

Fiscal Year	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll ((b-a)/c)
2012	3/1/2011	\$ -	\$ 38,189,532	\$ 38,189,532	0%	\$ 17,693,707	215.8%
2013	3/1/2011	-	38,189,532	38,189,532	0%	17,149,475	222.7%
2014	3/1/2013	-	42,120,241	42,120,241	0%	17,979,083	234.3%

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
TEXAS COUNTY AND DISTRICT RETIREMENT SYSTEM
SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS
February 28, 2014**

Actuarial Valuation Date	12/31/11	12/31/12	12/31/13
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$2,809,759,604	\$2,900,822,171	\$3,066,967,671
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	\$3,256,666,141	\$3,415,552,240	\$3,569,569,202
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	\$ 446,906,537	\$ 514,730,069	\$ 502,601,531
Funded Ratio	86.28%	84.93%	85.92%
Annual Covered Payroll (Actuarial)	\$ 794,141,978	\$ 779,898,383	\$ 800,850,524
UAAL as Percentage of Covered Payroll	56.28%	66.00%	62.76%

**OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
and
INDIVIDUAL FUND STATEMENTS**

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION**

AGENCY FUNDS

February 28, 2014

	Corps. of Engineers Escrow	Payroll Fund	Total Agency
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 25,733	\$ 10,542	\$ 36,275
Investments	-	21,220	21,220
Accrued interest receivable	-	54	54
Total assets	<u>\$ 25,733</u>	<u>\$ 31,816</u>	<u>\$ 57,549</u>
LIABILITIES			
Vouchers payable	\$ -	\$ 31,816	\$ 31,816
Held for others	25,733	-	25,733
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 25,733</u>	<u>\$ 31,816</u>	<u>\$ 57,549</u>

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
AGENCY FUNDS
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014

	<u>Balance</u> <u>March 1, 2013</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deductions</u>	<u>Balance</u> <u>February 28, 2014</u>
Corps. of Engineers Escrow				
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 25,733	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 25,733
Total Assets	<u>\$ 25,733</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$ 25,733</u>
LIABILITIES				
Held for others	\$ 25,733	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 25,733
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 25,733</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$ 25,733</u>
Payroll Fund				
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	\$ 51,499,516	\$ 51,488,974	\$ 10,542
Investments	20,441	21,220	20,441	21,220
Accrued interest	42	54	42	54
Total Assets	<u>\$ 20,483</u>	<u>\$ 51,520,790</u>	<u>\$ 51,509,457</u>	<u>\$ 31,816</u>
LIABILITIES				
Vouchers payable	\$ 20,483	\$ 39,549,422	\$ 39,538,089	\$ 31,816
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 20,483</u>	<u>\$ 39,549,422</u>	<u>\$ 39,538,089</u>	<u>\$ 31,816</u>
Total Agency Funds				
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 25,733	\$ 51,499,522	\$ 51,488,980	\$ 36,275
Investments	20,441	21,220	20,441	21,220
Accrued interest	42	54	42	54
Total Assets	<u>\$ 46,216</u>	<u>\$ 51,520,796</u>	<u>\$ 51,509,463</u>	<u>\$ 57,549</u>
LIABILITIES				
Vouchers payable	\$ 20,483	\$ 39,549,422	\$ 39,538,089	\$ 31,816
Held for others	25,733	6	6	25,733
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 46,216</u>	<u>\$ 39,549,428</u>	<u>\$ 39,538,095</u>	<u>\$ 57,549</u>

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS
DEBT SERVICE FUND
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014**

	DEBT SERVICE FUND			Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				
Beginning Cash and Investments	\$ 8,301,320	\$ 8,301,320	\$ 8,395,876	\$ 94,556
Revenues and Transfers In:				
Taxes	8,415,159	8,415,159	6,372,176	(2,042,983)
Interest	21,772	21,772	9,027	(12,745)
Miscellaneous	1,540	1,540	6,678	5,138
Other	37,121,219	37,121,219	37,280,819	159,600
Total Revenues and Transfers In	45,559,690	45,559,690	43,668,700	(1,890,990)
Total Available Resources	53,861,010	53,861,010	52,064,576	(1,796,434)
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES				
Flood Control Contract Refunding 2006A	4,709,589	4,709,589	4,709,250	339
Flood Control Refunding Series 1993A	3,755,774	3,755,774	2,552,550	1,203,224
Flood Control Refunding Series 2003	2,695,179	2,695,179	1,314,144	1,381,035
Flood Control Contract Refunding 2004A-D	6,861,349	6,861,349	6,813,250	48,099
Flood Control Improvement Bonds 2007	9,157,263	9,157,263	4,384,000	4,773,263
Flood Control Contract Refunding 2010A	9,094,658	9,094,658	9,094,250	408
Flood Control Contract Refunding 2008A	6,994,461	6,994,461	6,993,600	861
Flood Control Contract Refunding 2008C	9,437,219	9,437,219	9,436,869	350
Budget Flood Control Debt Service Unallocated	1,155,518	1,155,518	-	1,155,518
Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	53,861,010	53,861,010	45,297,913	8,563,097
Net changes in Fund Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,766,663	\$ 6,766,663

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014

	CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND			Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				
Beginning Cash and Investments	\$ 136,153,986	\$ 134,742,424	\$ 132,507,045	\$ (2,235,379)
Revenues and Transfers In:				
Interest	-	931,025	931,025	-
Miscellaneous	-	5,364,951	5,406,019	41,068
Intergovernmental	-	31,507,593	27,698,132	(3,809,461)
Other Proceeds from Commerical Paper	200,000,000	60,000,000	-	(60,000,000)
Other Transfers In	-	25,000,000	26,150,083	1,150,083
Total Revenues and Transfers In	<u>200,000,000</u>	<u>122,803,569</u>	<u>60,185,259</u>	<u>(62,618,310)</u>
Total Available Resources	<u>336,153,986</u>	<u>257,545,993</u>	<u>192,692,304</u>	<u>(64,853,689)</u>
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES				
Regional Flood Control Projects	13,065,167	13,251,634	2,617,740	10,633,894
Flood Control Capital Projects	66,656,307	128,971,960	22,093,267	106,878,693
FC Bonds 2004A-Construction	9,435,184	9,512,789	2,089,899	7,422,890
FC Improvement Bonds 2007	19,926,695	20,147,109	3,945,672	16,201,437
Commercial Paper - Flood Control	227,070,633	85,662,501	12,918,429	72,744,072
Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	<u>336,153,986</u>	<u>257,545,993</u>	<u>43,665,007</u>	<u>213,880,986</u>
Net changes in Fund Balance	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 149,027,297</u>	<u>\$ 149,027,297</u>

**S
T
A
T
I
S
T
I
C
A
L**

**S
E
C
T
I
O
N**

This part of Harris County Flood Control District's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the District's overall financial health.

Listed below are the areas covered in the statistical section:

FINANCIAL TRENDS – These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the District's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.

REVENUE CAPACITY – These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the District's most significant local revenue source, the property tax.

DEBT CAPACITY – These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the District's current levels of outstanding debt and the District's ability to issue additional debt in the future.

DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION – These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the District's financial activities take place.

OPERATING INFORMATION – These schedules contain service and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the information in the District's financial report relates to the service the District provides and the activities it performs.

OTHER INFORMATION – These schedules contain information that although is not required is presented to help the reader obtain additional insights into District activities and finances.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NET POSITION BY COMPONENT
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(amounts in thousands)**

	Fiscal Year									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Governmental activities:										
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 1,270,802	\$ 1,431,526	\$ 1,473,837	\$ 1,497,771	\$ 1,530,479	\$ 1,534,527	\$ 1,573,175	\$ 1,616,240	\$ 1,610,798	\$ 1,653,516
Restricted for:										
Debt service	12,528	10,360	11,095	5,804	2,580	-	-	-	-	-
Capital projects	724	12,269	7,906	7,996	1,178	1,602	10,640	8,592	4,909	790
Unrestricted	70,874	67,189	68,738	74,876	83,768	88,261	87,114	100,203	109,232	106,564
Total governmental activities net position	<u>\$ 1,354,928</u>	<u>\$ 1,521,344</u>	<u>\$ 1,561,576</u>	<u>\$ 1,586,447</u>	<u>\$ 1,618,005</u>	<u>\$ 1,624,390</u>	<u>\$ 1,670,929</u>	<u>\$ 1,725,035</u>	<u>\$ 1,724,939</u>	<u>\$ 1,760,870</u>

FY 13 restated, see Note 1 to the financial statements.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHANGES IN NET POSITION
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS (accrual basis of accounting)
(amounts in thousands)**

