



2006 ANNUAL REPORT

**HARRIS COUNTY
PRETRIAL SERVICES**



Carol Oeller
Director

Dennis Potts
Assistant Director

Myra Smith
Division Manager, Pretrial Screening

Hazel Lundy
Division Manager, Defendant Monitoring

Mara Porper
Information Resources Manager

Troyce Carter
Eric Clayton
Chrystal Ferguson
Ruby Francis
Steve Johnson
Carmen Martinez
Aurora Roberts
Andrea Robertson
William Scruggs, Jr.
Supervisors



Mission

To develop and provide services that support informed, accountable pretrial release and detention processes that neither unduly restrict a defendant's liberty nor compromise the community's safety.



Table of Contents

Part I - Annual Highlights	Page
<i>March of Dimes and United Way</i>	1
<i>Changes in Drug Test Procedures</i>	1
<i>The Defendant Report</i>	2
<i>Profile of Defendants Interviewed in 2006</i>	3
<i>Defendant Report Activity</i>	4
<i>Drug Test Activity Continues to Grow</i>	5
<i>Supervision Caseload Review</i>	6
<i>Supervision Results</i>	7
Part II - Statistics	
<i>Defendant Report</i>	8
<i>Bail Review</i>	11
<i>Supervision</i>	13
<i>Compliance</i>	17
<i>Disposition of Bond Event</i>	21
Part III - Charts and Graphs	
Part IV - Table of Organization	

Acknowledgements

Pretrial Services is part of a larger criminal justice community that works together to promote the administration of justice in Harris County. We would like to specifically recognize the Harris County Commissioners Court, Harris County Management Services, the Pretrial Services Standing Committee, the District Criminal Courts, and the County Criminal Courts at Law as key contributors to a quality pretrial services program.

A Tradition of Giving: March of Dimes & United Way

Pretrial Services staff offered their support to the March of Dimes annual fund-raising drive. The department organized a number of activities to encourage giving and many employees also participated in the WalkAmerica event. Employees also gave to the United Way during their annual drive. The combined contributions to these two charities totaled over \$3,100.



Harris County Judge Robert Eckels greets Hazel Lundy and Tannie McGregor at the county's WalkAmerica tent.



Drug Test Procedures Change

The growing number of defendants required to submit to drug testing prompted the department to explore different testing protocols in order to maintain testing efficacy. The traditional method of obtaining a urine specimen and sending it to the Medical Examiner for testing yielded slow results and challenged both departments' resources. The volume of urine specimens needing a drug was interfering with the Medical Examiner's inherent functions while delays in obtaining test results impeded Pretrial Services and court procedures.

To remedy this situation the department evaluated testing options and, in May 2006, chose to use a combination of technologies. The department's new procedures still dictated collecting urine samples and obtaining confirmatory results from a certified lab. But the department anticipated it would reduce greatly the number of times these activities had to be performed by using a pupillometer, an ocular scanning device, to screen for drug use.

The new laboratory was positioned to test a large volume of urine specimens and provide results within 24 hours for negative samples and 72 hours for positive ones. Rapid test results helped the department to identify a defendant's drug use quickly, and enabled the courts to address this use and consider strategies to curtail it promptly.

After using the pupillometer for approximately 2 months, the department realized it did not facilitate drug use detection or reduce urine specimen collections. In July 2006 the department instituted a different urine analysis process. A urine specimen point-of-collection test (POCT) is used for the first test when a drug test condition is imposed. All subsequent tests are sent to the certified laboratory for analysis. ■

More on drug test activity, page 5

The county provided an opportunity for employees to receive training and certification in CPR and use of an automated external defibrillator (AED). Nineteen of Pretrial Services 103 employees were certified to use the AED. As a result, an AED device is now installed in the Criminal Justice Center office on the 12th floor.



The Defendant Report

From the first contact with a defendant in jail through supervision and compliance monitoring, Pretrial Services strives to facilitate informed, accountable release and detention practices. The department offers a range of programs, services, and options throughout the pretrial stage of a case, and, for defendants in jail, the process begins with the defendant report.

Pretrial officers have access to defendants within hours of arrest. The staff work twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week to interview defendants as soon as possible, the first step in preparing the defendant report. Then, using the initial interview as a foundation, the officer draws upon multiple information sources and compiles the data about the defendant into a comprehensive defendant report.

Another action taken at the interview stage, when appropriate, is to prepare a mental health referral based on a screening process built into the interview. The referral is forwarded to MHMR staff in the jail or at Project New Start.

The defendant report is used for bail review at the probable cause hearing and a hard copy is delivered to the assigned court for defendants on the day's docket. It is also available to authorized persons online in JIMS at any time. Throughout the pretrial stage of a case the defendant report provides information that facilitates informed decision-making. ■



A pretrial officer interviews a defendant at the Houston Police Department central jail.

The Defendant Report contains information on:

*Criminal History
Open Warrants
Pending Cases
Address History and Living Situation
Employment History
Financial Situation
Dependents
Family, friends, and Contacts
Medical Special Needs*

Pretrial Services offices are located at:

*Harris County Inmate Processing Center
Probable Cause Courtroom
Houston Police Department Central Jail
Houston Police Department Southeast Jail
Harris County Criminal Justice Center*

The Defendant Report is available to:


*Judges in the District and County Criminal Courts
Magistrates at the Probable Cause Court
District Attorney's Office
Defense Counsel
Law Enforcement Personnel
Probation Officers with the Community Supervision
and Corrections Department*

The Defendant Report offers decision support for:


*Bail Risk Assessment
Mental Health Evaluation
Indigency Determination*

Profile of Defendants Interviewed in 2006


Pretrial Services collects demographic information about defendants as part of the interview. All defendants interviewed are subject to thorough criminal records checks that provide a history of previous arrests and convictions. This information, compiled in a defendant report, assists the judiciary in making informed release and detention decisions for an individual defendant. Taken in aggregate, the information collected also provides a profile of persons charged in Harris County for class B misdemeanor and above offenses.



Education




Age



Place of Birth

Does not convey citizenship




Prior Convictions

The 2006 defendant reports show:


- Over 50% of defendants were arrested by the Houston Police Department.
- Over 70,000 defendant reports were reviewed at Probable Cause Court.
- Defendants with felony charges are more likely to have a prior criminal record.
- Drug offenses account for almost half of all felony charges.

The number of females interviewed has increased, not just because more people are charged each year, but also because they account for a greater percent of the charged population.



As a Percent of all Defendant Interviews

Defendant Report Activity in 2006




The chart compares the number of arrests for a felony or class A or B misdemeanor offense and defendant reports completed over the last five years. For the first time, the number of interviews conducted did not keep pace with arrests. The surge in the number of arrests strained the department's static staffing level. While arrests increased 14% over last year, interviews increased only 7%.

