

AUDITOR'S REPORT

HARRIS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT THREE MONTHS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2014



May 8, 2015

**Barbara J. Schott, C.P.A.
Harris County Auditor**

Mike Post, C.P.A.
Chief Assistant County Auditor
Accounting Division

Mark Ledman, C.P.A., M.P.A.
Chief Assistant County Auditor
Audit Division



1001 Preston, Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77002-1817
(713) 755-6505

FAX (713) 755-8932
Help Line (713) 755-HELP

BARBARA J. SCHOTT, C.P.A.
HARRIS COUNTY AUDITOR

May 8, 2015

Sheriff Adrian Garcia
Harris County Sheriff's Department
1200 Baker Street
Houston, Texas 77002

RE: Procedures applicable to the monthly collection reports submitted by the Sheriff's Department for the three months ended November 30, 2014

As required by Local Government Code Chapter 115, the Compliance Audit Department examined the monthly collection reports submitted by the Sheriff's Department (the Office) for the three months ended November 30, 2014.

The procedures performed included:

- Evaluating whether amounts reported on the monthly collection reports were properly deposited in the County's depository.
- Accounting for the numerical sequencing of computer generated and manual receipts.
- Evaluating whether amounts were properly recorded in the County's Integrated Financial and Administrative Solution (IFAS) system.
- Performing an analytical review of transactions by comparing selected information between periods.

The enclosed Auditor's Report presents the significant issues identified during our procedures, recommendations developed in conjunction with your staff, and any actions you have taken to implement the recommendations. Less significant issues and recommendations have been verbally communicated to your staff.

Sheriff Adrian Garcia

We appreciate the time and attention provided by you and your staff during our procedures.

Sincerely,



Barbara J. Schott
County Auditor

cc: District Judges
County Judge Ed Emmett
Commissioners:
 R. Jack Cagle
 El Franco Lee
 Jack Morman
 Steve Radack
Devon Anderson
Vince Ryan
William J. Jackson

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS 4

Timeliness of Deposits.....4

Monthly Reports.....6

Manual Receipt Transactions7

Balancing Report.....8

ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Timeliness of Deposits

Background

Local Government Code §113.022 requires deposits to be made within five business days after the day on which the funds were received.

Issue

The following are instances in which the Alarm Detail Section did not comply with this requirement:

Collections Received	Deposit Validated by Bank	Number of Business Days Delinquent
9/3/2014	9/17/2014	5
9/4/2014	9/17/2014	4
9/5/2014	12/1/2014	54
9/9/2014	9/24/2014	6
9/12/2014	10/3/2014	10
9/17/2014	9/29/2014	3
9/19/2014	10/15/2014	13
9/23/2014	11/6/2014	27
9/26/2014	10/6/2014	1
9/30/2014	10/10/2014	3
10/3/2014	10/17/2014	5
10/4/2014	10/14/2014	2
10/6/2014	10/15/2014	2
10/9/2014	10/20/2014	2
10/10/2014	10/23/2014	4
10/13/2014	10/21/2014	1
10/14/2014	11/7/2014	13
10/16/2014	10/28/2014	3
10/17/2014	11/10/2014	11
10/23/2014	11/12/2014	9
10/24/2014	11/10/2014	6
10/29/2014	11/19/2014	10
10/31/2014	11/12/2014	3
11/3/2014	11/12/2014	2
11/4/2014	11/14/2014	3
11/7/2014	12/12/2014	18
11/10/2014	11/18/2014	1
11/11/2014	11/20/2014	2
11/13/2014	12/8/2014	10
11/14/2014	12/4/2014	7
11/15/2014	12/1/2014	4
11/18/2014	12/1/2014	2

Collections Received	Deposit Validated by Bank	Number of Business Days Delinquent
11/20/2014	12/12/2014	9
11/21/2014	12/19/2014	13
11/26/2014	12/30/2014	17

As a result, deposits which are not made in a timely manner may be misplaced, lost or stolen.

Recommendation

All collections should be deposited in accordance with the deadlines specified in Local Government Code §113.022. Clerks should be trained on the significance of depositing to the bank in a timely manner.

Management Response

The Alarm Detail unit began preparing for a transition from their FARS system to the CryWolf system in Sept 2014, which placed further strain on an already understaffed group, and may have contributed to continued delinquency of deposits. As of May 1, 2015 the Alarm Detail commander has agreed to place even greater emphasis on timely cash deposits. It should be further noted that the CryWolf system is anticipated to reduce cash deposit activity by as much as 90% within the next 12 to 18 months, thereby relieving the understaffed situation that currently exists.

ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Monthly Reports

Background

Pursuant to the reporting requirements established by Local Government Code §114.001 and §114.002, the Auditor's Office requires that the Office submit their monthly collection report by the fifth day of the following month.

Issue

For the following business months, the Office did not comply with this requirement.

Business Month	Date Received	Number of Days Delinquent
September 2014	10/10/2014	5
October 2014	11/21/2014	16
November 2014	12/11/2014	6

As a result, delays in submitting the monthly report can cause delays in recording financial activity in IFAS.

Recommendation

All reports should be submitted in accordance with the deadlines specified in Local Government Code §114.001 and §114.002. The Office should implement procedures so month end paperwork is submitted in a timely fashion.

Management Response

The monthly collection report is compiled by the HCSO Business Office, and requires gathering of information from several areas of the HCSO, including Alarm Detail. If any one of those areas is behind on submitting their data, the Business Office will be delinquent in submitting the compiled report. Alarm Detail, due to preparation for and implementation of the CryWolf system, continues to experience delays in submission of their monthly data (as of May 1, 2015). The Alarm Detail commander expects this situation to be resolved within the next several months, thereby allowing the Business Office to submit the Monthly Collection Report on a timely basis.

ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Manual Receipt Transactions

Background

As discussed in Harris County Accounting Procedure A.1, *Cash Handling Guidelines*, manually receipted transactions should be entered in IFAS within two business days following the day the manual receipt is issued.

Issue

The following are instances in which the Office did not comply with this requirement.

Section	Manual Receipt Date	Financial System Receipt Date	Number of Business Days Delinquent	Number of Receipts
District 2	10/27/2014	11/10/2014	8	1
	11/3/2014	11/10/2014	3	1
	11/15/2014	11/21/2014	3	1

As a result, delays in entering receipts in IFAS can cause delays in recognizing revenue on the County's financial statements.

Recommendation

Office Management should implement controls to ensure that manual receipts are entered in IFAS within two business days of receipt.

Management Response

The District 2 commander is aware of these delays in entering receipts in IFAS, and believes that staffing shortages are the underlying cause. At this time (May 2015) the HCSO has not budgeted to add personnel and deems this limited number of delinquencies to be acceptable.

ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Balancing Report

Background

Departments issuing receipts directly from IFAS create cash receipts in batches (a group of receipts issued by one clerk) and then distribute (post) those batches. This immediately records the receipts in the General Ledger. Harris County Accounting Procedure F.1-1-1, *Cash Receipts – Detailed IFAS Receipting*, states that receipts from different calendar months should not be input to the same batch. In addition, according to Harris County Accounting Procedures F.1-2-1, *Deposits via Treasurer*, and F.1-2-2, *Deposits via Armored Carrier or Field Locations*, collections from different calendar months should not be deposited on the same deposit slip.

Issue

A receipt batch from September 2014 was not closed out appropriately. As a result, amounts presented on the September 2014 and October 2014 monthly collection reports did not agree to total deposits. The discrepancy occurred because a cash receipt batch created in one month was not closed at the end of that month, receipts from the following month were improperly issued in the batch, and the deposit included collections from both months. The receipts were correctly reflected on the appropriate monthly collection report, but a portion of the deposit amount was attributed to the incorrect month.

This resulted in a reconciliation issue (timing difference) between bank deposits and amounts recorded in IFAS. The discrepancy between the monthly collection report and total deposits was corrected the following month. The chart below contains the detail information for the cash receipt batch ID and deposit slip number.

Month	Section	Batch ID	Deposit Slip Number
September 2014	District 2	L540ROA2	5401201612

As a result, including collections from a subsequent month in the bank deposit can cause bank reconciliation issues.

Recommendation

The Office should ensure that all cash receipt batches are properly closed at the end of each calendar month and that the bank deposit contains collections from only one month so there are no timing differences. The Office should implement procedures to ensure that clerks close their batches on the last business day of the month.

Management Response

The District 2 commander is aware of these delays in entering receipt batches in IFAS, and believes that staffing shortages are the underlying cause. At this time (May 2015) the HCSO has not budgeted to add personnel and deems this limited number of delinquencies to be acceptable.