	Fiscal Year									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
EXPENSES										
Governmental activities:										
Flood Control	\$ 60,911	\$ 84,205	\$ 84,383	\$ 94,515	\$ 98,955	\$ 108,331	\$ 99,780	\$ 92,832	\$ 98,792	\$ 93,621
Tax Administration	574	441	490	548	664	699	650	647	610	631
Interest and Fiscal Charges	15,404	19,435	20,802	28,784	25,555	26,312	28,892	32,919	31,928	31,381
Total governmental activities expenses	<u>\$ 76,889</u>	<u>\$ 104,081</u>	<u>\$ 105,675</u>	<u>\$ 123,847</u>	<u>\$ 125,174</u>	<u>\$ 135,342</u>	<u>\$ 129,322</u>	<u>\$ 126,398</u>	<u>\$ 131,330</u>	<u>\$ 125,633</u>
PROGRAM REVENUES										
Governmental activities:										
Charges for services:										
Flood Control	\$ 2,545	\$ 1,008	\$ 849	\$ 410	\$ 551	\$ 314	\$ 751	\$ 451	\$ 724	\$ 392
Operating grants and contributions	5,803	22,734	19,913	22,645	24,251	25,751	31,033	37,064	36,978	36,983
Capital grants and contributions	422	47,310	43,581	30,399	39,983	29,528	66,334	62,201	17,663	39,393
Total governmental activities program revenues	<u>\$ 8,770</u>	<u>\$ 71,052</u>	<u>\$ 64,343</u>	<u>\$ 53,454</u>	<u>\$ 64,785</u>	<u>\$ 55,593</u>	<u>\$ 98,118</u>	<u>\$ 99,716</u>	<u>\$ 55,365</u>	<u>\$ 76,768</u>
NET (EXPENSE)/REVENUE										
Total governmental activities net expense	<u>\$ (68,119)</u>	<u>\$ (33,029)</u>	<u>\$ (41,332)</u>	<u>\$ (70,393)</u>	<u>\$ (60,389)</u>	<u>\$ (79,749)</u>	<u>\$ (31,204)</u>	<u>\$ (26,682)</u>	<u>\$ (75,965)</u>	<u>\$ (48,865)</u>
GENERAL REVENUES AND OTHER CHANGES IN NET POSITION										
Governmental activities:										
Taxes:										
Property taxes levied for general purposes	\$ 49,585	\$ 54,161	\$ 60,787	\$ 69,632	\$ 73,148	\$ 76,122	\$ 68,758	\$ 74,317	\$ 69,389	\$ 77,515
Property taxes levied for debt services	16,370	11,900	11,704	9,248	9,088	4,850	4,987	2,404	7,946	6,315
Earnings on investments	1,789	6,207	8,952	13,679	6,475	3,767	1,493	2,653	1,913	440
Miscellaneous	2,005	904	341	1,504	3,199	1,395	2,505	1,414	1,714	526
Gain on sale of capital assets	217	534	-	1,201	37	-	-	-	-	-
Loss on sale of capital assets	-	-	(220)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total governmental activities	<u>69,966</u>	<u>73,706</u>	<u>81,564</u>	<u>95,264</u>	<u>91,947</u>	<u>86,134</u>	<u>77,743</u>	<u>80,788</u>	<u>80,962</u>	<u>84,796</u>
CHANGE IN NET POSITION										
Governmental activities	<u>\$ 1,847</u>	<u>\$ 40,677</u>	<u>\$ 40,232</u>	<u>\$ 24,871</u>	<u>\$ 31,558</u>	<u>\$ 6,385</u>	<u>\$ 46,539</u>	<u>\$ 54,106</u>	<u>\$ 4,997</u>	<u>\$ 35,931</u>

Table 3

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS (modified accrual basis of accounting)
(amounts in thousands)**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
General Fund:										
Reserved	\$ 18,956	\$ 25,145	\$ 25,709	\$ 25,799	\$ 25,708	\$ 27,443	\$ 25,718	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Unreserved	56,114	52,150	49,784	55,702	67,646	68,467	78,344	-	-	-
Nonspendable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	322	269	121
Restricted	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	99	99	99
Assigned	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,649	20,713	18,494
Unassigned	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,890	104,464	106,145
Total general fund	<u>\$ 75,070</u>	<u>\$ 77,295</u>	<u>\$ 75,493</u>	<u>\$ 81,501</u>	<u>\$ 93,354</u>	<u>\$ 95,910</u>	<u>\$ 104,062</u>	<u>\$ 112,960</u>	<u>\$ 125,545</u>	<u>\$ 124,859</u>
All Other Governmental Funds:										
Reserved	\$ 71,148	\$ 75,464	\$ 61,185	\$ 74,031	\$ 55,570	\$ 42,910	\$ 43,750	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Unreserved, reported in:										
Capital project funds	66,288	57,419	136,630	103,961	104,562	86,219	141,210	-	-	-
Nonspendable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	816	-	305
Restricted	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	181,723	133,216	154,133
Total all other governmental funds	<u>\$ 137,436</u>	<u>\$ 132,883</u>	<u>\$ 197,815</u>	<u>\$ 177,992</u>	<u>\$ 160,132</u>	<u>\$ 129,129</u>	<u>\$ 184,960</u>	<u>\$ 182,539</u>	<u>\$ 133,216</u>	<u>\$ 154,438</u>

Note: GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, replaced the categories that previously had been used to classify fund balance. The County implemented GASB No. 54 for fiscal year 2012.

Table 4

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS (modified accrual basis of accounting)
(amounts in thousands)**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
REVENUES										
Taxes	\$ 65,015	\$ 67,690	\$ 71,775	\$ 77,069	\$ 82,908	\$ 80,486	\$ 76,642	\$ 74,764	\$ 77,740	\$ 84,916
Lease revenue	-	136	189	192	171	178	200	281	306	249
Intergovernmental	5,802	25,167	22,195	18,233	55,714	45,786	60,631	85,746	49,822	75,684
Earnings on investments	1,789	6,187	8,952	13,679	6,475	3,767	1,493	2,653	1,914	440
Miscellaneous	4,935	1,796	1,001	1,722	3,590	1,488	2,227	1,548	1,950	668
Total revenues	<u>77,541</u>	<u>100,976</u>	<u>104,112</u>	<u>110,895</u>	<u>148,858</u>	<u>131,705</u>	<u>141,193</u>	<u>164,992</u>	<u>131,732</u>	<u>161,957</u>
EXPENDITURES										
Flood Control	48,449	58,761	66,721	71,590	71,046	74,465	75,145	66,495	79,249	63,595
Tax Administration	574	441	490	548	664	699	650	647	609	631
Capital Outlay	81,841	67,990	92,162	57,209	77,386	69,533	52,720	45,592	43,413	37,207
Debt Service:										
Bond issuance fees	1,012	-	1,583	-	3,164	-	1,594	-	-	-
Interest and fiscal charges	11,123	20,486	20,848	34,465	25,847	30,190	28,900	35,815	34,030	33,493
Principal	18,200	18,975	17,070	8,755	12,554	11,573	10,269	10,109	11,455	11,805
	<u>161,199</u>	<u>166,653</u>	<u>198,874</u>	<u>172,567</u>	<u>190,661</u>	<u>186,460</u>	<u>169,278</u>	<u>158,658</u>	<u>168,756</u>	<u>146,731</u>
Excess of revenues over (under) expenditures	(83,658)	(65,677)	(94,762)	(61,672)	(41,803)	(54,755)	(28,085)	6,334	(37,024)	15,226
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)										
Transfer in (out) from/to Harris County	(3,286)	10,925	16,408	18,384	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfer in	417	208	105,517	9,003	9,181	9,912	205,835	11,278	2,544	26,638
Transfer out	(417)	(208)	(105,517)	(9,003)	(9,181)	(9,912)	(205,835)	(11,278)	(2,544)	(26,638)
Bonds issued	100,297	-	89,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refunding bonds issued	39,568	-	94,185	-	451,465	-	181,885	-	-	-
Premium on bonds issued	11,154	-	11,584	-	19,332	-	19,723	-	-	-
Discount on bonds issued	-	-	-	-	(764)	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial paper issued	62,580	51,845	45,520	27,860	32,630	26,000	89,565	-	-	-
Payment to defease commercial paper	(50,000)	-	(99,650)	-	-	-	(200,000)	-	-	-
Payment to refunding bond escrow agent	-	-	-	-	(466,930)	-	-	-	-	-
Sale of capital assets	347	579	246	1,613	63	308	895	142	288	5,310
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>160,660</u>	<u>63,349</u>	<u>157,893</u>	<u>47,857</u>	<u>35,796</u>	<u>26,308</u>	<u>92,068</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>288</u>	<u>5,310</u>
Net change in fund balances	<u>\$ 77,002</u>	<u>\$ (2,328)</u>	<u>\$ 63,131</u>	<u>\$ (13,815)</u>	<u>\$ (6,007)</u>	<u>\$ (28,447)</u>	<u>\$ 63,983</u>	<u>\$ 6,476</u>	<u>\$ (36,736)</u>	<u>\$ 20,536</u>
Debt service as a percentage of noncapital expenditures	37.0%	40.0%	35.5%	37.5%	33.9%	35.7%	33.6%	40.6%	36.3%	41.4%

Table 5

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
ASSESSED VALUE AND ACTUAL VALUE OF TAXABLE PROPERTY
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)
(amounts in thousands)**

Fiscal Year	Real Property	Personal Property	Less Exemptions (a)	Total Taxable Assessed Value	Total Direct Tax Rate
2005	\$ 199,378,304	\$ 32,119,653	\$ 37,273,945	\$ 194,224,012 (b)	0.03318
2006	230,050,598	37,276,435	61,017,743	206,309,290 (c)	0.03322
2007	250,997,888	40,345,611	66,142,090	225,201,409 (c)	0.03241
2008	281,251,230	46,086,287	73,150,566	254,186,951	0.03106
2009	321,147,405	43,010,048	89,424,111	274,733,342	0.03086
2010	325,453,516	45,496,068	94,414,243	276,535,341	0.02922
2011	315,200,613	43,537,642	93,805,049	264,933,206	0.02923
2012	321,629,707	43,346,546	96,453,218	268,523,035	0.02809
2013	334,997,328	47,104,735	101,809,361	280,292,702	0.02809
2014	358,644,209	51,681,663	104,868,732	305,457,140	0.02827

(a) The majority of exemptions are made up of the optional 20% homestead property exemption. In addition, persons 65 years of age or older or disabled receive an exemption up to a maximum individual amount of \$160,000 (\$156,240 prior to 2008).