Harris County saw arrests for new charges climb over 100,000 for the first time, with over 103,000 persons taken into custody for class A or B misdemeanor or felony offenses in 2006. Of those, 61% were for a misdemeanor offense and 39% for a felony offense.

Pretrial Services compiled reports on 82.4% of the arrestees, for a total of 85,248 reports. Staff prepared 50,570 reports on defendants arrested for a misdemeanor offense, compiling information on 80% of that population. For those defendants arrested for a felony, the percentage was 86%, or 34,678 reports completed.

Other defendants interviewed included 41 defendants who had open warrants but were not in custody. Another 5,079 defendants posted a financial bond and were interviewed after release in order to initiate court-ordered supervision. Abbreviated versions of the defendant report were prepared for 152 defendants most of whom were ordered to submit to a point-of-collection drug test but did not have a current defendant report on file. In addition, pretrial services staff made 8,771 referrals to MHMRA for defendants suspected of having a mental health issue. ■




With more arrests, the number of mental health referrals for defendants in the Harris County jail has increased as well.

Defendants Placed on Supervision

Pretrial Services added 10,135 new defendants to its caseload in 2006, an 8.9% increase over the number of defendants added last year and a 57.3% increase over 5 years ago.

Of those, 58.6% or 5,935 defendants posted some form of financial bond. Another 4,138 defendants, 40.8% of the total, were released from jail on a personal bond. The remaining 62 defendants were supervised by order of the court without bail as a factor, i.e., summons, Non-PTS personal bonds direct from the court, and modified sentence supervision orders. ■




* Includes 62 defendants ordered supervised where bail was not a factor.

Drug Test Activity Continues to Grow and Change


Screening for drug use remains the condition most often imposed by the judiciary as a condition of pretrial release. Pretrial Services restructured its drug test process in 2006 (see page 1) to provide the judiciary with consistent, reliable, and prompt test results on all defendants ordered to comply with a drug test condition. Upon a judge's request, the department also offers point-of-collection tests (POCT) with immediate results for five categories of substances for defendants not supervised by Pretrial Services.

There were 6,777 people who were tested for drug or alcohol use in 2006. Of those, 5,678 people, or 84%, were on some form of financial bond while being supervised.



Different combinations of testing techniques and processes were tried in 2006 resulting in fewer laboratory analysis tests, or 12,785 for the year. However, 19,390 POCTs were done, a 1,100% increase over 2005. In addition, 2,913 pupillometer tests were conducted using ocular scan technology to detect drug use. The department performed 58 breath analysis tests using a device that assesses breath alcohol concentration from a breath sample and 13 transdermal patch tests on defendants who could not provide a urine specimen.


Slightly more than 18% of all drug test event results were positive in 2006. If the test events are broken out by first test event and subsequent test events the positive rate drops significantly, going from 46.4% on the first test to only 16.7% for the third test. ■




Another 281 defendants, or 4%, were granted a personal bond. The remaining 12%, or 818 people, were mostly defendants tested at the request of the court but not supervised, but also included persons with modified sentences, summons, and out of county cases.

Different drug test techniques were used in 2006 to find the optimum process for effective drug use screening and supervision.

Most drug test results are negative for substance use. In fact, if the first test (taken soon after release from jail) is discounted, the positive result percentage drops substantially.



Supervision Caseload Review



The number of defendants ordered supervised continues to increase, topping 10,000 for the first time. Most are required to comply with enhanced supervision conditions.

Standard Release Conditions

- In-person check-ins on each court date*
- Check-ins by phone or in person at least every two weeks*
- Calls the day before court to confirm court date*
- Notification of all address, telephone number or employment changes*
- Travel restrictions out of the Harris County area*
- Complaining witness contact prohibition*

Enhanced Conditions

- Refrain from illegal/illicit drug possession or use*
- Submit to mandatory scheduled or random drug screens*
- Install and use ignition interlock device*
- Adhere to a curfew with or without electronic monitoring*
- Abide by residence requirements*
- Abide by employment conditions*
- Abide by educational requirements*
- Participate in substance and alcohol abuse treatment*
- Participate in mental impairment services*

All defendants supervised by Pretrial Services must comply with a set of standard conditions. The court may also order defendants to comply with other conditions. The judiciary placed 3,737 defendants (37%) under supervision with standard supervision conditions. The remaining 6,398 defendants (63%) were required to comply with one or more of the enhanced conditions listed to the left. Defendants on financial bond comprised over 92% of the defendants with enhanced supervision conditions.

Drug testing was the activity most frequently ordered. Of those defendants with enhanced conditions, 86% had drug testing as a condition.

The courts also ordered over 6% of supervised defendants to wear electronic monitoring devices. The device allows Pretrial Services to determine whether a defendant is complying with a court-ordered curfew.

Another 20% of the supervised defendants were required to have an ignition interlock device installed on the vehicle that they drive. This technology prevents a person from starting the vehicle without providing a deep-lung breath sample that is tested for alcohol. If alcohol is detected in the sample above a given level, the vehicle will not start.

A count of the number of defendants on supervision on the last day of each month gives a snapshot of the size of the supervision caseload throughout the year. In 2006, the caseload averaged 2,078 active defendants on supervision. Of those, approximately 67% had enhanced supervision requirements. The 2006 caseload size is a 6% growth over 2005 and a 104% growth over five years ago. ■

Disposition of Pretrial Supervision Period


In 2006, 9,821 defendants exited the Pretrial Services supervision program. They included 4,205 defendants on personal bond, 5,574 defendants on financial bond, and 42 people who were not on bond or who had non-Harris County cases.

A review of the 9,779 defendants with a personal or financial bond shows that 76%, or 7,466 defendants, completed the supervision period and left the program because their criminal case was over. Looking at the 7,466 defendants by offense level, there were 4,807 defendants with a misdemeanor case and 2,659 with a felony case. Case dispositions for defendants with misdemeanor charges include 41% with no additional jail time, 29% jailed, and 30% dismissed. Of defendants charged with a felony, 48% received no additional jail time, 28% were ordered to jail or prison, and 24% saw their cases dismissed or no-billed. The most common sentence imposed included some form of probation with


29% of all misdemeanor and 43% of all felony cases resulting in post-trial supervision.

Of the remaining 24% of defendants whose supervision period ended before the disposition of their criminal cases, 22% did not comply with other supervision conditions or failed to appear in court when scheduled. Defendants supervised that missed a court appearance which resulted in bond revocation or forfeiture account for 9% of the total. Noncompliance with other conditions of supervision (e.g., refrain from substance abuse and abide by curfew restrictions) accounted for 13% of those who left the program. The remaining 2% exited for reasons other than noncompliance. These included appeal judgements or situations where the court ordered the supervision terminated.

Of the 42 persons with a non-bond or non-Harris County case, 93% successfully completed the supervision period. ■




Personal Bond - 4,205 defendants



Financial Bond - 5,574 defendants

Every effort is made to resolve situations where noncompliance results in the issuance of a warrant. The court is apprised of information that supports consideration for reinstatement of the bond. For the remaining fugitives, a pretrial investigator attempts to locate the individual and, working with law enforcement, facilitate apprehension.

Status of warrants for all supervised cases issued in 2006 as of February 28, 2007. A status of 'Other' includes warrants returned unexecuted or where the defendant posted a new bond.



Section I The Defendant Report

A. Incarcerated Defendant Population

1. Number of defendants arrested in calendar year 2006

(excludes defendants with only Class C misdemeanor, MRP, or MAJ):

Filing Agency	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	Both	%	TOTAL	%
HPD/Park	27,387	47.1%	19,262	59.9%	500	43.2%	47,149	51.5%
Metro/TABC/Airport	772	1.3%	418	1.3%	10	0.9%	1,200	1.3%
HCSO	11,814	20.3%	5,919	18.4%	228	19.7%	17,961	19.6%
Pasadena	2,476	4.3%	1,135	3.5%	65	5.6%	3,676	4.0%
Baytown	957	1.6%	559	1.7%	16	1.4%	1,532	1.7%
Humble	1,029	1.8%	346	1.1%	16	1.4%	1,391	1.5%
DPS	1,479	2.5%	402	1.3%	25	2.2%	1,906	2.1%
Constables	5,571	9.6%	1,672	5.2%	98	8.5%	7,341	8.0%
Other	6,675	11.5%	2,445	7.6%	199	17.2%	9,319	10.2%
Subtotal	58,160	100%	32,158	100%	1,157	100%	91,475	100%
Arrested on Warrant	4,851	7.7%	6,007	15.7%	1,157	50.0%	12,015	11.6%
TOTAL	63,011		38,165		2,314		103,490	

2. Number and location of interviews conducted by Pretrial Services.

Location	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	Both a.	%	TOTAL	%
HPD Jails	24,674	48.8%	17,115	52.6%	981	46.0%	42,770	50.2%
HCJ/PCH	25,844	51.1%	15,339	47.1%	1,149	53.8%	42,332	49.7%
Other	52	0.1%	90	0.3%	4	0.2%	146	0.2%
TOTAL	50,570	100%	32,544	100%	2,134	100%	85,248	100%
Percent of arrests							82.4%	

a. These numbers may be larger than arrests due to charges added after the defendant was booked.

B. Non-incarcerated Defendant Population

1. Number of defendants interviewed with an open warrant.

Misdemeanor	Felony	Both	TOTAL
24	15	2	41

2. Number of defendants interviewed after release on a financial bond.

Misdemeanor	Felony	Both	TOTAL
1,459	3,288	332	5,079

3. Number of defendants processed after release on a financial bond.

Misdemeanor	Felony	Both	TOTAL
618	1,080	64	1,762

C. Other Interviews Conducted

Interview Reason	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both		TOTAL
	Jail	Non-Jail	Jail	Non-Jail	Jail	Non-Jail	
Indigency Determination b.	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Drug Test b.	2	5	1	142	0	1	151
Other c.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	3	5	1	142	0	1	152

b. Abbreviated versions of the interview are used for these purposes.

c. Includes interviews conducted for performance bonds, modified sentence, witness bonds, and supervision on civil cases.

D. Characteristics of Interviewed Defendants

1. Gender:

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
Male	41,050	80.1%	28,892	80.3%	69,942	80.2%
Female	10,170	19.9%	7,091	19.7%	17,261	19.8%
TOTAL	51,220	100%	35,983	100%	87,203	100%

2. Race/Ethnicity:

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
White/Hispanic	17,140	33.5%	9,686	26.9%	26,826	30.8%
White/Non Hispanic	12,325	24.1%	8,335	23.2%	20,660	23.7%
White/Unknown	9	0.0%	3	0.0%	12	0.0%
Black/Hispanic	177	0.3%	121	0.3%	298	0.3%
Black/Non Hispanic	20,772	40.6%	17,440	48.5%	38,212	43.8%
Black/Unknown	4	0.0%	6	0.0%	10	0.0%
Asian/Hispanic	11	0.0%	1	0.0%	12	0.0%
Asian/Non Hispanic	521	1.0%	224	0.6%	745	0.9%
Asian/Unknown	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	3	0.0%
Other/Hispanic	54	0.1%	31	0.1%	85	0.1%
Other/Non Hispanic	143	0.3%	80	0.2%	223	0.3%
Other/Unknown	62	0.1%	55	0.2%	117	0.1%
TOTAL	51,220	100%	35,983	100%	87,203	100%

3. Age:

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
< 17	7	0.0%	63	0.2%	70	0.1%
17-20	10,102	19.7%	5,611	15.6%	15,713	18.0%
21-24	10,300	20.1%	5,778	16.1%	16,078	18.4%
25-29	9,823	19.2%	6,270	17.4%	16,093	18.5%
30-35	7,514	14.7%	5,307	14.7%	12,821	14.7%
> 35	13,020	25.4%	12,353	34.3%	25,373	29.1%
Unknown	454	0.9%	601	1.7%	1,055	1.2%
TOTAL	51,220	100%	35,983	100%	87,203	100%
≤ 21	12,862	25.1%	7,127	19.8%	19,989	22.9%

4. Education

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
No education	235	0.5%	139	0.4%	374	0.4%
1st-9th grade	8,568	16.7%	5,933	16.5%	14,501	16.6%
10th-12th grade	10,962	21.4%	8,254	22.9%	19,216	22.0%
H.S.Grad/GED	21,701	42.4%	15,955	44.3%	37,656	43.2%
College	9,123	17.8%	4,982	13.8%	14,105	16.2%
Unknown	631	1.2%	720	2.0%	1,351	1.5%
TOTAL	51,220	100%	35,983	100%	87,203	100%

5. Language

	Misdemeanor	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
English	44,523	86.9%	32,362	89.9%	76,885	88.2%
Spanish	6,139	12.0%	2,956	8.2%	9,095	10.4%
Vietnamese	26	0.1%	18	0.1%	44	0.1%
Hearing Impaired	12	0.0%	13	0.0%	25	0.0%
Other/Unknown	520	1.0%	634	1.8%	1,154	1.3%
TOTAL	51,220	100%	35,983	100%	87,203	100%

6. Place of Birth

Does not convey citizenship.