The Flood Control District has an exemption for goods exported from Texas ("Freeport Exemption").

(b) HCAD tax supplement as of February 1 of the tax year.

(c) HCAD tax supplement as of January 29 of the tax year.

Source: Harris County Appraisal District.

Note: Property in the County must be revalued every three years. Property is assessed at market value; therefore, the taxable values are equal to market value less applicable exemptions. Tax rates are per \$100 of assessed value.

Table 6

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
AD VALOREM TAX RATES
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(rate per \$100 of assessed value)
(Unaudited)**

Purpose	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Flood Control District -										
Maintenance	\$ 0.02553	\$ 0.02733	\$ 0.02733	\$ 0.02754	\$ 0.02754	\$ 0.02754	\$ 0.02727	\$ 0.02727	\$ 0.02522	\$ 0.02620
Debt Service	0.00765	0.00589	0.00508	0.00352	0.00332	0.00168	0.00196	0.00082	0.00287	0.00207
Total - Flood Control	\$ 0.03318	\$ 0.03322	\$ 0.03241	\$ 0.03106	\$ 0.03086	\$ 0.02922	\$ 0.02923	\$ 0.02809	\$ 0.02809	\$ 0.02827

Source: Harris County Auditor.

Table 7

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
PROPERTY TAX RATES - ALL DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GOVERNMENTS
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(rates per \$100 of assessed value)
(Unaudited)

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
County-Wide Taxing Jurisdiction:										
Harris County	\$ 0.3999	\$ 0.3999	\$ 0.4024	\$ 0.3924	\$ 0.3892	\$ 0.3922	\$ 0.3881	\$ 0.3912	\$ 0.4002	\$ 0.4146
Harris County Flood Control District	0.0332	0.0332	0.0324	0.0311	0.0309	0.0292	0.0292	0.0281	0.0281	0.0283
Port of Houston Authority	0.0167	0.0147	0.0130	0.0144	0.0177	0.0164	0.0205	0.0185	0.0195	0.0171
Harris County Hospital District	0.1902	0.1922	0.1922	0.1922	0.1922	0.1922	0.1922	0.1922	0.1822	0.1700
Total	<u>\$ 0.6400</u>	<u>\$ 0.6400</u>	<u>\$ 0.6400</u>	<u>\$ 0.6301</u>	<u>\$ 0.6300</u>					
Cities:										
Baytown	0.7370	0.7370	0.7370	0.7370	0.7870	0.7870	0.7870	0.8220	0.8220	0.8220
Bellaire	0.4800	0.4700	0.4400	0.4400	0.3775	0.3759	0.3999	0.3999	0.3999	0.3999
Deer Park	0.7200	0.7200	0.7200	0.7200	0.7050	0.7050	0.7050	0.7050	0.7200	0.7200
Houston	0.6550	0.6500	0.6475	0.6450	0.6438	0.6388	0.6388	0.6388	0.6388	0.6388
LaPorte	0.7100	0.7100	0.7100	0.7100	0.7100	0.7100	0.7100	0.7100	0.7100	0.7100
League City	0.6400	0.6275	0.6088	0.6088	0.6300	0.6300	0.6160	0.6160	0.5970	0.5970
Missouri City	0.5017	0.4980	0.4945	0.4993	0.5172	0.5284	0.5284	0.5284	0.5448	0.5738
Pasadena	0.5670	0.5670	0.5670	0.5670	0.5620	0.5620	0.5916	0.5916	0.5916	0.5916
Pearland	0.6950	0.6744	0.6527	0.6526	0.6526	0.6526	0.6651	0.6851	0.7051	0.7051
Seabrook	0.6196	0.6307	0.6210	0.6203	0.5884	0.5884	0.6268	0.6500	0.6652	0.6652
South Houston	0.7000	0.6863	0.6588	0.6770	0.6784	0.6732	0.6732	0.6732	0.7083	0.6699
Webster	0.2680	0.2575	0.2575	0.2489	0.2060	0.2325	0.2575	0.2853	0.2853	0.2696
West University Place	0.4467	0.4300	0.4020	0.3660	0.3590	0.3588	0.3741	0.3741	0.3741	0.3741
School Districts and Junior Colleges:										
Aldine	1.6890	1.7090	1.6040	1.2770	1.2920	1.3005	1.4305	1.3284	1.3284	1.3284
Alief	1.6750	1.7200	1.5900	1.5900	1.3400	1.3400	1.3400	1.3400	1.3200	1.2900
Clear Creek	1.7450	1.7750	1.6300	1.3200	1.3600	1.3600	1.2937	1.3600	1.3600	1.3600
Cypress-Fairbanks	1.7900	1.8000	1.8000	1.6450	1.3240	1.3500	1.4100	1.4300	1.4500	1.4500
Deer Park	1.8055	1.8055	1.6623	1.3177	1.3367	1.3667	1.3967	1.3967	1.5267	1.5567
Galena Park	1.7950	1.8150	1.7100	1.4309	1.4550	1.4784	1.5134	1.5134	1.5134	1.5134
Goose Creek	1.6837	1.7275	1.5956	1.3020	1.2821	1.2821	1.3021	1.3321	1.3321	1.3868
Houston	1.5800	1.7275	1.6200	1.1570	1.1567	1.1567	1.1567	1.1567	1.1567	1.1867
Humble	1.7400	1.7700	1.7700	1.3100	1.5200	1.5200	1.5200	1.5200	1.5200	1.5200
Katy	2.0000	2.0000	1.8150	1.5266	1.5266	1.5266	1.5266	1.5266	1.5266	1.5266
Klein	1.7000	1.7000	1.5800	1.2600	1.3100	1.3600	1.4100	1.4300	1.4400	1.4300
LaPorte	1.7335	1.7335	1.6350	1.3200	1.3250	1.3250	1.3250	1.3550	1.3300	1.3300
North Forest**	1.7444	1.7125	1.7125	1.2367	1.3143	1.3500	1.3500	1.4693	1.4432	N/A
Lone Star College System*	0.1145	0.1207	0.1167	0.1144	0.1101	0.1101	0.1176	0.1210	0.1198	0.1160
Pasadena	1.7750	1.8050	1.6900	1.3500	1.3500	1.3500	1.3500	1.3500	1.3500	1.3500
Pearland	1.7922	1.7922	1.6622	1.4272	1.4194	1.4194	1.4194	1.4194	1.4194	1.4157
San Jacinto Junior College	0.1391	0.1391	0.1454	0.1454	0.1634	0.1708	0.1708	0.1763	0.1856	0.1856
Sheldon	1.7460	1.7500	1.6340	1.4300	1.4300	1.4300	1.4300	1.4300	1.4300	1.4300
Spring	1.8700	1.9500	1.7100	1.4400	1.4400	1.4600	1.4600	1.4600	1.5700	1.5700
Spring Branch	1.8100	1.8100	1.8100	1.2850	1.3825	1.3945	1.3945	1.3945	1.3945	1.3945
Tomball	1.7300	1.7100	1.5800	1.2750	1.3600	1.3600	1.3600	1.3600	1.3600	1.3600

* Name change in FY2009 from North Harris Montgomery Community College

**Houston ISD annexed North Forest effective July 1, 2013.

Table 8

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAXPAYERS
CURRENT YEAR AND NINE YEARS AGO
(amounts in thousands)
(Unaudited)**

Taxpayers	2014			2005		
	2013 Taxable Valuations (a)	Rank	Percentage of Total 2013 Taxable Valuation (b)	2004 Taxable Valuations (a)	Rank	Percentage of Total 2004 Taxable Valuation (c)
Exxon Mobil Corporation	\$ 3,100,681	1	0.98%	\$ 2,887,139	1	1.49%
Centerpoint Energy, Inc.	2,934,118	2	0.93	2,325,222	2	1.20
Shell Oil Company	2,226,750	3	0.70	1,421,282	3	0.73
Chevron Chemical Company	1,970,423	4	0.62	598,246	7	0.31
Crescent Real Estate	1,426,420	5	0.45	441,402	10	0.23
Hewlett Packard Company	1,137,552	6	0.36	346,036	14	0.18
Equistar Chemicals LP	1,124,773	7	0.36	976,266	5	0.50
National Oilwell Inc	1,038,569	8	0.33	-		0.00
Lyondell Chemical	856,194	9	0.27	582,262	8	0.30
Walmart	854,073	10	0.27	-		0.00
AT&T Mobility LLC	756,601	11	0.24	-		0.00
Halliburton Company	624,271	12	0.20	-		0.00
Valero Energy Corporation	607,550	13	0.19	-		0.00
Continental Airlines Inc. (d)	583,141	14	0.18	351,538	13	0.18
TPG 2101 Citywest 1 & 2 LP	564,179	15	0.18	-		0.00
Southwestern Bell Telephone	-		0.00	1,009,651	4	0.52
Hines Interests Ltd Partnership	-		0.00	867,174	6	0.45
Anhueser Busch Incorporated	-		0.00	482,235	9	0.25
Oxy Vinlys LP	-		0.00	383,015	11	0.20
Lyondell-Citgo Refining Company	-		0.00	356,395	12	0.18
Rohm & Haas Co.	-		0.00	333,377	15	0.17
Total	\$ 19,805,295		6.26%	\$ 13,361,240		6.89%

Source: Harris County Appraisal District.