Location	Misd	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
United States	40,691	79.4%	30,556	84.9%	71,247	81.7%
Mexico	5,924	11.6%	2,914	8.1%	8,838	10.1%
Central America	2,237	4.4%	1,039	2.9%	3,276	3.8%
South America	248	0.5%	82	0.2%	330	0.4%
Other	1,659	3.2%	768	2.1%	2,427	2.8%
Unknown	461	0.9%	624	1.7%	1,085	1.2%
TOTAL	51,220	100%	35,983	100%	87,203	100%

7. Charge Categories

If a defendant has a felony and a misdemeanor offense, they are counted in the felony charge category; if a defendant has two or more different felony or two or more different misdemeanor charges, they are counted only once and appear in the first applicable category.

Misdemeanor	Number	%	Felony	Number	%
Assault	4,750	9.3%	Murder/Mansl/Homicide	255	0.7%
DWI	7,561	14.8%	Assault/Att or Sol Murder/Injury Offense	3,702	10.3%
Theft/Burglary Offense	6,914	13.5%	Sexual Assault Adult	138	0.4%
Trespass	3,986	7.8%	Sex Offense Child (Sex Aslt, Indec, Incest)	783	2.2%
Evade Arrest or Detention/Fleeing	1,542	3.0%	Robbery	1,552	4.3%
Resist Arrest	629	1.2%	Other Personal Offense	781	2.2%
Weapon Offense	1,736	3.4%	Burglary Building/Habitation/Other	1,809	5.0%
Drug Offense	8,474	16.5%	Theft Offenses	2,921	8.1%
Criminal Mischief	956	1.9%	UUMV	1,293	3.6%
Sex Offense (e.g., Prost, Pub Lewd, Indec Exp)	2,066	4.0%	Other Property Offense	2,134	5.9%
DWLS/Other Traffic	9,441	18.4%	Drug Sale/Manufacture	2,947	8.2%
Failure to ID to PO	1,544	3.0%	Drug Possession	13,588	37.8%
Other	1,621	3.2%	DWI	992	2.8%
			Other	3,088	8.6%
TOTAL	51,220	100%	TOTAL	35,983	100%

8. Prior Convictions ^a

Total Equals	Misd	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
0	20,509	40.0%	8,964	25.0%	29,473	33.9%
1	9,254	18.1%	5,019	14.0%	14,273	16.4%
2	5,561	10.9%	3,847	10.7%	9,408	10.8%
3	3,813	7.4%	3,143	8.8%	6,956	8.0%
>3	12,075	23.6%	14,866	41.5%	26,941	30.9%
TOTAL	51,212	100%	35,839	100%	87,051	100%

9. Risk Classification Categories ^a

Point Score	Misd	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
4	5,695	11.1%	2,258	6.3%	7,953	9.1%
3	11,497	22.4%	5,223	14.6%	16,720	19.2%
2	13,350	26.1%	7,507	20.9%	20,857	24.0%
1	9,528	18.6%	7,014	19.6%	16,542	19.0%
0	5,571	10.9%	5,619	15.7%	11,190	12.9%
-1	3,003	5.9%	4,264	11.9%	7,267	8.3%
-2	1,374	2.7%	2,281	6.4%	3,655	4.2%
-3	395	0.8%	695	1.9%	1,090	1.3%
-4	22	0.0%	45	0.1%	67	0.1%
-5	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%
Refusal	777	1.5%	932	2.6%	1,709	2.0%
TOTAL	51,212	100%	35,839	100%	87,051	100%

a. Categories 8 and 9 do not include 8 misdemeanor and 144 felony abbreviated interviews. The abbreviated interview does not capture this information.

Section II Bail Review

A. Activity on Defendant Reports in Calendar Year 2006

Complete interviews conducted in the reporting year. Does not include abbreviated interviews.

1. Report Classification

The Defendant	Misdemeanor		Felony		TOTAL	%
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA		
Refused an interview	695	0	845	0	1,540	1.8%
Incomplete interview (Bond posted or health issue)	89	0	43	0	132	0.2%
Has high risk score	1,625	2	2,720	4	4,351	5.0%
Has no bond set	76	0	1,526	0	1,602	1.8%
On probation, parole, or bond	4,510	221	3,313	137	8,181	9.4%
Has an unverifiable report	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Made surety or cash bond before court review	7,820	221	1,846	602	10,489	12.0%
Had case disposed	49	4	16	0	69	0.1%
SUBTOTAL	14,864	448	10,309	743	26,364	30.3%
All other reports	35,706	194	24,369	418	60,687	69.7%
TOTAL REPORTS	50,570	642	34,678	1,161	87,051	100%

2. Probable Cause Court Review (reports compiled and reviewed in the the same month during the reporting year)

	Misdemeanor		Felony		TOTAL	%
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA		
Total Reports Reviewed					70,618	
Specific Case Outcome:						
No probable cause found	644	0	448	0	1,092	1.3%
PB granted	743	0	2	0	745	0.9%
PB granted, bond lowered	87	0	0	0	87	0.1%
PB granted, bond raised	20	0	0	0	20	0.0%
PB granted, pending verification	3,999	0	6	0	4,005	4.9%
PB granted with additional conditions	42	0	0	0	42	0.1%
Bond set, personal bond granted	3	0	0	0	3	0.0%
Bond set, personal bond denied	587	0	34	0	621	0.8%
Bond set, no action on PB	0	0	8	0	8	0.0%
Bond set, restricted	7	0	141	0	148	0.2%
PB denied	29,507	0	1,666	0	31,173	38.4%
PB denied, bond lowered	2,432	0	161	0	2,593	3.2%
PB denied, bond raised	6,453	0	282	0	6,735	8.3%
Supervision on financial bond	20	0	7	0	27	0.0%
Reviewed, no action on personal bd	140	0	22	0	162	0.2%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond lowered	4	0	0	0	4	0.0%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond raised	5	0	3	0	8	0.0%
Restricted	514	0	27,308	0	27,822	34.3%
Restricted, bond lowered	40	0	1,288	0	1,328	1.6%
Restricted, bond raised	74	0	4,396	0	4,470	5.5%
TOTAL CASES REVIEWED *	45,321	0	35,772	0	81,093	100%

*A defendant with both a misdemeanor and felony case will have both cases counted.