- (a) Amounts shown for these taxpayers do not include taxable valuations, which may be substantial, attributable to certain subsidiaries and affiliates which are not grouped on the tax rolls with the taxpayers shown.
- (b) Based on the County's total taxable value as of February 28, 2014.
- (c) Based on the County's total taxable value as of February 28, 2005.
- (d) Continental Airlines Inc. is now a wholly owned subsidiary of United Continental Holdings, Inc.

Table 9

**HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS
FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)
(amounts in thousands)**

Fiscal Year	Taxes Levied for the Fiscal Year	Adjusted Levy as of End of Current Fiscal Year	Collected within the Fiscal Year of the Levy		Collections in Subsequent Years*	Total Collections to Date	
			Amount	Percentage of Levy		Amount	Percentage of Levy
2005	\$ 64,263	\$ 64,142	\$ 61,911	96.3%	\$ 1,931	\$ 63,842	99.5%
2006	64,981	67,103	60,008	92.3	6,823	66,831	99.6
2007	70,782	71,484	62,680	88.6	8,542	71,222	99.6
2008	72,083	76,808	69,058	95.8	7,519	76,577	99.7
2009	84,057	83,792	74,344	88.4	9,091	83,435	99.6
2010	80,534	79,576	74,798	92.9	4,368	79,166	99.5
2011	77,374	76,734	72,089	93.2	4,231	76,320	99.5
2012	75,400	74,821	71,159	94.4	3,105	74,264	99.3
2013	78,616	78,105	74,486	94.7	2,760	77,246	98.9
2014	86,158	86,158	82,041	95.2	-	82,041	95.2

* For reporting purposes refunds associated with a prior year are netted against the prior year collections.

Table 10

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
RATIO OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)
(amounts in thousands)**

Fiscal Year	Governmental Activities			Less: Restricted for Debt Payments	Total Net General Bonded Debt	Percentage of Actual Taxable Value of Property (a)	Percentage of Personal Income (b)	Debt Per Capita (b)	Net Debt Per Capita (b)
	General Bonded Debt	Commercial Paper	Total Government						
2005	\$ 423,634	\$ 26,580	\$ 450,214	\$ 12,527	\$ 437,687	0.23%	0.32%	\$ 124	\$ 120
2006	403,967	78,425	482,392	10,360	472,032	0.23%	0.31%	131	128
2007	581,971	23,945	605,916	11,095	594,821	0.27%	0.34%	156	153
2008	564,278	51,805	616,083	5,803	610,280	0.24%	0.32%	157	155
2009	553,794	84,435	638,229	2,580	635,649	0.23%	0.34%	160	160
2010	536,720	110,435	647,155	-	647,155	0.23%	0.33%	159	159
2011	723,784	-	723,784	-	723,784	0.27%	0.39%	177	177
2012	710,700	-	710,700	-	710,700	0.26%	0.35%	170	170
2013	697,367	-	697,367	-	697,367	0.25%	0.31%	164	164
2014	692,708	-	692,708	-	692,708	0.23%	n/a	160	160

Note: Details regarding the County's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements.

(a) See Table 5 for property value data.

(b) See Table 13 for personal income and population data. These ratios are calculated using the personal income and population for the prior year calendar year.

Table 11

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
ESTIMATED DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING BONDED DEBT
February 28, 2014
(Unaudited)**

	Percentage Applicable To Name of Government	Net Debt (Thousands)
County-Wide Jurisdiction:		
Harris County (2/28/14)	100.00%	\$ 1,874,124
Harris County Flood Control District (2/28/14)	100.00	613,273
Port of Houston Authority (12/31/13)	100.00	717,624
Total County-Wide Direct Debt		<u>3,205,021</u>
Cities:		
Baytown (1/1/14)	80.75	\$ 69,595
Bellaire (9/30/13)	100.00	70,295
Bunker Hill Village (2/28/14)	100.00	13,961
Deer Park (9/30/13)	100.00	27,862
Friendswood (9/30/13)	100.00	16,160
Galena Park (9/30/13)	100.00	6,370
Houston (6/30/13)	99.36	3,287,721
Jersey Village (9/30/13)	100.00	18,487
Katy (2/4/14)	69.19	7,667
La Porte (9/30/13)	100.00	15,060
League City (9/30/13)	5.52	108,994
Missouri City (6/30/13)	8.33	144,943
Pasadena (9/30/13)	100.00	86,733
Pearland (1/31/14)	6.16	289,601
Seabrook (9/30/13)	100.00	15,818
South Houston (9/30/13)	100.00	5,566
Southside Place (2/3/14)	100.00	8,457
Tomball (9/30/13)	100.00	40,147
Webster (10/01/13)	100.00	17,667
West University Place (1/01/14)	100.00	69,631
Other Cities (a)	100.00	16,720
Sub-Total Cities		<u>4,337,455</u>
School Districts and Junior Colleges:		
Aldine (6/30/13)	100.00	543,853
Alief (8/31/13)	100.00	184,529
Channelview (8/31/13)	100.00	230,651
Clear Creek (8/31/13)	80.62	889,853
Crosby (8/31/13)	100.00	116,053
Cypress-Fairbanks (6/30/13)	100.00	1,688,898
Deer Park (6/30/13)	100.00	261,255
Galena Park (8/31/13)	100.00	204,142
Goose Creek (6/30/13)	80.04	292,433
Houston (2/28/14)	100.00	2,415,220
Huffman (6/30/13)	100.00	45,638
Humble (6/30/13)	100.00	599,455
Katy (8/31/13)	88.64	1,257,260
Klein (8/31/13)	100.00	722,463
LaPorte (6/30/13)	100.00	194,228
Lee College (2/28/14)	80.75	50,235
Lone Star College System (8/31/13)	78.67	482,764
Pasadena (8/31/13)	100.00	562,399
Pearland (8/31/13)	2.25	288,648
San Jacinto Junior College (2/28/14)	100.00	285,437
Sheldon (8/31/13)	100.00	145,134
Spring (6/30/13)	100.00	917,594
Spring Branch (6/30/13)	100.00	717,385
Tomball (8/31/13)	88.02	302,739
Waller (2/6/14)	31.68	122,505
Other Schools (b)	100.00	14,055
Sub-Total School Districts and Junior Colleges		<u>13,534,826</u>
Utility Districts (c)	100.00	4,635,666
Total Overlapping Debt		<u>22,507,947</u>
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt (Estimated \$5,929 Per Capita) (d)		<u>\$ 25,712,968</u>

(a) Aggregate net debt of 12 cities, each of which had a net debt of less than \$5,000,000.

(b) Aggregate net debt of 1 school which had a net debt of less than \$25,000,000.

(c) Estimated aggregate net debt of several hundred utility districts. Source: Municipal Advisory Council.

(d) Census Bureau population estimated at 4,336,853. Source: Bureau of the Census.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
HARRIS COUNTY'S LEGAL DEBT MARGIN INFORMATION**

**LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)
(amounts in thousands)**

Legal Debt Margin Calculation for Fiscal Year 2014

Assessed Value of All Taxable Property		\$ 410,370,536
Assessed Value of Real Property		<u>\$ 347,626,720</u>
Debt Limit (25% of real property assessed value) (a)		86,906,680
Amount of Debt Applicable to Constitutional Debt Limit:		
Total Bonded Applicable Debt	1,132,947	
Less: Debt Service Funds Cash	<u>(137,507)</u>	
Total Net Debt Applicable to Limit		<u>995,440</u>
Legal Debt Margin, Bonds Issued Uner Article III, Section 52 of the Texas Constitution		<u><u>\$ 85,911,240</u></u>

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Debt Limit	\$ 49,844,576	\$ 57,512,650	\$ 62,749,472	\$ 70,312,808	\$ 78,435,050	\$ 79,237,355	\$ 76,784,802	\$ 78,368,988	\$ 81,206,807	\$ 86,906,680
Total Net Debt Applicable to Limit	<u>1,178,759</u>	<u>1,152,955</u>	<u>1,390,672</u>	<u>1,313,108</u>	<u>1,278,101</u>	<u>1,205,427</u>	<u>1,143,838</u>	<u>1,161,111</u>	<u>1,063,108</u>	<u>995,440</u>
Legal Debt Margin	<u>\$ 48,665,817</u>	<u>\$ 56,359,695</u>	<u>\$ 61,358,800</u>	<u>\$ 68,999,700</u>	<u>\$ 77,156,949</u>	<u>\$ 78,031,928</u>	<u>\$ 75,640,964</u>	<u>\$ 77,207,877</u>	<u>\$ 80,143,699</u>	<u>\$ 85,911,240</u>
Total Net Debt Applicable to the Limit as a percentage of Debt Limit	2.36%	2.00%	2.22%	1.87%	1.63%	1.52%	1.49%	1.48%	1.31%	1.15%

(a) The County is authorized under Article III, Section 52 of the State Constitution to issue bonds payable from ad valorem taxes for the construction and maintenance of roads. There is no constitutional or statutory limit as to the rate on bonds issued pursuant to such constitutional provision. However, the amount of bonds which may be issued is limited to 25% of the assessed valuation of real property in the County.

Bonds Issued Under Article VIII, Section 9:

In addition to unlimited tax bonds, the County may issue statutorily authorized bonds payable from the proceeds of a limited ad valorem tax provided for in Article VIII, Section 9 of the State Constitution. Such constitutional provision provides that a county is limited to an ad valorem tax rate of \$0.80 per \$100 of assessed valuation for general fund, permanent improvement fund, road and bridge fund and jury fund purposes.

Certain of the County's bonds payable from such limited tax may be issued under the provisions of Chapter 2, Title 22, Vernon's Texas Civil Statutes. The principal amount of all bonds, which may be issued under the provisions of such Chapter, is limited in aggregate to 5% of the assessed valuation. The debt limit under Chapter 2, Title 22 is approximately \$20,518,527 compared to applicable bonds outstanding at February 28, 2014 of \$-0-.

Bonds Issued Under Article XVI, Section 59:

The Harris County Flood Control District issues bonds pursuant to Article XVI, Section 59 of the State Constitution. No limits are prescribed in such constitutional provision; however, Chapter 407, Acts of 50th Legislature of Texas, Regular Session 1947 provides for a tax limit of \$0.30 per \$100 of taxable valuation for operational and debt service funds. A tax of \$0.02827 per \$100 of taxable value, which includes \$0.00207 per \$100 of taxable value for debt service, was levied by the Flood Control District in tax year 2013.

Table 13

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS**

**LAST TEN CALENDAR YEARS
(Unaudited)**

Calendar Year	Population	Unemployment Rate	Personal Income (amounts in thousands)	Per Capita Personal Income
2004	3,644,285	5.9%	\$ 142,229,854	\$ 39,028
2005	3,693,050	5.4%	156,920,733	42,491
2006	3,886,207	4.0%	178,160,838	45,844
2007	3,935,855	4.2%	194,177,877	49,336
2008	3,984,349	5.5%	190,226,395	47,743
2009	4,070,989	8.1%	196,779,227	48,337
2010	4,092,459	8.3%	183,899,347	44,936
2011	4,178,574	7.2%	204,593,445	48,963
2012	4,253,700	6.0%	224,617,980	52,805
2013	4,336,853	5.5%	n/a	n/a

Sources: Population - Bureau of the Census
Unemployment Rate - Texas Workforce Commission
Personal Income - Bureau of Economic Analysis

Table 14

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
PRINCIPAL CORPORATE EMPLOYERS
CURRENT YEAR AND NINE YEARS AGO*
(amounts in thousands)
(Unaudited)**

Employer	2013			2004		
	Employees	Rank	Percentage of Total County Employment	Employees	Rank	Percentage of Total County Employment
National Oilwell Varco Inc.	14,581	1	0.51%			
Exxon Mobil	13,200	2	0.46%	5,800	9	0.25%
Shell Oil Company	12,500	3	0.44%	7,920	6	0.34%
Cameron International Corp	11,000	4	0.39%			
Halliburton	8,600	5	0.30%	14,000	1	0.59%
Schlumberger Ltd.	8,400	6	0.29%			
Baker Hughes	8,000	7	0.28%			
JP Morgan Chase	7,500	8	0.26%	5,500	10	0.23%
BP America Inc	7,000	9	0.25%			
Chevron Companies	7,000	9	0.25%			
Diamond Offshore	5,300	10	0.19%			
Hewlett-Packard Co. (includes acquisition of Compaq Computer in 2001)				9,000	4	0.38%
Continental Airlines				13,000	2	0.55%
SBC (Southwestern Bell Telephone)				6,900	8	0.29%
Reliant Energy (Houston Industries)				9,500	3	0.40%
Lyondell Chemical Company				7,435	7	0.32%
BP Amoco				8,000	5	0.34%
	103,081			87,055		

* Based on calendar year.

Source: Houston Business Journal, Business First Survey/Greater Houston Partnership/HBJ

Note: Total County Employment for 2013 was an estimated 2,853,000 (based on prior year employment figures) and for 2004 was 2,354,180.

Table 15

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT COUNTY EMPLOYEES BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)**

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Administration of Justice	8,554	8,380 (a)	8,168	8,740	9,425	9,308	8,779	8,462	8,723	9,290
Parks	*	715	706	732	787	797	670	625	675	700
County Administration	2,787	2,726	2,871	3,024	3,339	3,161	3,032	2,901	2,957	3,021
Health and Human Services	*	1,613	1,604	1,706	1,796	1,718	1,467	1,385	1,326	1,334
Flood Control	342	312	333	325	354	374	335	308	290	300
Tax Administration	442	428	426	435	428	415	378	341	340	349
Roads and Bridges	837	782	779	807	856	914	597	561	536	550
Other *	2,441	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

* Prior to 2006, the smaller expenditure functions were grouped as other on this schedule.

(a) Beginning in FY 2006, the Administration of Justice function no longer included Community Supervision employees, who are currently considered employees of the State of Texas.

Note: (1) As of February 28, 2014, it is estimated that approximately 2,533 of the County's employees were members of various labor organizations, some of which are unions affiliated with the AFL-CIO. The County does not maintain collective bargaining agreements with any unions.

(2) This schedule represents the number of County employees at the end of each fiscal year.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)**

<u>Function/Program</u>	Fiscal Years									
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
<u>Flood Control</u>										
Sites by Acreage (Incl. Easements and Fee Simple Ownership)										
Basins	11,403	12,309	13,192	14,866	15,361	15,467	15,724	16,081	16,107	16,298
Buyouts	640	763	776	825	845	880	931	958	1,016	1,034
Channels	20,661	20,704	20,753	20,799	20,832	20,858	20,935	21,117	21,143	21,236

Source: The Budget Office and Various County Departments

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
OPERATING INDICATORS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)**

<u>Function/Program</u>	Fiscal Years									
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
<u>Flood Control</u>										
Developer Plans Approved- Watershed Mgt.	976	1,114	1,221	1,467	1,578	1,713	1,833	1,831	2,051	2,078
Citizen Services Requests	1,980	2,393	2,681	2,948	2,573	2,476	2,479	1,738	1,393	1,847

Source: The Budget Office and Various County Departments

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
SERVICES AND RATES
For Fiscal Year Ended February 28, 2014
(Unaudited)**

1. Services Provided by the District:

- | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Retail Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Wholesale Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Retail Wastewater | <input type="checkbox"/> Wholesale Wastewater | <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parks/Recreation | <input type="checkbox"/> Fire Protection | <input type="checkbox"/> Security |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Solid Waste/Garbage | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flood Control | <input type="checkbox"/> Roads |
- Participates in joint venture, regional system and/or wastewater service (other than emergency Interconnect)
- Other (specify): _____

2. Retail Service Providers: None

3. Total Water Consumption (In Thousands) During The Fiscal Year:

Gallons pumped into system: N/A

Gallons billed to customers: N/A

4. Standby Fees: Does the District assess standby fees? Yes No .

(Continued)

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
SERVICES AND RATES
For Fiscal Year Ended February 28, 2014
(Unaudited)**

5. Location of District:

County in which district is located: Harris County

Is the District located entirely within one county? Yes No .

Is the District located within a city? Entirely Partly Not at all .

Cities in which district is located: Houston & all other cities in Harris County

Is the District located within a city's extra territorial jurisdiction (ETJ)?

<u>City</u>	<u>Entirely</u>	<u>Partly</u>	<u>Not at all</u>
Baytown		X	
Bellaire		X	
Bunker Hill Village		X	
Deer Park		X	
El Lago		X	
Friendswood		X	
Galena Park		X	
Hedwig Village		X	
Houston		X	
Humble		X	
Jacinto City		X	
Jersey Village		X	
Katy		X	
LaPorte		X	
League City		X	
Mission Bend		X	
Missouri City		X	
Morgan's Point		X	
Nassau Bay		X	
Pasadena		X	
Pearland		X	
Seabrook		X	
Shore Acres		X	
South Houston		X	
Southside Place		X	
Spring Valley		X	
Stafford		X	
Taylor Lake Village		X	
Tomball		X	
Waller		X	
Webster		X	
West University Place		X	

Is the general membership of the Board appointed by an office outside the district?
Yes No

By whom?: Board, governed by Harris County Commissioner's Court.

Table 19

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014
(Unaudited)**

Personnel Expenditures (including benefits)	\$ 25,033,073
Professional Fees and Contractual Services	27,787,154
Utilities	523,393
Repairs and Maintenance	668,001
Capital Outlay	557,770
Other Expenditures	<u>768,650</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u><u>\$ 55,338,041</u></u>

Number of persons employed by the District: 300 Full-Time

Table 20

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014
(Unaudited)**

	Maintenance and Operations Taxes	Debt Service Taxes		
Taxes receivable, beginning of year	\$ 7,593,872	\$ 1,393,768		
2013 Original Tax Levy (adjusted thru 2/28/14)	79,595,834	6,288,679		
Total to be accounted for	<u>87,189,706</u>	<u>7,682,447</u>		
Tax collections:				
Current year	(74,014,281)	(5,845,755)		
Prior years	(5,952,168)	(714,862)		
Total collections	<u>(79,966,449)</u>	<u>(6,560,617)</u>		
Taxes receivable, end of year	<u>\$ 7,223,257</u>	<u>\$ 1,121,830</u>		
Taxes receivable, by years				
2003 and prior	\$ 651,130	\$ 484,708		
2004	227,527	68,193		
2005	220,038	47,420		
2006	222,525	41,349		
2007	250,689	32,032		
2008	318,714	38,429		
2009	382,375	23,328		
2010	379,635	27,306		
2011	474,328	14,267		
2012	684,866	77,961		
2013	3,411,430	266,837		
Taxes receivable, end of year	<u>\$ 7,223,257</u>	<u>\$ 1,121,830</u>		
Tax year	2013	2012	2011	2010
Property valuations: (amounts in thousands)				
Real property	\$ 358,644,209	\$ 334,997,328	\$ 321,629,707	\$ 315,200,613
Personal property	51,681,663	47,104,735	43,346,546	43,537,642
Less exemptions	(104,868,732)	(101,809,361)	(96,453,218)	(93,805,049)
Total property valuations	<u>\$ 305,457,140</u>	<u>\$ 280,292,702</u>	<u>\$ 268,523,035</u>	<u>\$ 264,933,206</u>
Tax rates per \$100 valuation:				
Maintenance and Operations tax rates (a)	\$ 0.02620	\$ 0.02522	\$ 0.02727	\$ 0.02727
Debt Service tax rates	0.00207	0.00287	0.00082	0.00196
Total tax rates per \$100 valuation	<u>\$ 0.02827</u>	<u>\$ 0.02809</u>	<u>\$ 0.02809</u>	<u>\$ 0.02923</u>
Original tax levy (b)	<u>\$ 86,353</u>	<u>\$ 78,734</u>	<u>\$ 75,428</u>	<u>\$ 77,440</u>
Percent of taxes collected to taxes levied (c)	<u>95.3%</u>	<u>94.7%</u>	<u>94.4%</u>	<u>93.2%</u>

(a) Maximum tax rate approved by voters : \$0.15 on November 8, 1977.