B. First Review Assigned Court (includes initial reviews during the reporting year regardless of when the report was created)

	Misdemeanor		Felony		TOTAL	%
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA		
Total Reports Reviewed					12,222	
Specific Case Outcome						
PB granted	215	24	80	12	331	2.4%
PB granted, bond lowered	5	0	1	0	6	0.0%
PB granted, bond raised	1	0	0	0	1	0.0%
PB granted, pending verification	75	3	21	1	100	0.7%
PB granted with additional conditions	74	14	80	19	187	1.4%
Bond set, personal bond granted	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Bond set, personal bond denied	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Bond set, no action on PB	0	0	1	0	1	0.0%
PB denied	1,621	2	4,505	6	6,134	44.4%
PB denied, bond lowered	0	0	8	2	10	0.1%
PB denied, bond raised	5	0	115	0	120	0.9%
Supervision on financial bond	112	2,029	846	3,839	6,826	49.4%
Supervision, other	0	11	0	51	62	0.4%
Reviewed, no action on personal bd	12	0	14	0	26	0.2%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond lowered	0	0	1	0	1	0.0%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond raised	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
TOTAL CASES REVIEWED *	2,120	2,083	5,672	3,930	13,805	100%

*A defendant with both a misdemeanor and felony case will have both cases counted.

C. Re-review Assigned Court (includes re-reviews during the reporting year regardless of when the report was created)

	Misdemeanor		Felony		TOTAL	%
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA		
Total Reports Reviewed					114	
Specific Case Outcome						
PB granted	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB granted, bond lowered	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB granted, bond raised	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB granted, pending verification	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB granted with additional conditions	0	0	1	0	1	0.8%
Bond set, personal bond granted	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Bond set, personal bond denied	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Bond set, no action on PB	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB denied	37	0	89	0	126	98.4%
PB denied, bond lowered	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
PB denied, bond raised	0	0	1	0	1	0.8%
Supervision on financial bond	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Supervision, other	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Reviewed, no action on personal bd	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond lowered	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Reviewed, no action PB, bond raised	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
TOTAL CASES REVIEWED *	37	0	91	0	128	100%

*A defendant with both a misdemeanor and felony case will have both cases counted.

D. Outcome Summary

1. Personal Bond Activity:	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both		TOTAL
	Jail	NA	Jail	NA	Jail	NA	
Defendants approved	4,841	35	168	23	1	0	5,068
Defendants with bond filed	4,120	35	165	23	1	0	4,344
Defendants released	3,922	35	157	23	1	0	4,138

2. Other Supervision Orders	Misd	Felony	Both	Total
Court-ordered supervision - financial bond	1,996	3,931	4	5,931
Court-ordered supervision - split bond ^a	1	3	0	4
Court-ordered supervision - other ^b	11	51	0	62
Court ordered supervision - no release mechanism ^c	58	485	0	543
Total	2,066	4,470	4	6,540

a. A split bond occurs when the bond amount is met using both a personal bond and a financial bond.

b. Includes summons, personal bonds from the court, fugitive, and post adjudication cases.

c. Does not include defendants who had no release instrument issued when ordered supervised but subsequently posted bond before this report was created. Those defendants are counted in the appropriate bond type category.

3. Activity on Defendants Ordered Supervised but not Released	Misdemeanor			Felony			TOTAL
	PB	FB	NRM	PB	FB	NRM	
Bond surrender		0			0		0
Bond revocation	47	0		2	0		49
Sentenced before release	151	0	58	6	0	380	595
Posted financial bond	721			3			724
Pending as of January 31, 2007	0	0	0	0	0	105	105
TOTAL	919	0	58	11	0	485	1,473

Personal Bond = PB, Financial Bond = FB, No Release Mechanism = NRM

Section III Supervision

A. Defendants Released to Pretrial Supervision

Type of Release	Misd	Felony	Total
PB with standard conditions	3,607	71	3,678
PB with additional conditions	350	110	460
Total Released on Personal Bond	3,957	181	4,138
FB with standard conditions	21	22	43
FB with additional conditions	1,976	3,916	5,892
Total Released on Financial Bond	1,997	3,938	5,935
Other with standard conditions	8	8	16
Other with additional conditions	3	43	46
Total Other	11	51	62
Total ordered to pretrial supervision	5,965	4,170	10,135

Art. 17.42, Sec 5, CCP	# of Accused
Release on personal bond with priors for the same offense or any felony within 6 years.	166

Includes 104 defendants released as a result of Fair Defense Act provisions.

B. Location of Incarcerated Defendants Released on Personal Bond

Location	Misd	%	Felony	%	TOTAL	%
Houston Police Department Jails	319	8.1%	6	3.8%	325	8.0%
Harris County Jail	3,539	90.2%	150	94.9%	3,689	90.4%
Other	64	1.6%	2	1.3%	66	1.6%
TOTAL	3,922	100%	158	100%	4,080	100%

C. Supervised Cases by Charge Category

Misdemeanor (Chart A)

Charge Category	Personal Bd	Percent	Financial Bd	Percent
Assault	49	1.2%	49	2.4%
DWI	584	13.8%	1,319	63.6%
Theft/Burglary Offense	837	19.8%	49	2.4%
Trespass	140	3.3%	17	0.8%
Evade Arrest or Detention/Fleeing	65	1.5%	18	0.9%
Resist Arrest	23	0.5%	4	0.2%
Weapon Offense	28	0.7%	18	0.9%
Drug Offense	943	22.4%	403	19.4%
Criminal Mischief	79	1.9%	12	0.6%
Sex Offense (Prost, Pub Lewd, Indec Exp)	45	1.1%	31	1.5%
DWLS/Other Traffic	1,160	27.5%	72	3.5%
Failure to ID to PO	81	1.9%	8	0.4%
MRP/MAJ	31	0.7%	33	1.6%
Other	153	3.6%	40	1.9%
TOTAL CHARGES	4,218	100.0%	2,073	100.0%

Felony (Chart B)

Charge Category	Personal Bd	Percent	Financial Bd	Percent
Murder/Mansl/Homicide	1	0.5%	89	2.1%
Assault/Att or Sol Murder/Injury Offense	22	11.3%	373	8.8%
Sexual Assault Adult	1	0.5%	22	0.5%
Sex Offense Child (Sex Aslt, Indec, Incest)	8	4.1%	140	3.3%
Robbery	4	2.1%	126	3.0%
Other Personal Offense	1	0.5%	66	1.6%
Burglary Building/Habitation/Other	7	3.6%	77	1.8%
Theft Offenses	13	6.7%	119	2.8%
UUMV	5	2.6%	32	0.8%
Other Property Offense	5	2.6%	12	0.3%
Drug Sale / Manufacture	7	3.6%	371	8.7%
Drug Possession	40	20.6%	1,899	44.6%
DWI	8	4.1%	536	12.6%
MRP/MAJ	53	27.3%	36	0.8%
Other	19	9.8%	360	8.5%
TOTAL CHARGES	194	100.0%	4,258	100.0%

**D. Average Number of Defendants Supervised by Pretrial Services per Month
In Calendar Year 2006 ***

1. Case Type	Personal Bond	Financial Bond	Courtesy	Other	TOTAL ^a	Percent
Felony	36	1,075	2	4	1,117	53.8%
Misdemeanor	568	375	2	5	950	45.7%
Both Felony & Misdemeanor	3	8	0	0	11	0.5%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
TOTAL	607	1,458	4	9	2,078	100%

Note: *Courtesy* includes pretrial nonfinancial bond cases originating outside of Harris County.

Other includes summonses, personal bonds from the court, fugitive, and postadjudication cases.

a. Does not include defendants who were ordered supervised but remained in custody at the end of each month.

2. Number of Defendants Supervised	Personal Bond		Financial Bond	
	Defendants	Percent	Defendants	Percent
Defendants required to comply with standard conditions	539	88.8%	141	9.7%
Defendants required to comply with additional conditions	68	11.2%	1317	90.3%

3. Additional Supervision Conditions

(Defendants may have multiple conditions and, therefore, may be counted more than once)

Personal Bond	Misdemeanor		Felony		Total	b. Percent
	Defendants	Percent	Defendants	Percent		
Drug screening	28	4.9%	15	41.7%	43	7.1%
Electronic monitoring	3	0.5%	5	13.9%	8	1.3%
Weekly in-person check-in	5	0.9%	5	13.9%	10	1.6%
Curfew	2	0.4%	1	2.8%	3	0.5%
Ignition interlock	9	1.6%	3	8.3%	12	2.0%
Substance abuse evaluation	8	1.4%	3	8.3%	11	1.8%
No Alcohol	29	5.1%	16	44.4%	45	7.4%
Other conditions	16	2.8%	12	33.3%	28	4.6%

b. Percentage of all Personal Bond defendants with this condition.

Financial Bond	Misdemeanor		Felony		Total	c. Percent
	Defendants	Percent	Defendants	Percent		
Drug screening	221	58.9%	915	85.1%	1,136	77.9%
Electronic monitoring	26	6.9%	123	11.4%	149	10.2%
Weekly in-person check-in	25	6.7%	121	11.3%	146	10.0%
Curfew	28	7.5%	32	3.0%	60	4.1%
Ignition interlock	238	63.5%	211	19.6%	449	30.8%
Substance abuse evaluation	118	31.5%	149	13.9%	267	18.3%
No Alcohol	312	83.2%	878	81.7%	1,190	81.6%
Other conditions	155	41.3%	413	38.4%	568	39.0%

c. Percentage of all Financial Bond defendants with this condition.

All Other	Misdemeanor		Felony		Total	d. Percent
	Defendants	Percent	Defendants	Percent		
Drug screening	2	28.6%	3	50.0%	5	38.5%
Electronic monitoring	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	3	23.1%
Weekly in-person check-in	2	28.6%	1	16.7%	3	23.1%
Curfew	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ignition interlock	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%
Substance abuse evaluation	1	14.3%	1	16.7%	2	15.4%
No Alcohol	3	42.9%	2	33.3%	5	38.5%
Other conditions	2	28.6%	2	33.3%	4	30.8%

d. Percentage of all Other defendants with this condition.

* Averaged using the number of defendants supervised on the last day of each month.

E. Community Resource Referrals

1. Screening Activity

Defendants may request or courts may order services in multiple areas so the number of requests and referrals will be greater than the number of defendants.

Total Defendants Screened	8,725		Number of Service Areas	Number of Referrals
Screening Results a.		%		
No Assistance Needs	6,271	71.9%		
Assistance Needed	2,454	28.1%	6,085	3,943
Court Ordered	781	9.0%	781	1,468

a. A defendant may be counted in more than one category.

2. Service area(s) where defendants were ordered by the court to participate in a program.

Court Ordered Service Area			% of Total
Substance Abuse Evaluation			761 97.4%
Evaluation Outcome		% of Evals	
Substance Abuse Counseling	229	30.1%	
Substance Abuse Education	326	42.8%	
Substance Abuse Support Group	132	17.3%	
No Referral Recommended	296	38.9%	
Counseling			5 0.6%
Anger Management Counseling			0 0.0%
Domestic Violence Counseling			1 0.1%
Sex Offender Counseling			0 0.0%
Employment			6 0.8%
Education			4 0.5%
Mental Health Treatment			4 0.5%
TOTAL			781 100%

3. Service area(s) where defendants requested assistance and where referrals were provided:

Defendant Requested Service Area		%	Referrals	%
Utilities	458	7.5%	293	7.4%
Rent	167	2.7%	91	2.3%
Emergency Housing	90	1.5%	69	1.7%
Food	361	5.9%	229	5.8%
Transportation	389	6.4%	257	6.5%
Dental Care	835	13.7%	539	13.7%
Medical Care/Medication	724	11.9%	485	12.3%
Prenatal Care	35	0.6%	22	0.6%
Disability	201	3.3%	123	3.1%
Counseling	290	4.8%	203	5.1%
Substance Abuse Evaluation	16	0.3%	13	0.3%
Anger Management Counseling	6	0.1%	3	0.1%
Domestic Violence Counseling	17	0.3%	7	0.2%
Substance Abuse Counseling	284	4.7%	200	5.1%
Employment	1,004	16.5%	623	15.8%
Vocational Training	504	8.3%	354	9.0%
Education	470	7.7%	296	7.5%
Childcare	180	3.0%	115	2.9%
Mental Health/Retardation	19	0.3%	12	0.3%
Other	35	0.6%	9	0.2%
TOTAL	6,085	100%	3,943	100%

Section IV Compliance

A. Court Appearance

1. Personal Bond Supervision Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Percent
Scheduled court appearances	13,715	508	14,223	
Appearances made	12,926	478	13,404	94.2%
Appearances missed	789	30	819	5.8%

2. Financial Bond Supervision Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Percent
Scheduled court appearances	6,701	16,878	23,579	
Appearances made	6,511	16,410	22,921	97.2%
Appearances missed	190	468	658	2.8%

B. Instrument Issued for Missed Appearances (FTA)

Instrument	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
ACI/REV/B	110	7	117	10	39	49
ACI/OC	1	2	3	1	0	1
ACI/BF	427	8	435	96	232	328
C87/AI	30	0	30	5	37	42
Other	5	1	6	3	38	41
TOTAL	573	18	591	115	346	461
Less Reinstated	43	3	46	9	6	15
Less Jailed	341	10	0	64	204	268
Less Other	67	1	68	7	53	60
TOTAL UNRESOLVED WARRANTS a.	122	4	126	35	83	118

a. Warrants still outstanding as of February 28, 2006.