(b) Calculated based on property valuations (see table 5) times tax rates.

(c) Calculated as taxes collected for a tax year divided by taxes levied for that tax year.

Note: This schedule is prepared on a cash basis and does not include accruals for subsequent collections.

Table 21

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
LONG-TERM DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS - BY YEARS
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014**

Due During Fiscal Years Ending	Annual Requirements for All Series		
	Total Principal Due	Total Interest Due	Total Principal and Interest Due
2015	\$ 13,025,000	\$ 32,932,425	\$ 45,957,425
2016	9,785,000	32,420,056	42,205,056
2017	9,045,000	31,960,619	41,005,619
2018	9,450,000	31,530,369	40,980,369
2019	24,245,000	31,057,869	55,302,869
2020	40,100,000	29,790,831	69,890,831
2021	40,655,000	27,704,031	68,359,031
2022	45,170,000	25,664,181	70,834,181
2023	45,290,000	23,297,569	68,587,569
2024	46,605,000	21,033,069	67,638,069
2025	17,055,000	18,702,819	35,757,819
2026	33,255,000	17,837,500	51,092,500
2027	34,255,000	16,174,750	50,429,750
2028	35,280,000	14,462,000	49,742,000
2029	35,735,000	12,730,000	48,465,000
2030	33,940,000	10,975,250	44,915,250
2031	36,705,000	9,197,000	45,902,000
2032	37,225,000	7,361,750	44,586,750
2033	11,520,000	5,500,500	17,020,500
2034	12,095,000	4,924,500	17,019,500
2035	12,700,000	4,319,750	17,019,750
2036	13,335,000	3,684,750	17,019,750
2037	14,005,000	3,018,000	17,023,000
2038	14,705,000	2,317,750	17,022,750
2039	15,440,000	1,582,500	17,022,500
2040	16,210,000	810,500	17,020,500
	<u>\$ 656,830,000</u>	<u>\$ 420,990,338</u>	<u>\$ 1,077,820,338</u>

Table 22

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHANGES IN LONG-TERM DEBT
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014
(Unaudited)**

	Bond Issues								Total
	Series 2002	Series 2003A	Series 2004A	Series 2006A	Series 2007	Series 2008A	Series 2008C	Series 2010A	
Interest Rate	3.00 - 5.50	3.00 - 6.00	3.00 - 5.25	5.00	4.75 - 5.00	4.00 - 5.25	3.00 - 5.125	5.00	
Dates Interest Payable	04/01;10/01	04/01;10/01	04/01;10/01	04/01;10/01	04/01;10/01	04/01;10/01	04/01;10/01	04/01;10/01	
Maturity Dates	10/1/2014	10/1/2015	10/1/2014	10/1/2031	10/1/2031	10/1/2021	10/1/2024	10/1/2039	
Bonds Outstanding at March 1, 2013	\$ 3,410,000	\$ 3,460,000	\$ 12,665,000	\$ 94,185,000	\$ 89,600,000	\$ 134,190,000	\$ 149,240,000	\$ 181,885,000	\$ 668,635,000
Bonds Sold During the Current Year: 2013-2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retirements During the Current Year: 2013-2014	2,365,000	1,170,000	6,180,000	-	-	-	2,090,000	-	11,805,000
Bonds Outstanding at February 28, 2014	<u>\$ 1,045,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,290,000</u>	<u>\$ 6,485,000</u>	<u>\$ 94,185,000</u>	<u>\$ 89,600,000</u>	<u>\$ 134,190,000</u>	<u>\$ 147,150,000</u>	<u>\$ 181,885,000</u>	<u>\$ 656,830,000</u>
Interest Paid During the Current Year - 2013-2014	<u>\$ 187,550</u>	<u>\$ 144,144</u>	<u>\$ 633,250</u>	<u>\$ 4,709,250</u>	<u>\$ 4,384,000</u>	<u>\$ 6,993,600</u>	<u>\$ 7,346,869</u>	<u>\$ 9,094,250</u>	<u>\$ 33,492,913</u>

<u>Paying Agent's</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>
Series 2002	JPMorgan Chase Bank	Dallas, Texas
Series 2003A	JPMorgan Chase Bank	Dallas, Texas
Series 2004A	JPMorgan Chase Bank	Dallas, Texas
Series 2006A	The Bank of New York	New York, New York
Series 2007	The Bank of New York	New York, New York
Series 2008A	The Bank of New York	New York, New York
Series 2008C	The Bank of New York	New York, New York
Series 2010A	The Bank of New York	New York, New York

<u>Bond Authorization:</u>	<u>Tax Bonds</u>
Amount Authorized By Voters	\$ 250,000,000
Amount Issued	<u>250,000,000</u>
Remaining To Be Issued	<u>\$ -</u>

Debt Service Fund Cash and Investments balances as of February 28, 2014: \$ 6,737,179

Average Annual Debt Service Payment (Principal & Interest) for remaining term of all debt: \$ 41,454,628

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES-GENERAL AND DEBT SERVICE FUNDS-FIVE YEARS ENDED
For The Year Ended February 28, 2014
(Unaudited)

	Amounts					Percent of Fund Total Revenues				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
General Fund:										
Revenues:										
Taxes	\$ 78,565,904	\$ 69,872,695	\$ 72,340,021	\$ 71,369,211	\$ 75,485,688	98.9%	97.5%	97.7%	92.6%	97.1%
Lease Revenue	248,792	306,279	281,015	199,498	178,280	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%
Intergovernmental	60,000	85,000	126,600	3,760,611	400,000	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	4.9%	0.5%
Interest	65,291	871,719	913,925	660,903	1,199,340	0.1%	1.2%	1.2%	0.9%	1.5%
Miscellaneous	466,850	529,415	347,212	1,049,141	501,754	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	1.3%	0.6%
Total Revenues	\$ 79,406,837	\$ 71,665,108	\$ 74,008,773	\$ 77,039,364	\$ 77,765,062	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Expenditures:										
Current Operating:										
Flood Control	\$ 54,149,124	\$ 58,166,708	\$ 58,352,777	\$ 65,410,356	\$ 68,599,451	68.2%	81.2%	78.8%	84.9%	88.2%
Tax Administration	631,147	609,506	647,319	650,151	699,455	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%
Capital Outlay	557,770	629,153	337,445	1,015,215	948,310	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%	1.3%	1.2%
Debt Service										
Bond issuance fees	-	-	-	546,734	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Interest and Fiscal Charges	-	-	-	208,274	395,007	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%
Total Expenditures	\$ 55,338,041	\$ 59,405,367	\$ 59,337,541	\$ 67,830,730	\$ 70,642,223	69.7%	82.9%	80.2%	88.0%	90.8%
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ 24,068,796	\$ 12,259,741	\$ 14,671,232	\$ 9,208,634	\$ 7,122,839	30.3%	17.1%	19.8%	12.0%	9.2%
Debt Service Fund:										
Revenues:										
Taxes	\$ 6,349,980	\$ 7,867,700	\$ 2,424,185	\$ 5,272,810	\$ 5,000,589	14.6%	17.5%	6.1%	16.0%	16.4%
Intergovernmental	36,982,800	36,978,500	37,052,500	27,713,000	25,351,200	85.3%	82.4%	93.8%	83.8%	83.3%
Interest	13,649	12,894	14,309	21,682	66,633	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
Miscellaneous	6,881	9,048	21,686	45,538	16,371	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Total Revenues	\$ 43,353,310	\$ 44,868,142	\$ 39,512,680	\$ 33,053,030	\$ 30,434,793	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Expenditures:										
Debt Service										
Principal Retirement	\$ 11,805,000	\$ 11,455,000	\$ 10,109,024	\$ 10,268,921	\$ 11,572,889	27.2%	25.5%	25.6%	31.1%	38.0%
Bond issuance fees	-	-	-	1,046,702	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Interest and Fiscal Charges	33,492,912	34,030,288	35,814,458	28,692,092	29,794,930	77.3%	75.8%	90.6%	86.8%	97.9%
Total Expenditures	\$ 45,297,912	\$ 45,485,288	\$ 45,923,482	\$ 40,007,715	\$ 41,367,819	104.5%	101.4%	116.2%	121.1%	135.9%
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ (1,944,602)	\$ (617,146)	\$ (6,410,802)	\$ (6,954,685)	\$(10,933,026)	-4.5%	-1.4%	-16.2%	-21.1%	-35.9%

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
OPERATING FUNDS BUDGET FOR THE DISTRICT'S FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015
(Unaudited)**

On February 11, 2014 the Commissioners Court adopted the budget for the District for the Fiscal Year 2015. The following is a summary the Fiscal Year 2015 budget for the District's Current Operating Fund:

Cash Balance as of March 1, 2014	\$ 130,431,470
Estimated Revenues:	
Ad Valorem Taxes	85,705,475
Other	<u>1,383,518</u>
Total Cash and Estimated Revenues	<u><u>\$ 217,520,463</u></u>
Appropriations:	
Current Operating Expenses	\$ 156,191,503
Capital Outlay	<u>61,328,960</u>
Total Appropriations	<u><u>\$ 217,520,463</u></u>

Table 25

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
HISTORICAL TAX DEBT OUTSTANDING
(Unaudited)**

The following table sets forth the District's ad valorem tax debt outstanding, as of the end of the Fiscal years 2003-2004 through 2013-14.

Fiscal Year	District's Debt Outstanding (a) (thousands)	Taxable Value (b) (thousands)	Outstanding as a Percentage of Taxable Value	Estimated Population (c)	Debt Outstanding Per Capita
2005	\$ 53,600	\$ 194,224,012	0.03%	3,644,285	\$ 15
2006	38,860	206,309,290	0.02	3,693,050	11
2007	115,925	225,201,409	0.05	3,886,207	30
2008	111,930	254,186,951	0.04	3,935,855	28
2009	108,981	274,733,342	0.04	3,984,349	27
2010	105,483	276,535,341	0.04	4,070,989	26
2011	102,539	264,933,206	0.04	4,092,459	25
2012	100,020	268,523,035	0.04	4,178,574	24
2013	96,470	280,292,702	0.03	4,253,700	23
2014	92,935	305,457,140	0.03	4,336,853	21

(a) Includes debt paid for by the District's ad valorem tax revenues.

(b) Taxable values are net of exemptions and abatements. Property is assessed at 100% of appraised value.

(c) Source: Bureau of the Census.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COUNTY ASSESSED VALUES AND TAX RATES
(EXCEPT FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT)
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)
(amounts in thousands)**

Fiscal Year	Real Property	Personal Property	Less Exemptions (a)	Total Taxable Assessed Value		M&O Tax Rate	Debt Service Tax Rate	Total County Tax Rate
2005	\$199,378,304	\$ 32,159,586	\$ 37,273,945	\$ 194,263,945	(b)	0.33117	0.06869	0.39986
2006	230,050,598	37,313,520	61,017,743	206,346,375	(c)	0.34728	0.05258	0.39986
2007	250,997,888	40,381,452	66,142,090	225,237,250	(c)	0.34221	0.06018	0.40239
2008	281,251,230	46,122,092	73,150,566	254,222,756		0.33918	0.05321	0.39239
2009	313,740,198	50,453,455	82,016,388	282,177,265		0.33815	0.05108	0.38923
2010	316,949,419	54,044,038	85,902,801	285,090,656		0.33401	0.05823	0.39224
2011	307,139,208	51,636,041	85,743,093	273,032,156		0.33401	0.05404	0.38805
2012	313,475,950	51,539,733	88,299,285	276,716,398		0.33444	0.05673	0.39117
2013	324,827,229	57,313,966	91,639,208	290,501,987		0.33271	0.06750	0.40021
2014	347,626,720	62,743,816	93,848,695	316,521,841		0.34547	0.06908	0.41455

(a) The majority of exemptions are made up of the optional 20% homestead property exemption. In addition, persons 65 years of age or older or disabled receive an exemption up to a maximum individual amount of \$160,000 (\$156,240 prior to 2008).

(b) HCAD tax supplement as of February 1 of the tax year.

(c) HCAD tax supplement as of January 29 of the tax year.

Source: Harris County Appraisal District.

Note: Property in the County must be revalued every three years. Property is assessed at market value; therefore, the taxable values are equal to market value less applicable exemptions. Tax rates are per \$100 of assessed value.

Table 27

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COUNTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS
(EXCEPT FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT)
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(Unaudited)
(amounts in thousands)**

Fiscal Year	Taxes Levied for the Fiscal Year	Adjusted Levy as of End of Current Fiscal Year	Collected within the Fiscal Year of the Levy		Collections in Subsequent Years*	Total Collections to Date	
			Amount	Percentage of Levy		Amount	Percentage of Levy
2005	\$ 793,759	\$ 762,048	\$ 740,302	93.3%	\$ 18,182	\$ 758,484	99.5%
2006	796,885	820,054	719,922	90.3	96,934	816,856	99.6
2007	887,598	903,787	793,835	89.4	106,652	900,487	99.6
2008	929,929	991,467	910,828	97.9	77,071	987,899	99.6
2009	1,089,141	1,085,714	981,807	90.1	99,413	1,081,220	99.6
2010	1,114,429	1,101,490	1,036,477	93.0	59,564	1,096,041	99.5
2011	1,058,623	1,049,855	987,684	93.3	56,767	1,044,451	99.5
2012	1,081,861	1,072,849	1,022,187	94.5	43,855	1,066,042	99.4
2013	1,160,905	1,152,286	1,100,588	94.8	40,814	1,141,402	99.1
2014	1,308,910	1,308,910	1,247,389	95.3	-	1,247,389	95.3

* For reporting purposes refunds associated with a prior year are netted against the prior year collections.

Table 28

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COUNTY TAX DEBT OUTSTANDING
(Unaudited)**

	<u>County's Total Outstanding Tax Debt (a)</u>
Limited Tax Debt	\$ 1,016,961,607
Unlimited Tax Debt	700,407,098
Flood Control	563,895,000
Toll Road Tax Bonds	432,540,000
Total	<u>\$ 2,713,803,705</u>
Less: Toll Road Tax Bonds	<u>(432,540,000)</u>
Total (Approximately 0.56% of 2013 Assessed Value)	<u><u>\$ 2,281,263,705</u></u>

(a) Excluding Flood Control District debt of \$92,935,000 paid for by the District's ad valorem tax revenues. Amounts expressed at gross value, not considering unamortized premium or discount or accretion of capital appreciation bonds.

Table 29

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COUNTY-WIDE AD VALOREM TAX DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS
(Unaudited)
(amounts in thousands)**

Fiscal Year	Limited Tax Debt			Unlimited Tax Debt			Toll Road Unlimited Tax & Subordinate Lien Revenue Bonds			Flood Control (a)			Total County-Wide Tax Debt		
	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total
2015	\$ 59,053	\$ 51,174	\$ 110,227	\$ 18,633	\$ 32,302	\$ 50,935	\$ 62,770	\$ 20,086	\$ 82,856	\$ 13,025	\$ 32,932	\$ 45,957	\$ 153,481	\$ 136,494	\$ 289,975
2016	60,982	49,472	110,454	24,203	31,714	55,917	40,685	17,832	58,517	9,785	32,420	42,205	135,655	131,438	267,093
2017	69,832	47,663	117,495	23,609	31,025	54,634	26,380	16,419	42,799	9,045	31,961	41,006	128,866	127,068	255,934
2018	67,021	45,252	112,273	28,037	30,316	58,353	26,610	15,128	41,738	9,450	31,530	40,980	131,118	122,226	253,344
2019	69,071	42,999	112,070	42,605	29,352	71,957	27,445	13,742	41,187	24,245	31,058	55,303	163,366	117,151	280,517
2020	62,981	40,510	103,491	38,010	27,234	65,244	28,345	12,278	40,623	40,100	29,791	69,891	169,436	109,813	279,249
2021	71,205	29,097	100,302	42,690	25,338	68,028	29,285	10,765	40,050	40,655	27,704	68,359	183,835	92,904	276,739
2022	94,365	25,823	120,188	25,405	23,251	48,656	19,445	9,486	28,931	45,170	25,664	70,834	184,385	84,224	268,609
2023	55,290	21,501	76,791	65,540	22,007	87,547	20,240	8,449	28,689	45,290	23,298	68,588	186,360	75,255	261,615
2024	51,326	30,460	81,786	67,495	18,830	86,325	20,700	7,385	28,085	46,605	21,033	67,638	186,126	77,708	263,834
2025	82,932	28,826	111,758	59,370	15,522	74,892	21,165	6,297	27,462	17,055	18,703	35,758	180,522	69,348	249,870
2026	38,854	25,208	64,062	43,075	12,640	55,715	12,070	5,430	17,500	33,255	17,837	51,092	127,254	61,115	188,369
2027	52,500	11,021	63,521	43,075	10,486	53,561	12,090	4,796	16,886	34,255	16,175	50,430	141,920	42,478	184,398
2028	53,375	8,375	61,750	43,075	8,333	51,408	12,115	4,161	16,276	35,280	14,462	49,742	143,845	35,331	179,176
2029	33,065	20,171	53,236	43,070	6,304	49,374	12,135	3,524	15,659	35,735	12,730	48,465	124,005	42,729	166,734
2030	23,410	4,290	27,700	32,645	4,275	36,920	12,160	2,886	15,046	33,940	10,975	44,915	102,155	22,426	124,581
2031	24,405	3,095	27,500	29,935	2,768	32,703	12,185	2,247	14,432	36,705	9,197	45,902	103,230	17,307	120,537
2032	25,485	1,848	27,333	29,935	1,384	31,319	12,210	1,607	13,817	37,225	7,362	44,587	104,855	12,201	117,056
2033	21,810	545	22,355	-	-	-	12,240	965	13,205	11,520	5,500	17,020	45,570	7,010	52,580
2034	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,265	322	12,587	12,095	4,925	17,020	24,360	5,247	29,607
2035	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,700	4,320	17,020	12,700	4,320	17,020
2036	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,335	3,685	17,020	13,335	3,685	17,020
2037	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,005	3,018	17,023	14,005	3,018	17,023
2038	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,705	2,318	17,023	14,705	2,318	17,023
2039	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,440	1,582	17,022	15,440	1,582	17,022
2040	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,210	810	17,020	16,210	810	17,020
Total	\$ 1,016,962	\$ 487,330	\$ 1,504,292	\$ 700,407	\$ 333,081	\$ 1,033,488	\$ 432,540	\$ 163,805	\$ 596,345	\$ 656,830	\$ 420,990	\$ 1,077,820	\$ 2,806,739	\$ 1,405,206	\$ 4,211,945