C. Agency or Division Responsible for the Arrest of Defendants that FTA

Includes all arrests on FTA warrants in the reporting year regardless of the date the warrant was issued.

1. Defendant arrested by	Personal Bond		Financial Bond		TOTAL	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
HCSO in Pretrial Services Office b.	67	17.2%	19	7.2%	86	13.1%
HCSO Street Units (PTS information)	19	4.9%	18	6.8%	37	5.7%
HCSO Court Bailiff's Office with PTS	59	15.2%	5	1.9%	64	9.8%
HCSO Street Units	53	13.6%	91	34.3%	144	22.0%
HCSO Court Bailiff's Office	36	9.3%	45	17.0%	81	12.4%
Houston Police Department	109	28.0%	32	12.1%	141	21.6%
Other Law Enforcement	46	11.8%	55	20.8%	101	15.4%
TOTAL	389	100.0%	265	100.0%	654	100.0%

b. Precinct One constables or other law enforcement may also assist.

2. Defendants resolved	Personal	Financial
Total defendants resolved	544	352
Resolved by arrest	389	265

D. Defendants Charged with New Offense While Supervised by Pretrial Services

1. Type of charge filed	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
New Misdemeanor	76	3	79	38	99	137
New Misdemeanor/Offense Date Precedes Bond Case	1	0	1	0	9	9
New Felony	25	3	28	19	148	167
New Felony/Offense Date Precedes Bond Case	5	0	5	1	17	18
Both New Misd & New Felony	0	0	0	0	2	2
New Misd & New Felony/Offense Date Precedes Bond Case	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refile/Reindictment - Same Court Division	0	1	0	8	36	44
Refile/Reindictment - Enhanced to Felony	0	0	0	6	1	7
Refile/Reindictment - Reduced to Misdemeanor	1	0	0	0	20	20
TOTAL	108	7	115	72	332	404

2. Bail status of new offense case	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
Personal Bond Granted	2	0	2	0	0	0
Personal Bond Granted with Additional Conditions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial Bond Posted	23	1	24	15	36	51
In Custody	59	5	64	35	173	208
Non-arrest	22	0	22	8	53	61
Summons Issued	1	0	1	0	3	3
TOTAL	107	6	113	58	265	323

3. Bail status of refile or reindictment	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
Bond Transferred	0	0	0	3	18	21
Personal Bond Granted	0	0	0	0	1	1
Personal Bond Granted with Additional Conditions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial Bond Posted	0	0	0	5	5	10
In Custody	0	0	0	1	6	7
Non-arrest	1	0	1	2	21	23
Summons Issued	0	1	1	3	6	9
TOTAL	1	1	2	14	57	71

4. Action on supervised case	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL	Misdemeanor	Felony	TOTAL
Bond Surrendered	0	0	0	1	4	5
Bond Revoked	46	4	50	33	156	189
Supervision Continued	33	3	36	29	140	169
Supervision Continued with Amended Conditions	2	0	2	1	3	4
Case Disposed	27	0	27	8	19	27
TOTAL	108	7	115	72	322	394

E. Drug Tests on Supervised Defendants (Chart D)

Drug/Alcohol Tests by Bond Type	Personal Bond	Financial Bond	Courtesy	Other a.	TOTAL
Number of defendants tested	281	5,678	1	817	6,777
Number of test events b.	958	30,121	2	1,121	32,202
Number of tests c.	1,027	32,996	3	1,133	35,159

a. Other includes summons, personal bonds from the court, fugitive, post adjudication cases, and 798 defendants ordered drug tested but not supervised.

b. A test event is the occasion when a defendant reports for testing. For any test event, the department may use more than one test type to determine usage.

c. From January-April 2006 only the first test type used during a test event was reported.

2. Unsuccessful Urine Specimen Collection Attempts	5,101	Includes multiple attempts during the same testing event.
--	-------	---

3. Pupillometer Baseline	
Unsuccessful attempts	37
Successfully completed	1,196

4. Point of Collection Test (POCT)

POCT Results	Negative	Presumptive Positive	Unsuccessful Attempt	TOTAL
Initial test	1,714	1,472	29	3,215
Random tests	13,373	1,811	179	15,363
Court request for cause	678	342	33	1,053
Total	15,765	3,625	241	19,631

POCT Presumptive Positive Results by Drugs Identified c.	Cocaine	Marijuana	Amphetamines	Opiates	PCP
	1,400	2,195	309	349	223

c. A test result may be positive for more than one drug.

5. Deep Lung Breath Analysis

Deep Lung Breath Results	Negative	Presumptive Positive	Unsuccessful Attempt	TOTAL
Test results	38	20	0	58

6. Pupillometer *

Pupillometer Test Results d.	No Test Required	Test Required	Random Test Required e.	Unsuccessful Attempt	TOTAL
	1,032	659	26	25	1,742

*Pupillometer Tests were given from May 1, 2006 to July 7, 2006 only.

d. The pupillometer will report whether the defendant's test conforms to his or her baseline. If not, the defendant is flagged (Test Required) and additional testing is performed to confirm possible usage.

e. The pupillometer randomly selects scans to be submitted for confirmatory testing as part of a test result validation procedure.

7. Transdermal Patch

Transdermal Patch	Negative	Positive	TOTAL
Test results	7	6	13

Transdermal Patch Results by Drugs Identified	Cocaine	Marijuana	Amphetamines	Opiates	PCP
	2	2	0	0	0

8. Laboratory Urinalysis

Laboratory Urinalysis	Negative	Confirmed Positive	Unsuccessful Attempt	Admin Negative g.	TOTAL
Confirm POCT result f.	116	1,304	0	110	1,530
Confirm pupillometer flag	618	59	12	6	695
Confirm deep lung breath analysis	0	9	0	1	10
Direct to laboratory	8,510	2,169	172	32	10,883
Total	9,244	3,541	184	149	13,118

f. This includes 6 court request for cause and 10 initial tests which were sent to the lab for confirmation. Unable to report if 265 presumptive positive results from January-April 2006 were confirmed by laboratory analysis.

g. A test is considered an administrative negative for reasons such as an illegible label or if the specimen has been compromised.

Laboratory Confirmed Positive Results a.	Alcohol	Amphetamines	Benzodiazepines	Barbiturates
	52	628	7	0
	Cocaine	Codeine	Hydrocodone	Heroin
	1,173	31	67	0
	Hydromorphone	Marijuana	MDA	MDEA
	28	2,114	0	4
	MDMA	Methadone	Methaqualone	Methamph.
	90	2	0	50
	Morphine	Opiate	Oxycodone	Oxymorphone
	24	64	6	5
PCP	Propoxyphene			
139	1			

a. A test can confirm positive for more than one drug. Prior to July 2006 all opiates were counted in the "Opiate" category. Subsequently, opiates were broken out into specific drug types.