(a) Includes Flood Control District debt paid for by the District's ad valorem tax revenues and debt paid for by the County's ad valorem tax revenues as a result of refunded commercial paper.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COUNTY-WIDE AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED BONDS
(Unaudited)
(Amounts in Thousands)**

As of February 28, 2014, the following County-wide ad valorem tax bonds authorized by the voters at elections held in September, 1983, November, 1999, November, 2001, and November, 2007 remain unissued.

The Schedule reflects the County's use of voted authority when it issues general obligation commercial paper notes pursuant to its Series B (parks and libraries) and Series C (roads and bridges) programs.

County Ad Valorem Tax Bonds

Limited Tax:		
Civil Justice Center	\$ 33,000	
Parks	50,500	
Forensic Lab	80,000	
Family Law Center	70,000	
Joint Processing Center	70,000	
Total Limited Tax Bonds	<u>303,500</u>	\$ 303,500
Unlimited Tax:		
Road Bonds	90,077	
Total Unlimited Tax Bonds	<u>90,077</u>	90,077
Combination Unlimited Tax and Revenue:		
Toll Roads	15,148	
Total Unlimited Tax and Revenue Bonds	<u>15,148</u>	15,148
Harris County Flood Control District Limited Tax Bonds		-
Total Harris County Ad Valorem Tax Bonds		<u>408,725</u>
Total Authorized but Unissued Bonds		<u>\$ 408,725</u>

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
OPERATING FUNDS BUDGET FOR THE COUNTY'S FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015
(Unaudited)**

On February 11, 2014 the Commissioners Court adopted the budget for the County for the Fiscal Year 2014-2015. The Fiscal Year 2015 budget included appropriations for some capital projects, which are financed from current revenues. The following is a summary of the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 budget for the County's Current Operating Fund:

Cash Balance as of March 1, 2014	\$ 394,109,377
Estimated Revenues:	
Ad Valorem and Miscellaneous Taxes	1,152,761,091
Charges for Services	213,643,698
Fines and Forfeitures	19,836,535
Intergovernmental Revenues	38,968,665
Interest	1,019,172
Other	46,961,925
Total Cash and Estimated Revenues	<u>\$ 1,867,300,463</u>
Appropriations:	
Current Operating Expenses	\$ 1,842,216,688
Capital Outlay:	
Roads	12,383,073
Parks	11,781,587
Office/Courts	919,115
Total Appropriations	<u>\$ 1,867,300,463</u>

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
COUNTY CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS BUDGETING
(Unaudited)**

County Capital Projects Funds are used to construct roads, office and court buildings, jails, juvenile home facilities, parks and libraries. Cash and investments on hand in the Capital Projects Funds at February 28, 2014 derived from the sale of bonds and the investment income thereon, are designated to be spent over a period of several years for the following purposes:

Roads	\$ 116,551,753
Permanent Improvements	28,332,042
Flood Control	<u>152,096,445</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 296,980,240</u></u>

**C
O
M
P
L
I
A
N
C
E**

**S
E
C
T
I
O
N**

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM;
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB
CIRCULAR A-133**

County Judge Ed Emmett and
Members of Commissioners Court of
Harris County, Texas:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Harris County Flood Control District's (the "District") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended February 28, 2014. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended February 28, 2014.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the District as of and for the year ended February 28, 2014, and have issued our report thereon dated August 28, 2014, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such

information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal and state awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Deloitte's Tsuche LLP

August 28, 2014

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

County Judge Ed Emmett and
Members of Commissioners Court of
Harris County, Texas:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Harris County Flood Control District (the "District"), as of and for the year ended February 28, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated August 28, 2014.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. *A material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations,

contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Deloitte & Tsuda LLP

August 28, 2014

HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2014

Fund No.	Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Agency Grantor / Program	CFDA Number	Grantor or Pass-through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures	Amount Provided to Subrecipients
<u>FEDERAL GRANTS</u>					
<u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY</u>					
DIRECT PROGRAMS:					
7589	Cooperating Technical Partners	97.045	EMT-2010-CA-0014	\$ 23,709	\$ -
7589	Cooperating Technical Partners	97.045	EMT-2010-CA-0015	20,544	-
7589	Cooperating Technical Partners	97.045	EMT-2011-CA-0009	14,546	-
PASS THROUGH PROGRAMS, GOVERNORS DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT:					
7031	FEMA - Pre-Disaster Mitigation Competitive	97.047	PDM09-001	3,041	-
7031	FEMA - Pre-Disaster Mitigation Competitive	97.047	PDM10-002	46,688	-
7059	FEMA - Hazard Mitigation Grant Project	97.039	FEMA-1791-DR-007	10,509	-
7059	FEMA - Hazard Mitigation Grant Project	97.039	FEMA-1791-DR-008	6,292	-
7119	FEMA - Hazard Mitigation Grant Project	97.039	FEMA-1709-DR-007	19,406	-
7984	FEMA - Hazard Mitigation Grant Project	97.039	FEMA-1791-DR-TX-068	26,040	-
7984	FEMA - Hazard Mitigation Grant Project	97.039	FEMA-1791-DR-TX-067	113,185	-
7984	FEMA - Hazard Mitigation Grant Project	97.039	FEMA-1791-DR-TX-069	1,332,476	-
7984	FEMA - Hazard Mitigation Grant Project	97.039	FEMA-1791-DR-TX-233	1,727,403	-
7984	FEMA - Hazard Mitigation Grant Project	97.039	FEMA-1791-DR-TX-138	558,106	-
PASS THROUGH PROGRAMS, TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD:					
7073	FEMA - Severe Repetitive Loss - SRL 2008	97.110	0900010907	743,389	-
7073	FEMA - Severe Repetitive Loss - SRL 2008 - Brandywood	97.110	0900011151	436,139	-
7073	FEMA - Severe Repetitive Loss - SRL 2009	97.110	0900011040	11,623	-
7073	FEMA - Severe Repetitive Loss - SRL 2009 APP 2	97.110	1000011110	12,159	-
7073	FEMA - Severe Repetitive Loss - SRL 2009 APP 3	97.110	1100011190	8,471	-
7073	FEMA - Severe Repetitive Loss - SRL 2011 APP 1	97.110	1200011384	1,981	-
7073	FEMA - Severe Repetitive Loss - SRL 2011 APP 2	97.110	1200011407	223,632	-
7073	FEMA - Severe Repetitive Loss - SRL 2012 APP 1	97.110	1200011486	114,689	-
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY				<u>5,454,028</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</u>					
<u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE</u>					
PASS THROUGH PROGRAMS, ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS:					
3310	Brays Bayou Federal Project	12.000	NONE	8,239,308	-
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE				<u>8,239,308</u>	<u>-</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS				<u>\$ 13,693,336</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
<u>STATE GRANTS</u>					
<u>TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD</u>					
DIRECT PROGRAMS:					
7302	Flood Protection Planning Grant	N/A	1248321466	124,545	\$ -
TOTAL TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD				<u>124,545</u>	<u>-</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS				<u>\$ 124,545</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS				<u>\$ 13,817,881</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

See notes to the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards.

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2014**

1. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards includes the federal grant activity and state grant activity of the District and is presented on the modified cash basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.
2. Reconciliation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards to Grants Special Revenue Fund of the District's Financial Report for the year ended February 28, 2014:

Federal expenditures per schedule	\$ 13,693,336
State expenditures per schedule	124,545
Subtotal	13,817,881
Add:	
County funded portion of grants	2,093,111
Less:	
Trial balance adjustments for modified accrual basis of accounting	(801,311)
Grants - Brays Bayou expenditures	(8,239,308)
Total	\$ 6,870,373
Balance per financial report - Grants Special Revenue Fund	\$ 6,870,373

**HARRIS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
A COMPONENT UNIT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2014**

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued:	Unmodified.
Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness (es) identified?	No.
Significant deficiency (ies) identified not considered to be a material weakness?	None reported.
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No.

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs: Material weakness (es) identified?	No.
Significant deficiency (ies) identified not considered to be a material weakness?	None reported.
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified.
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133 (section 510(a))?	No.
Identification of major programs: Brays Bayou Federal Project	12.000
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:	\$410,800
Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee?	Yes.

II. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS SECTION

None noted.

III. FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS SECTION

None noted.

IV. STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None noted.