9. Positive Results

(includes presumptive positives from onsite tests and confirmed positives from laboratory tests)

Positive Drugs By Test Event c.	b.						TOTAL	Percent
	Onsite Test	1st Event	2nd Event	3rd Event	4+ Event			
Alcohol	0	12	6	6	28	52	0.7%	
THC	180	1,295	607	352	788	3,222	44.8%	
PCP	24	87	74	50	97	332	4.6%	
Cocaine	150	939	603	216	558	2,466	34.3%	
Opiates/Opioids	11	62	30	33	229	365	5.1%	
Amphetamine	170	176	81	89	235	751	10.4%	
Other	0	1	0	2	5	8	0.1%	
Total drugs positive	535	2,572	1,401	748	1,940	7,196	100%	

b. Defendants ordered drug tested but not supervised.

c. Only one positive result per test event is counted. If a presumptive positive is confirmed, only the confirmed positive is counted.

Test Results Summary d.	b.						TOTAL	Overall Percent
	Onsite Test	1st Event	2nd Event	3rd Event	4+ Event			
Total test events	1,057	4,826	4,055	3,479	17,850	31,267		
Negative events	681	2,524	3,056	2,847	15,981	25,089	80.2%	
Positive events	343	2,238	935	580	1,657	5,753	18.4%	
No specimen provided	33	64	64	52	212	425	1.4%	
Defendants tested	859	4,826	4,055	3,479	3,871			
Percent of defendants testing positive	39.9%	46.4%	23.1%	16.7%				
Total number of defendants tested						6,777		
Percent positive at least once						40.0%		
Defendants tested at least once after the 1st test						5,295		
Defendants testing positive at least once after the 1st test						1,125		
Percent positive at least once after the first test						21.2%		

d. Does not include 1,026 Pupillometer only test events.

F. Non-FTA Revocations/Surrenders

Bond Surrender/Revocation for Non-compliance	Personal Bond			Financial Bond		
	Misd	Felony	TOTAL	Misd	Felony	TOTAL
New offense						
- Misdemeanor	35	5	40	17	61	78
- Felony	27	1	28	15	101	116
Failure to report	14	4	18	10	81	91
EMS/Curfew violation	2	0	2	24	45	69
Positive drug/alcohol test	17	7	24	50	518	568
Other	43	15	58	50	164	214
TOTAL	138	32	170	166	970	1136

Section V Disposition of Bond Event

A. Disposition of Defendants on Personal Bond (Chart C)

Dispositions	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both				TOTAL	
	Def	%	Def	%	Felony	%	Misd	%	Def	%
No Billed	0	0.0%	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%
Dismissed/Not Guilty	1,169	29.2%	40	21.9%	2	0.0%	9	0.0%	1,211	28.8%
MRP/MAJ Dismissed	13	0.3%	36	19.7%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	50	1.2%
Fine	300	7.5%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	301	7.2%
Deferred Adjudication	687	17.2%	26	14.2%	4	0.0%	3	0.0%	717	17.1%
Probation	258	6.4%	4	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	262	6.2%
Fine & Credit Time Served	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HCJ - Credit Time Served	129	3.2%	6	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	135	3.2%
HCJ - Jail Time	248	6.2%	14	7.7%	2	0.0%	6	0.0%	264	6.3%
Fine & Jail Time	515	12.9%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%	516	12.3%
MRP/MAJ Granted	2	0.0%	2	1.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.1%
TDCJ/SJ	0	0.0%	4	2.2%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.1%
TDCJ/ID	0	0.0%	3	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%
Non-Compliance	132	3.3%	24	13.1%	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	160	3.8%
Failure to Appear	528	13.2%	11	6.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	541	12.9%
Other	24	0.6%	9	4.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	33	0.8%
TOTAL	4,005	100.0%	183	100.0%	17	0.0%	32	0.0%	4,205	100%

B. Disposition of Defendants on Financial Bond Supervised by PTS (Chart C)

Dispositions	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both				TOTAL	
	Def	%	Def	%	Felony	%	Misd	%	Def	%
No Billed	0	0.0%	63	1.7%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	64	1.1%
Dismissed/Not Guilty	248	13.9%	510	13.6%	15	0.0%	15	0.0%	773	13.9%
MRP/MAJ Dismissed	7	0.4%	13	0.3%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	0.4%
Fine	108	6.1%	10	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	118	2.1%
Deferred Adjudication	124	7.0%	758	20.2%	6	0.0%	0	0.0%	888	15.9%
Probation	321	18.0%	357	9.5%	3	0.0%	5	0.0%	681	12.2%
Fine & Credit Time Served	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HCJ - Credit Time Served	39	2.2%	40	1.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	79	1.4%
HCJ - Jail Time	425	23.9%	432	11.5%	5	0.0%	14	0.0%	862	15.5%
Fine & Jail Time	216	12.1%	45	1.2%	1	0.0%	4	0.0%	262	4.7%
MRP/MAJ Granted	10	0.6%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	12	0.2%
TDCJ/SJ	0	0.0%	144	3.8%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	146	2.6%
TDCJ/ID	0	0.0%	104	2.8%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	106	1.9%
Non-Compliance	161	9.1%	960	25.6%	7	0.0%	3	0.0%	1,128	20.2%
Failure to Appear	88	4.9%	244	6.5%	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	336	6.0%
Other	32	1.8%	64	1.7%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	98	1.8%
TOTAL	1,779	100.0%	3,746	100.0%	49	0.0%	50	0.0%	5,574	100%



C. Disposition of Other Defendants Supervised by PTS (non-bond cases)

Dispositions	Misdemeanor		Felony		Both				TOTAL	
	Def	%	Def	%	Felony	%	Misd	%	Def	%
Successful	18	90.0%	21	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39	92.9%
Unsuccessful	2	10.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.1%
TOTAL	20	100%	22	100%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42	100%



D. Days Under Supervision

Days supervised by Pretrial Services	Personal Bond		Financial Bond		Other (non-bond)	
	Felony	Misd	Felony	Misd	Felony	Misd
High	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Low	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Median	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



Misdemeanor Supervised Cases by Charge Category



Felony Supervised Cases by Charge Category




Disposition of Defendants Supervised by Pretrial Services




Test Event Results

- Negative events
- Positive events
- No specimen provided




Positive Drug Test Results by Drug Category


- Alcohol
- THC
- PCP
- Cocaine
- Opiates/Opioids
- Amphetamine
- Other



Bookings



Interviews



Releases on Personal Bond





Table of Organization



Total employees: 103